

There Is No Age Limit On Ability

THO MANY workers in Charlotte are being denied jobs because they are 40 or older.

This is a primary fact of our economic life which has been recognized exactly by one woman. Without money, without status, without help, she is trying to work a revolution.

Mrs. Ethel Sloop, an unemployed bookkeeper with no background in social welfare, has banded 130 people together into a club. All the members are over 40 and all are out of a job.

For three months now, Mrs. Sloop has been trying to convince Charlotte employers that ability has no arbitrary age limit. Forty jobs have been found; but for every personnel manager who has listened to Mrs. Sloop's argument and given it a try, a dozen doors have been politely closed in her face.

Sooner or later, business and industry will have to come around to Mrs. Sloop's point of view. Half of Charlotte's adult population will be 40 or older by 1975. The demand for older workers will necessarily be so great by that time that the "Over 40" club may be out of business.

But Mrs. Sloop has a problem today. It is rooted in east-river hiring habits formed in the days when a strong back was the greatest requirement of an employe. The nature of jobs has changed; the premium should now be on qualities peculiar to the older worker: Depend-

ability, judgment, skill, breadth of experience.

Mrs. Ethel Sloop's experience in job-hunting for her club members suggests that many Charlotte employers have not kept up with the basic change in the nature and number of workers over 40.

The members of Charlotte's Over 40 Club are willing workers. Most of them possess proved occupational qualifications. Most are tried and trusted. All of them want to work.

While membership in the club booms on the Monday morning lines at the state employment office fill up with capable older workers, employers, without examining their biases against these workers, are turning to younger, less experienced people for their office staffs and production lines.

It seems clear that older people are going to support themselves, or younger people in Charlotte's burgeoning economy are going to be saddled with the job of taking care of them.

Mrs. Ethel Sloop, out of a deep indignation at the way competent older workers are being overlooked, has struggled through the summer with this problem. She has struggled alone long enough. It is not a one-woman or a one-job dilemma that faces Charlotte and it is time some of the rest of us—civil clubs, official agencies and employers—joined this strong-willed lady in giving our vigorous oldsters the attention they deserve.

Is The Whole South On Trial?

THE UGLY imprint of horror can never be erased from the slaying in Mississippi last month of a crippled Negro teenager from Chicago. But to declare that the whole South is on trial for his murder is to indulge in preposterous sentimentality.

This, however, is precisely the line some southern liberal editors are taking today as the trial of two Mississippi white men facing murder charges moves into high gear.

It is like saying that "society" is to blame when a child misbehaves or that actors are no good because Booth shot Lincoln.

It is in fact, the same sort of spurious identification that many of these same editors attack with such relish in others.

The South, like any other section of the United States, is made up of many

different people with many different attitudes. It is many things, many voices—some strident, some calm. It is not some hulking amorphous monster enshrouded in a mass of original sin that is broad enough to cradle the misdeeds of all southerners.

This vision of the South may appeal to some Americans but it is utterly false. Surely thinking citizens will dig beneath the surface of contemporary confusion and find the truth.

There are southerners, armies of southerners, who cannot but abhor what happened to 14-year-old Emmett Till. They can and do speak out against such madness. They do not condone the murder of children—black or white. If the responsibility for this monstrous deed is not fixed and if the proper punishment is not meted out it will not be their fault.

Dulles Was Away Whoops & Massive Silliness

By WALTER LIPPMAN

NEW YORK
IN THE absence of Secretary Dulles who was still on vacation at the State Department's talking bureaucrats, unable to stop, look and listen, proclaimed the abandonment of the Soviet Union's of its bankrupt German policy. This was an example of massive silliness, inspired by the most wishful kind of thinking. Even if it had been true that the Soviet government has acknowledged the abandonment of its German policy, it would have been reckless and silly for the State Department to let out whoop about it, and to point with pride to its own success. If, for example it had been true that the Soviet Union was invited to the Soviet capital over the head of Moscow's satellite government in East Germany, the State Department's boasting and bragging about its own triumph would have been sufficient to compel Moscow to save its own face and the face of the East Germans by inviting Grotewohl to follow Adenauer to Moscow. Actually, Grotewohl had been invited to Moscow last July.

