


Russia 'Releases' Red Police

MOSCOW (P) — A new Russian day announced the dismissal of government shakup has unseated S. N. Kruglov, who became in the successor of the Kremlin's late secret police boss, Lavrenty P. Beria.



A BALTIMORE CLIPPER
He Helped Stir Up The Amateurs' Interest
Within 30-40 Days

Public To Skate On Coliseum Ice

By CHARLES KURALT
Charlotte News Staff Writer

Public ice skating will probably come to the Coliseum "in the next 30 or 40 days," Auditorium-Coliseum Authority Chairman C. A. Cochran said today.

Skating may not be put on a regular basis right away, Mr. Cochran said. He indicated it would not be allowed to interfere with Coliseum attractions which are likely to make more money.

But local skaters who have had their interest whipped up by ice shows and hockey will definitely get a chance to try out the huge rink soon, Mr. Cochran promised.

The ice may be left on the coliseum floor for a day or two of skating after the Hollywood Ice Review closes March 18. But that would depend on scheduling for the arena, Mr. Cochran said.

DECISION HELD UP
The authority has been studying the possibility of public skating ever since the building opened. The expense involved in laying a carpet of ice, the problem of finding skates to rent, the rink and scheduling conflicts have held up a final decision so far.

The ice can't be left down after the four days of hockey coming up Feb. 13-16 because the building is already rented.

Mr. Bets said.

Turnstiles Rattle, Roll To New Coliseum Mark

By EMERY WISTER
Charlotte News Staff Writer

Down at 3000 Independence Blvd. the folks are talking about a new four-day attendance record.

"They're speaking in terms of 40,000 people in four days of record breaking attractions in the 10,000 seat coliseum."

That means 10,000 every night. For the first two nights the total is well past the 21,000 mark. Over 10,000 persons paid to get into the building Monday night to see the first ice hockey game ever played here.

The coliseum folks thought that was something, then opened their doors to another crowd last night.

They were expecting a big crowd all right, but they really popped when they saw how big it was. In all 11,544 persons passed through to the jump and live show.

Early this morning a housecleaning crew swept the place clean and made ready for another big crowd tonight when Fred Waring's "Heart Heart" show begins at 8:20.

No one was quite willing to predict an overflow crowd, but T. D. Kemp, Charlotte promoter, said that tickets sales have been very good.

Being very conservative about all this, 8,000 persons with the three-night total to 30,000.

The secret police were removed from Kruglov's ministry nearly two years ago but he had continued in command of law enforcement police, known in Russia as the militia.

The terse announcement of the new change, published on the back page of the Communist party paper Pravda, said Kruglov had been "released" from his post and succeeded by Moscow's deputy mayor, N. P. Dudorov.

Dudorov also is listed as chief of the construction department of the Soviet Communist party's Central Committee, a watchdog post checking all government construction and adviser to the Soviet Council of Ministers (Cabinet) on construction.

Otherwise he is unknown to Western newspapermen in Moscow.

NOT USUAL PATTERN
Western observers noted that the statement did not follow the usual Soviet pattern in announcing such shifts. It made no mention of Kruglov's future, whereas such announcements usually say at least that the official has been assigned to other duties.

Kruglov's militia has been under increasing criticism recently for failure to deal adequately with hoodlums, public drunkenness and such outbreaks at sports events as the riot at a football game in Armenia last November.

The Soviet Cabinet change followed a series of top-level replacements in several of the Soviet republics. The reshuffle was viewed by Western observers as a tightening of controls in preparation for the 20th Soviet Communist party congress opening in two weeks.

The Internal Affairs Ministry is one of the key posts in the Soviet government, although it does not carry the weight it had under Beria because it no longer controls the secret police organization.

Kruglov lost control of the secret police nine months after he took over the ministry. In a Cabinet reshuffle in April 1954, the police were placed under I. A. Serov, who had been deputy interior minister.

Western newsmen recalled that Kruglov, usually one of the more affable members of the Soviet hierarchy, had seemed pensive and somber one of the last public functions at which he has been seen.

A veteran police official, Kruglov had been chief of the militia labor camps. During World War II, he served as vice commissar for internal affairs and acted as the Soviet security officer at Tehran, Yalta and Potsdam conferences.

Western newsmen recalled that Kruglov, usually one of the more affable members of the Soviet hierarchy, had seemed pensive and somber one of the last public functions at which he has been seen.

He was asked about the possibility he might be drafted if the Democratic national convention should deadlock on a presidential nominee this year.

For an answer, Truman reminded reporters of what Gen. William H. Sherman once said: "If nominated he would not run, and if elected would not serve."

Truman said he would take that as his statement too, and added:

"The presidency is a killing job and a man must be young enough and vigorous enough to meet that situation."

He said the office "has killed many a man—I could name a half dozen."

Asked whether he felt President Eisenhower should seek reelection, Truman said that question should be put to Eisenhower who would be put to it if he did not think it would be proper for him to discuss it.

Owner Roy Redd told City Police today that he believes the entire loss is less than \$50. Evidence left at the scene included a half of a cake, and bread, one of a cake, and drank a quart of chocolate milk.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.



Truman... Has-Been?

Presidency Not The Job For Truman

NEW YORK (P)—Former President Harry S. Truman said today he doesn't want to run for any kind of political office again, much less the presidency.

"I'm just a retired has-been," said he.

"I believe I have served my country. If I were 40 or 50 that might be different."

Truman will be 72 in May. The subject came up as he took his customary morning stroll, accompanied by 25 newsmen—including one photographer who was roller skating to help keep up with Truman's usual brisk pace.

