

Taxation Should Be Itemized

By BRUCE BARTON
NEW YORK
WILLIAM M. BOAS, the
"Boss" of the "Rings" that
held New York City by the
reins for years.

In only 30 months, from
1945 to 1947, the "Boss"
"Rings" stole
somewhere between 13
and 15 millions, of which
Tweed received the lion's
share. He brought
America's biggest
city to the verge of bank-
ruptcy.

Nevertheless, when he was
finally convicted, and on his way
to Ludlow Street Jail, where he
subsequently died, crowds lined
the sidewalks, waved their hats,
and cheered him as he rode by.
Why not? A man who makes
handoffs to officers of the law;
a thief who had stolen millions
of dollars from poor people. And
they cheered him! Why?

They did not know he had
robbed them. They thought
he was their friend. They thought
he had robbed only the rich.

Today a different kind of Boas
Tweed is abroad in the land. A
legal robber, but one who makes
Tweed's takings look like peanuts.
It is the hidden taxation of
the poor.

How many poor families know
that their cost of local, state, and
national government in 1948 was
practically the same as the cost
of their food? How many know
that not one in a million, but every
day, at every turn, sales taxes,
license fees, and other levies work
of "indirect" tax are gathering
thrusts at them?

These families are defended by their
sponsors on the ground that they
provide an easy and inexpensive
way for the government to get
money.

There should be no easy way
for the government to get money.
Nothing does more to insure an
informed, alert, and critical citizen-
ry than taxes that are known
and felt.

Every citizen should be com-
pelled to file an income tax, even
if he is not required to do so.
The cost of collecting.

And every tax form should
be so simple that a man of
Government expenditures—
no more cents out of every dollar
for national defense; so many for farm
subsidies; so many for foreign
aid.

And then the people would know
just what they are paying for,
and just where to tell their
representatives to cut.

They would be able to itemize the
income tax. "Everyone grumbles,"
he said, "which shows that every-
body has a right to be heard."
In our country everybody pays
taxes, but not enough grumble.
The masses are lulled, but they don't
feel the hurt.

Census takers Launch 30-Day Counting Job CENSUS WORKERS GET PEP TALKS

C-Day Finds 165 Workers In The Field Mammoth Task Is Finally Under Way

Today is C-Day for the 1950 Census. Armed with pencils and thick pads of listing sheets, 165 enumerators set out to cover virtually every square inch of Mecklenburg County. They will work until April 30 when the law says their task must be completed.

They will work day and night to the end that every person in the county. They will make many return calls to see those whom they missed in daylight hours and much of their work will be accomplished at night.

They have no specific goal. The Census Bureau estimates that the city's population will total 150,000 and is making every effort to see that no person is missed.

Out of the 165 enumerators, 130 are working in the city. The city enumerators are divided into six groups, each under a crew leader. The 35 in the county are working under three crew leaders.

Each enumerator carries an identification card. When the census worker calls at your home ask to see his credentials. This will assure you that he is the person duly authorized to obtain the necessary information from you.

The enumerators are paid 7 cents for each line of information they fill out on the listing sheets. The Census Bureau has estimated that they will receive an average of \$8 per day's work, but those who work diligently at the task may receive more than the crew leaders who are paid \$11 a day. Some enumerators receive as much as \$16 per day if they put in the necessary hours.

There are 170 enumeration districts in the city to the county. This means that some enumerators will work more than one district. Those who finish their districts will be given another to go.

Enumeration districts were mapped out in Washington, each to contain approximately 1,000 persons. Some changes were found necessary, however, because of population shifts.

The Double Oak housing project, for instance, caused the addition of six districts. Originally this tract was zoned as one district but hundreds of families have moved in since the maps were made and the need for additional districts.

PEP MEETING
Enumerators and crew leaders attended a pep meeting in the Case Boro, Pico Co. auditorium, this morning at the Mecklenburg City, County and Chamber of Commerce officials to see that every enumeration district in the county is covered.

"When I was born," said native Charlottean, Frank Schiesinger, "only 10,000 persons lived here. When my father was born only 700 persons lived here. Now the city has been great and it's a challenge to you to see that we have a great city. We can hope to grow great growth."

MacMadden, chairman of the Census Bureau, stressed the importance of the 1940 census and said that when Charlotte first was included in the COUNTRY TO REVEAL
"Now we are looking for 150,000 persons in the city," he said. He also told the rural enumerators that should any of their enumerators break down, they should report to the census bureau.

See ENUMERATORS on page 5-A

SAFETY DRIVE PLANS SOUGHT

The recently appointed State Highway Safety Advisory Committee today invited suggestions from the public about methods for reducing "Tar Heel highway carnage."