NO ABANDONMENT
There is as a matter of fact, not a word of truth in the statement that the Soviet Union has abandoned its German policy. Diplomatic relations have been established with Bonn alongside of, not in place of, the relations which already exist with Pankov in East Germany. There are oral assurances that prisoners are to be released. But far from "abandonment" of the Soviet Union has reaffirmed categorically that its policy is still to approach reunification through both German governments, and that regarding the Potsdam provisional frontier as permanent.

IN view of the results, the State Department might have omitted the jubilation, might in fact have reserved its comment.

MODUS VIVENDI
The interesting thing about Adenauer's Moscow visit is that both parties thought it useful to establish diplomatic relations at the same time to declare public their positions, which are as they knew irreconcilable. There is in this an implicit agreement that for some time to come they can live side by side, they can trade, they can meet and they can talk, even though all the big issues remain unsettled. It may be said, therefore, that Bonn and Moscow have negotiated a modus vivendi, a territorial settlement at the expense of the post-war Polish State. The terms are equally out-of-side the limits of a negotiated set-

ling existing prosperity. The reunification of Germany is the leading political talking point. But it is a tepid issue, not a hot one. So it is feasible to set terms which are known to be impossible. For there is no need to get anything urgent about a settlement.

COMPROMISE
There are some signs in England of a wish to find out whether Adenauer's terms could be modified so as to make them negotiable. For example, it is being suggested that incorporation of an armed Germany in NATO is less important than Germany's political alignment with the West—and that the one might be given up in order to gain the second. No one can know whether such a compromise would induce the Soviet government to negotiate seriously. The only way to find out is to talk to them privately, and that is something which will surely be done by the Germans themselves when diplomatic relations have been established.

IT is, no doubt, too early for the United States to modify its unqualified trading terms that are known to be not negotiable. There would be advantages in waiting to see whether an agreement can be reached with the Soviet Union on the early-warning stops. For when the basic accord reached at Geneva—that war can not be contemplated—will have been ratified in a concrete agreement, it will be self-evident that negotiation by give and take is the only way to settle the German question.

MYTHOLOGY
But if it is not now expedient to begin trading for a German settlement, let us avoid creating about Germany a mythology in which we see something as so strong that a settlement can be had on our own terms. For the time is coming, perhaps at the Foreign Ministry's meeting in October, when we shall have to choose between, on the one hand, negotiating a compromise and, on the other hand, unwarlike co-existence within the existing situation.

It will prevent much confusion, and much disappointment and resentment, if the administration stopped talking as if the Soviet Union was in retreat and was about to surrender unconditionally to the public relations notes of the State Department. For what will be needed in the time to come is not an exalted and be-dazzled mood, but a cool and businesslike one.

There is not as yet a strong national feeling in Germany. Everybody, to be sure, is in favor of reunification and the recovery of the lost territory. But not many are in favor of it at the risk of war, which the Germans have had more than enough and not at any big risk of disturbing their

A European security arrangement which in effect disestablishes the Western military system.

Neither in Bonn or in Moscow are there as yet the makings of a negotiable settlement.

PROVISIONAL
We all know, of course, that the existing modus vivendi is provisional, and that in one way or another serious negotiations for a settlement will eventually be brought about. But what will bring about serious negotiations? Most probably, it will be the rise of a strong national feeling in both parts of the Germanies. It will be very difficult for the Soviet government to retain its grip on East Germany. And it will be very difficult for a Western German government to refuse to let its military connections with NATO be used in bargaining about the withdrawal of the Red troops from German soil.

There is not as yet a strong national feeling in Germany. Everybody, to be sure, is in favor of reunification and the recovery of the lost territory. But not many are in favor of it at the risk of war, which the Germans have had more than enough and not at any big risk of disturbing their

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?