"May I borrow those skates?" the former President inquired with a laugh.

He was asked about the possibility he might be drafted if the Democratic national convention should deadlock on a presidential nominee this year.

For an answer, Truman reminded reporters of what Gen. William H. Sherman once said: "If nominated he would not run, and if elected would not serve."

Truman said he would take that as his statement too, and added:

"The presidency is a killing job and a man must be young enough and vigorous enough to meet that situation."

He said the office "has killed many a man—I could name a half dozen."

Asked whether he felt President Eisenhower should seek reelection, Truman said that question should be put to Eisenhower who would be put to it if he did not think it would be proper for him to discuss it.

Owner Roy Redd told City Police today that he believes the entire loss is less than \$50. Evidence left at the scene included a half of a cake, and bread, one of a cake, and drank a quart of chocolate milk.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

Mr. Bets said.

In Taylor Case Judge Denies Trial, Criticizes Attorney

WASHINGTON (P) — American and British delegations, in the final round of Eisenhower-Eden talks, sought formulas today to secure the Middle East against Soviet power and save the peace in the Far East.

British Foreign Secretary Selwyn Lloyd and his top advisers met with Secretary of State Dulles and State Department policy makers to try to reach some final recommendations for action by President Eisenhower and Prime Minister Eden during the afternoon.

Eden and Eisenhower agreed yesterday that peace between Israel and the Arab states is essential to Middle East stability. Among other things they discussed the possibility of posting United Nations troops in the demilitarized zone.

LITTLE ENTHUSIASM
U. S. officials demonstrated little enthusiasm for this possibility but an American spokesman said it had not been ruled out by Eden and Eisenhower.

Eden and Eisenhower gave serious attention also to new Red Chinese threats against Formosa, including the statement by the Communists that they would use force to take the island.

Britain and the United States have split in their views of Red China. Britain would be glad to see that country brought into the U. N. and trade with it expanded. This is opposed by the United States. However, Eden and Eisenhower were reported agreed that differences over such specific issues would not be permitted to disrupt the Anglo-American front of opposition to Communist threats and expansionist moves.

The idea of sending U. S. troops to the Middle East is reported to have been advanced by the British. Eisenhower and Secretary of State Dulles were described as cool to it.

Problems of the tense, oil-rich Middle East have had a top place in the talks because of Soviet moves to build Communist influence there.

Several possible measures for peacekeeping in the Israeli-Arab dispute are understood to have been considered. These include new public declaration stressing the vital importance of peace, and a reassertion of a British-French-American agreement.

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,


None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.



Attorney Ritch (right) and Defendant Taylor

Near Greensboro Non-Striker Has Home Dynamited

GREENSBORO (P) — A knitter who has stayed on the job despite a strike reported today that his home near Pleasant Garden was dynamited last night. Nobody in the house was hurt.

Arnold Andrews, reporting the incident to police, said he had been threatened by striking fellow workers at the Albemarle Hosiery Mill, Inc. of Liberty.

where a walkout began Jan. 23. Andrews said that three strikers blocked his auto on Highway 421 last night Randolph County last night, but since he refused to open the doors of his vehicle, he was not harmed.

The knitter, one of six who have remained on the job in a division of the mill employing 38 persons, said that he, his wife and two sons, Steven, 24, and Michael, 19 months old, were asleep in bed when the front of the structure was blasted by the dynamite charge about 11:30 p.m.

A large picture window in the living room of the new brick veneer house was shattered and the eaves were badly damaged by the blast, Andrews said. He said apparently the dynamite was thrown against the front of the house from a passing auto.

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

None of the Andrews family was injured, Sheriff's deputies, notified of the dynamiting, were investigating today.

The knitters are not represented by a union certified as a collective bargaining agent. However,

Affidavits Presented By Defense

By ANN SAWYER
Charlotte News Staff Writer

Judge J. C. Russell gave Attorney Marvin L. Ritch a bitter tongue lashing today for what he termed neglect while denying a new trial for convicted murderer Thomas Walter Taylor.

In his scathing remarks to the defense attorney, Judge Russell said:

"You weren't fair to the court or to your client or to your own motion."

MOTION GROUNDS
Attorney Ritch and T. O. Stennett this morning offered the motion that the second-degree murder verdict be set aside and that a new trial be granted "upon the grounds of newly discovered evidence."

Affidavits were presented to the court from two women who they were eye witnesses to the slaying of Talmadge Alene Parker in the Sherita Grill parking lot by Taylor shortly after midnight Jan. 3.

Attorney Ritch told the court that he was informed by telephone of such witnesses after two attorneys' speeches had been made to the jury, but he considered it too late in the trial to present the witnesses.

STOPPED BY JUDGE
Judge Russell stopped him at that point and told him that it was not too late.

At the conclusion of the formal presentation of the motion, the judge asked the two women, Miss Louise Brocher, 225 Baldwin St., and Mrs. Eva Gowan, 622 Seigle Ave., Judge Russell began to lecture Ritch on his handling of the "new evidence."

"Here is a man (Taylor) who is standing trial for his life," Judge Russell said. "You had information that somebody had information that the court did not have."

"It was your duty to the court and to the jury to let the court know right then that there was some new evidence. We would have stopped the trial, if that somebody had information that the court did not have."

"NO EXCUSE"
"There is no excuse for the court not having that information, because it's the purpose of the court to protect the rights of your man just as well as it is to see that justice is protected."

"The court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly guilty of gross laches (neglect) there is not letting the court know about it, right then, or as soon as you came in the next morning, because there had been only two talks to the jury, and the court goes a long way to do that, but you were certainly