The news received a telegram from John A. Park, chairman of the commission, asking for constructive suggestions to reach the committee before its meeting next Friday.

Mr. Park's telegram:
"Your immediate co-operation is earnestly invited in efforts to promptly ascertain possibilities of reducing Tar Heel highway carnage. Your constructive suggestions, with others from staff and readers will be welcomed in advance of Friday meeting here of committee with Governor."

Mr. Park is publisher of the Raleigh Times and suggestions for increasing safety on the roads may be sent to him there.

Senator Is Called Base, Miserable Professor Denies Red Leanings

NEW YORK (AP) — Owen Lattimore arrived back in the United States today and in his first words called Sen. Joseph McCarthy (R-Wis.) a "base and miserable creature."

McCarthy has accused Lattimore of being the top Communist agent in the United States.

Lattimore landed at Idlewild airport on his flight home from a United Nations mission to Afghanistan to face Senator's charges.

He told reporters who swarmed about him at the airport that he felt "unmitigated contempt" for McCarthy.

"I am glad to be back in America. I can get a fair hearing," Lattimore said.

He declined a formal interview at the airport, however, and said "I will not submit to any questions." However, he admitted: "Of course I am not a Communist, nor a fellow traveler, nor a spy."

While still overseas, Lattimore called McCarthy's charge "an unmitigated lie."

He was met at the airport by his wife, his son David, and Abe Fortas, a former Undersecretary of the Interior, now a Washington attorney. Fortas said he would represent Lattimore.

Fortas said Lattimore was tentatively scheduled to appear Tuesday before the Senate committee investigating McCarthy's charges. But he said, Sen. Millard Tydings (D-Md.), the committee's chairman, assured him he could have more time to prepare his statement.

Meanwhile, in Washington, two Republican lawmakers took exception to a Democrat's talk of issuing a subpoena for Senator McCarthy's documents on the Lattimore case.

McCarthy, Wisconsin Republican, has said he can produce proof to believe the testimony of agent (2) is or has been a spy. Senator McCarthy (D-N.J.) said yesterday in Providence that if McCarthy has such proof he should turn it over to the Senate Foreign Relations subcommittee which is investigating McCarthy's charges that the State Department is harboring Communists and fellow travelers.

If the Wisconsin Senator won't produce the documents, Green said, it would be logical for the inquiry group to order him to do so. Green said he would like to see the committee, said he would discuss the matter with other members on the subcommittee.

One of the members, Senator Hickenlooper (R-Iowa), told a reporter that he would like to see the committee, said he would discuss the matter with other members on the subcommittee.

"I have never heard of Senator's resorting to service of a subpoena to Washington. I am sure that if the committee applies this illegally it will get the full cooperation of Senator McCarthy—without a subpoena."

Jury Resumes Deliberations

By KATHERINE PINKHAM
SAN FRANCISCO (AP) — The federal trial jury resumed today its deliberations whether or not the longshore leader ever was a Communist.

The eight men and four women who heard the long Federal court trial started their second day's consideration of the case at 9 A. M. (11 noon EST).

HARRY BRIDGES, head of the CIO International Longshoremen's & Warehousemen's Union, is charged with perjury for denying under oath at a 1945 immigration hearing that he ever belonged to a Communist party.

The trial — longest and most costly in San Francisco Federal Court history — reached its jury yesterday afternoon after 81 tumultuous days in court. The trial started Nov. 14 last and includes several recesses. Both defense attorneys — Vincent J. Hallinan and James M. MacIntyre — were convicted of contempt of court during the proceedings. Federal Judge George B. Harris sentenced Hallinan to six months in jail, but has not acted yet on MacIntyre's case.

The jury began deliberations at 2:10 P. M. PST (1:10 P. M. EST) and finally retired for the night at 9:40 P. M. after five hours of actual debate.

Couple Seeking Speed Record

NEW YORK (AP) — The Bixby's — 27-year-old Dianna and 30-year-old Bob — took off today at 5:30 A. M. (9:30 A. M. EST) for Newark, N. J., on their long-delayed attempt to smash the round-the-world flight record.

With a good tailwind and fair weather, they expect to arrive in Newark about 4 P. M. (EST). They planned to average about 130 miles per hour, whatever help they get from the wind.

EMERY WISTER
Charlotte News Staff Writer
"I covered a rough section of town," she said. "I worked the Spanish and French quarter. Those folks would see me coming and lock their gates. They thought I was from the welfare office and wouldn't let me in. I had a terrible time seeing those people."

She doesn't think she's in for any big news and she good reason: that the public has been prepared to receive the census work.