Africa's Sorrows Are Rooted In Ancient, Angry Memories

By ROBERT C. RUARK

Editors' Note: This is the first of three columns by Robert C. Ruark on the unrest that has brewed and is brewing among the colonial peoples of Africa. Ruark has spent much time in Africa and foresees the possibility of a major blow-up.

PALAMOS, Spain
THE problem with which all colonizers are facing is basically a simple one: You can get inside a world now uncolonized and unsteady in its fresh freedom to fight against the family.

The only real solution to the problem of raising a ruckus is the Belgian Congo, which first was founded on the most brutal discipline ever recorded in colonialism. There is no "trouble" in the thriving Belgian side of the Congo. The natives don't break a drop of behavior. Hence the colony, and the natives, more or less thrive, but on Belgian terms.

APARTHEID
There is no way to prevent a violent upheaval soon in South Africa if you remember that I said it, the apartheid boys drove in the last year when they recently reclassified the coloreds—more or less mulattoes—as natives. There is one tribe, notably Griqua—which used to be known by other names, which was "Bastard" in Afrikaans. They don't want to be "natives" again, with loss of pay, opportunity, and social status.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

PRISONERS
Just for instance—and don't think you middle-class interventionists into other folks' business—our warlord and former American Indian is a prisoner in his own land today, a long time after we freed the imported African slaves, a long time before the Supreme Court got around to saying that segregation was like being paroled, either politically or with guns, and when they shrug off the first fears of unassisted migration, they are apt to get into their own particular centuries-old fashion. There will be some more of this, I'm afraid, because I'm surer and say I know a bit about it.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.

There is no such thing, really, as a co-equal colonization. It is first founded on force, and later on a benevolent condescension, backed by force. There is no more original chapter in history than our own, in connection with the American Indian.



HER BLOCK DON'T BE MISLEADING THE PEOPLE

Liberty Did Not Die Under Peron's Heel

THE people have won a great victory in Argentina but until Juan Peron is in total eclipse or a coffin they will not have begun to win control of their destinies. The dictator's resignation does not dissolve the forces that kept him in power nor does it stem their desire to return him to the throne. Thousands of military, civil and pseudo labor leaders have grown fat with ease and luxury at Peron's table, and have been thoroughly educated in Peron's theories of divide, repress and rule. These parasites will not give up their privileges willingly, and as long as Peron is around to organize and direct them the people cannot have a long term lease of freedom.

The rebel forces recognize this threat. They remember Peron was arrested in 1945 by a military clique but was pushed back to power by the machinations of the politico-led Federation of Labor which uses the strike as a political weapon. They remember, too, the times that Peron has offered to "resign," only to have his doubts of indispensability erased by the well publicized pleadings of his carefully coached henchmen.

What is required then to cleanse Argentina of Peron and Peronism is an other powerful government. The rebels must have the power to arrest, convict, and discharge. But there is danger that the insurgents, exercising their power,

will themselves be reluctant to yield to a freely elected government. If indeed after years of repression the country has the leadership and citizenship resources to build a popular government. The resignation of Peron has not enthroned liberty in Argentina and such is not an unwelcome prospect. But it has shown that the spirit of liberty has flourished and grown under the heel of dictatorship. And that demonstration furnishes hope for the people and a warning for Peron's successors.

Let 'Em Eat Sand

IN JULY it was a blue heron, said to be showing off mating season but certainly off course, that landed in Charlotte. In August a bird went wacky on Heath Dr., started flying at children and was shot down by county police. Then Vicki went wandering and put the Phoenician International Co. jungle jims trying to catch her. Then yesterday the bull running wild in Dilworth until it, too, was brought low by pistol fire.

The evidence seems pretty conclusive by now. The Phoenician is stirring up the animals. And in fear of the probable consequences everybody ought to stop feeding doodlebugs. The ants don't like it and although the doodlebugs do, think how it makes them GROW!

Charlotte: The Jungle City

CHARLOTTE'S elephant problem is growing in scope and intensity. An official put it this way: "It isn't a local problem any more. People all over the country are wondering what kind of a city and county we have to be stymied this long by an elephant."

Vicki, the wayward elephant, who has been amusing herself by charging photographers, reporters, hunters and trainers who first charged her, is said to be ensconced in a snake-infested jungle. It is hard for us to believe that Charlotte is a one-elephant town. We suspect that if the jungle were thoroughly explored, fauna found in it would include other elephants, lions, tigers, alligators, gorillas, boa constrictors, rhinoceri, hippopotami—and a tax dodger or two.

It will take unusual courage, ingenuity and determination to get Vicki out of that jungle. Other means having failed, we see nothing left but for a company of the Descendants of the Mecklenburg Declaration of Independence (DOMDOI) to go in there and bring Vicki back alive and kicking.

We trust that the city fathers of Charlotte will see to it that Vicki is not lost to the Queen City either by escape,

deportation or sudden death. Vicki is valuable as a public relations agent to be lost. A week ago Charlotte was unknown to fame; today it is nationally and internationally known as the Jungle City of the South.

P. S. The flash informs us that Vicki has broken out and is headed for parts unknown. Charlotte should get her back, even if it has to press Richard Milhous Nixon into service as a mahout.

A mother writes an expert on child guidance that her little girl has two very annoying habits; she sucks her thumb and wants to spend all day in the kitchen. Don't worry; she'll outgrow them both.—COLUMBIA (S. C.) STATE.

Theater marquee, on the Texas coast: "TARZAN ESCAPES... DAVY CROCKETT." Heck, Tarzan didn't have a chance.—DALLAS MORNING NEWS.

Canadian forest fires are fought with paper bags full of water dropped from airplanes. And a brief salute is in order for those pioneers who developed the techniques at American Legion convention years ago.—RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH.

Drew Pearson's News of Arms Shipments Suppressed

WASHINGTON
CORRESPONDENCE on arms is continuing between the Egyptian embassy and the Phoenician International Co., whose sales slogan is "Implements of War Our Specialty."

Meanwhile, this column has queried the State Department in some detail as to why the U. S. public is not permitted to know what arms are being sold by private brokers to stir up war in the Middle East.

Robert N. Margrave, deputy director of the State Department's Office of Munitions Control, was not very enlightening.

"The last time that information was made available to the public was in 1941," said Margrave. "Within this government those figures are treated as classified."

"What do you mean 'classified' as classified? Are the figures classified or do you just 'treat' them as classified?"

Margrave gave a long and complicated explanation about the necessity of protective private companies. He finally said, however, that the State Department's Office of Munitions Control reports to Congress and that Congress can publish the figures if it wishes.

"There has been no change in this policy since about 1790," said Margrave. "This government has always regarded any shipment of arms to be fraught with political significance."

"How long has the classification policy been in effect?"

"Since 1835."

"You mean since 1835 the State Department has denied the press access

to figures on arms shipments, even when the State Department has the power to control such shipments in a manner approaching intervention in foreign disputes?"

"Privileged"

Replied Margrave: "We prefer a positive way of stating it. It has been privileged information since 1835."

Note: The suppression of information regarding arms shipments began during the Spanish Civil War in 1933 when the State Department, in cooperation with France, and did not want news of arms shipments made public.

Fountain's Angry

It has now been several weeks since the comptroller general ruled that Sec-

Easy Does It Drunks At 20,000 Feet

By FREDERICK C. OTHMAN

WASHINGTON
LOOKS like Rep. Thomas J. Lane (D-Mass.) has started something with his bill to outlaw liquor in American flying machines.

"There's no place for highballs in the wild blue yonder," says the gentleman from Lawrence, Mass.

So we'll be having hearings on this fascinating subject. The drys already are rallying round and some of them are imagining horrid possibilities of drunks at 20,000 feet.