"Everyone seems to be waiting for us and really eager to talk to us any trouble at all."

She was in class about sixteen hours, heard lectures, asked questions and even saw movies on the census. Last time she had only four hours training.

FRAGILE COUNTY
The completed most of her training Thursday afternoon and yesterday morning visited several homes in the practice course. Enumerators must make. She returned to the office in the afternoon to get the mimeo instructions before starting out this morning.

Like all the other enumerators Mrs. Schiesinger is stocking up on pencils and notes that each one has a sasher tooth point. Another thing, she's checked her shoes to see that they have good soles.

She'll wear out a lot of leather before this month is over.

Bowles Lashes 'Reactionaries'

WASHINGTON (AP) — Gov. Chester Bowles said today that even true conservatives are revolted by recent maneuvers in the Senate in the name of the fight against Communism.

The Connecticut chief executive, without referring directly to the charges by Senator McCarthy, said the Senate Department is full of Communist sympathizers, said in a speech keynoting the convention of Americans for Democratic Action.

"In accepting the leadership in this struggle between Democracy and Communism, American liberals have many allies at home as well as some important enemies."

"The greatest danger to the democratic cause is the fight against Communism are the reactionaries — both here and abroad."

Bowles, an ADA vice chairman continued:

"Far from being an ally in the struggle against Communism, the American reactionary — as a result of the type of reactionaries who in many instances can be best described as a liberal of the 19th century: strike means what he says when he advocates it; preservation of civil rights and individual freedom."

"He is revolted — as we are revolted — by the social political economy and individual blackmail of the type that has been described as a liberal of the 19th century: strike means what he says when he advocates it; preservation of civil rights and individual freedom."

Republicans want to share a Presidential ticket in 1952 with Eisenhower.

Humphrey, ADA chairman, in a radio address to the Senate on the reactionary coalition which in no way rises high in Congress.

The issue of a present set of Republicans for what he called a "sanguine and sustained campaign" to beat the Communists.

The attack, he said, has weakened this country's foreign policy.

Police Have It Rough Collegiate Evidence

CHATTANOOGA, Tenn. (AP) — Patrolmen Logan Stroud and 6. F. Watson had quite a time today in this country's first mass case of drunkenness.

The woman pouting "moonshine" into the sink.

The officers were looking a towel in the sink and put the "queen" back into the jug. A man then checked the jug against the store.

Undaunted, Stroud unscrewed the U-shaped drain under the sink and recovered enough moonshine to make an arrest on charges of possessing unmarked whiskey.

3-Minute Visit Ends Nose Count

DELIVERLY — The census count here began and ended in three minutes today and that's no April Fool talk.

W. S. Walters of Cherryville, N. C., was the first to arrive in Newark about 4 P. M. (EST). They planned to average about 130 miles per hour, whatever help they get from the wind.

The husband and wife team flew a twin-engine British Mosquito bomber, Huetress II, which she bought in Miami in 1949 for \$4,000. They took off here because the National Aeronautics Association requires a second world-circling attempt.

"The Bixby's are aiming at the record of 72 hours, five minutes and 11 seconds set in August 1947 by the late Bill Odom over the 21,076 mile course. The plan calls for two circuits of the world, starting at Karachi, Calcutta, Tokyo and Midway Island with San Francisco as the final destination."

The longest hop, according to Mrs. Bixby, will be 2,847 between Newark and Paris.

The Bixby's were ready to take off last year but the storm forced her husband since that year. Lillian Bixby, the cause of the delay, was left with a nurse.

Mrs. Bixby is the widow of a World War II pilot who was killed in action. She and Bixby were married in 1948. The pair, five-foot two plied had planned the trip with the National Aeronautics Association.

The two plan to take turns at the controls.

College President Will Be Installed April 18

By Rev. V. R. Cromer
will be installed as Lenoir-Rhyne College's sixth president on April 18.

Officiating at the special ceremony will be the Rev. F. L. Conroy, pastor of Salisbury and pastor of the United Evangelical Lutheran Synod of North Carolina.

Mr. ar Hee Voter Should Find It Easy

By NOEL TAYLOR
RENO, Nev. (AP) — Platforms issued by the three major Senatorial primary candidates Monday make it easy for Mr. Tar Heel voter to make his choice between Willie Stark and Governor Graham and Robert R. Reynolds.

In theory, all Mr. Voter has to do on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues they do differ sharply, but on others they seem to think pretty much alike.

That's according to the Reno, Nevada, where the three candidates are opposed to it. So it is to Graham.

But the candidates are not so far apart as the poles on major matters. Their platforms indicate that on some issues