Only time I ever saw one of these in the sky was once flying out of Columbus, Ohio, about a dozen miles from the water in paper cups. He needed only a paper cup. He had his own whisky, but it was poor, unfamiliar, kept nibbling away at his jug, while the stewardess eyed him warily. She didn't have worried, though.

There's something about the combination of altitude and alcohol that does strange things to a man's cranium. Our man got sick after the third drink and then he passed out dead. The stewardess wrapped him in, like a rolled up rug, and forgot about him.

PACKED HELM
On planes that Congressman Lane calls aerial saloons, I've flown several hundred thousand miles. Still if the airlines want to pamper me with a small bottle of champagne to go along with my frum frumion, I consider this their right. And I don't want Rep. Lane denying it. If he wants soda pop, he can have it. I'll take the bubbly charge.

Sometimes the get of his head two, goes to sleep and wakes himself with an exploding head, and feels that he needs another for medicinal purposes. He gets it then.

GOOD IDEA
Statistics show no serious accident has ever been caused by highballs. Airline executives are chary about comment on the record, but they urge that if liquor is ruled off airplanes, it also be banned from trains. This I am sure the drys would agree is a good idea.

I don't much care, myself. Long ago I learned to go easy on the schnapps in the flying machines. Still if the airlines want to pamper me with a small bottle of champagne to go along with my frum frumion, I consider this their right. And I don't want Rep. Lane denying it. If he wants soda pop, he can have it. I'll take the bubbly charge.

Statistics show no serious accident has ever been caused by highballs. Airline executives are chary about comment on the record, but they urge that if liquor is ruled off airplanes, it also be banned from trains. This I am sure the drys would agree is a good idea.

I don't much care, myself. Long ago I learned to go easy on the schnapps in the flying machines. Still if the airlines want to pamper me with a small bottle of champagne to go along with my frum frumion, I consider this their right. And I don't want Rep. Lane denying it. If he wants soda pop, he can have it. I'll take the bubbly charge.

Statistics show no serious accident has ever been caused by highballs. Airline executives are chary about comment on the record, but they urge that if liquor is ruled off airplanes, it also be banned from trains. This I am sure the drys would agree is a good idea.

I don't much care, myself. Long ago I learned to go easy on the schnapps in the flying machines. Still if the airlines want to pamper me with a small bottle of champagne to go along with my frum frumion, I consider this their right. And I don't want Rep. Lane denying it. If he wants soda pop, he can have it. I'll take the bubbly charge.

Statistics show no serious accident has ever been caused by highballs. Airline executives are chary about comment on the record, but they urge that if liquor is ruled off airplanes, it also be banned from trains. This I am sure the drys would agree is a good idea.

I don't much care, myself. Long ago I learned to go easy on the schnapps in the flying machines. Still if the airlines want to pamper me with a small bottle of champagne to go along with my frum frumion, I consider this their right. And I don't want Rep. Lane denying it. If he wants soda pop, he can have it. I'll take the bubbly charge.

Statistics show no serious accident has ever been caused by highballs. Airline executives are chary about comment on the record, but they urge that if liquor is ruled off airplanes, it also be banned from trains. This I am sure the drys would agree is a good idea.

I don't much care, myself. Long ago I learned to go easy on the schnapps in the flying machines. Still if the airlines want to pamper me with a small bottle of champagne to go along with my frum frumion, I consider this their right. And I don't want Rep. Lane denying it. If he wants soda pop, he can have it. I'll take the bubbly charge.

STUART CLOETE A Fine Account

The Cherokee and the Seminole and drew them off onto what we called "reservations," but what are really "concentration camps." We did a marvelous job of protecting the aborigine from himself—and still do.

MUTUAL AMIS
The French dug in their colonization of North Africa with the Liberal rules of German mercantilism. The natives don't break a drop of behavior. Hence the colony, and the natives, more or less thrive, but on Belgian terms.