

THE CHARLOTTE NEWS



THOMAS L. ROBINSON, Publisher
J. E. DOWD, General Manager
R. E. GRIFFITH, Executive Editor
G. A. MCKNIGHT, Editor

THURSDAY, MAY 25, 1950

EMMISARY TO WASHINGTON

THIS FACT that should be kept in mind, first and last, in Saturday's voting on Graham and Smith is that a United States Senator, and not a North Carolina official, is to be named. If this were not so, if North Carolinians were simply deciding who should elevate Frank Graham, Willis Smith to a seat of honor, we would not prove it difficult to differentiate between the two candidates. Both are worthy, personally, of further distinction.

The Truman Administration is made up not alone of the President and his executive assistants, but of the Congress as well. It is only with the consent of Congress that the President can manage to enact his program into law. And by the same token, it is only when Congress asserts its high authority and legislates according to the predominant judgment of its members that the will of the people can be more nearly followed. It is for this reason that members of the House of Representatives are elected every two years and that a third of the Senators come up for election every two years.

This method of composing the Congress was meant as a check upon the Executive. Otherwise, it would have been arranged so that the whole kit and kaboodle were elected together every four years.

And in that light, the choice North Carolinians have to make on Saturday is whether to ratify the Truman Administration to date and to approve the further unfolding of what is disapproved, or to say, Hold.

We have stated, and we repeat, that basically Frank Graham is for the same things Truman is for. He may differ in his judgment of the better method. But his aims are substantially the same. They are wholly in keeping with his character, and not discreditable to him.

He is, tacitly, at least, an advocate of large spending. Oh, do not misconstrue the statement. Frank Graham would not know \$2 billion from a balloon. He is no wastrel. It is only that he wants so many things

THE GENERAL MOTORS CONTRACT

ANY WAY you look at it, the new contract between the General Motors Corporation and the United Auto Workers is important.

The most unusual feature is the length of the contract—five years. Such a long agreement does several things:

1. It guarantees to the workers and their families that their earnings will be stabilized over a long period without strikes or other loss of earning. Hence, they will be able to plan their family budgets wisely.

2. It assures the company, its dealers, suppliers, and stockholders that production will continue without interruption. Thus the company's long range plans can be made more intelligently.

3. It promises to bring a new degree of stability to the economy.

4. The five-year contract has this drawback: it is somewhat of a gamble for both management and labor, since future business conditions cannot be predicted with complete accuracy. Both sides apparently figured it is better to take a chance than to knock each other out in costly disputes every year or two.

There are other interesting angles to the contract.

It continues the "escalated clause" which gives General Motors workers' pay to the cost of living index.

There is no ceiling to this clause, but there is a floor. Workers know their wages will remain at a certain level no matter how much prices drop.

It contains also a "standard of living" annual pay increase of four cents—one cent more than at present. Under this clause, the benefits of technology will be passed along to the workers.

It breaks through the \$100-a-month limit on private pension plans. If Social

A PRECEDENT IS SET

THE CITY COUNCIL set what we believe to be a sound precedent when it approved the annexation of a new 85-acre residential section Wednesday.

The action was taken under a new law which permits cities to grow gradually by absorbing adjacent areas as they are built up.

Traditionally, North Carolina cities have grown by jumps. Expansion of city limits has not been easy. First, authorization by the Legislature is hard to obtain. Then an election has to be held, the voters have to decide whether cities from expanding their natural boundaries at frequent intervals. Instead, there was a tendency to wait a long time, and then bite off a big slice of new territory.

Sometimes the bites were almost too big. Last January, for instance, Charlotte grabbed up almost eleven square miles of new territory. To date the City has not been able to furnish all its new residents with all the public services they are due. That is why there was some reluctance to take in Mr. Crookland's new residential area.

If Charlotte should wait too long to begin using the new annexation law, however, we would be faced with a new problem just like the one we are facing today.

A contented man is one who enjoys the security along a detour.—Starfile (Star) News.

There's Always Be An England



Collected By Bill Sharpe

TURPENTINE DRIPPINGS

It All Depends

(Camden (S. C.) Chronicle)

Circumstances alter almost everything. For instance a Negro man once told me that his lawyer said that alligator would not hurt him. One who carried a torch through the swamp. "Dat all depends on how fast ya'll carry it," the Negro replied.

What He's For

(Smithfield Herald)

A group of Smithfield men were standing in front of a Market Street store talking politics. They had just joined the campaign of Bruce Smith, the candidate asking the people to vote for Alex D. Holman, candidate for the House of Representatives.

The picnick, quipping the Holman platform, read: "I'm against: any increase in taxes, more bond issues, waste of taxpayers' money. Socialism and Communism."

"I'm for: political enervation gained momentum."

Candidate Holman appeared in person and stopped to have a part in the chatter.

"I'm against: that alligator would not hurt him," said one member of the group, with a twinkle in his eye.

"What we want to know is what you are for."

The candidate replied firmly without a second's hesitation: "I am FOR the House of Representatives."

There Was A Time

(Laurinburg Exchange)

There was a time when Russia would not have dared shoot down an unarmed American plane and make us look ridiculous in the eyes of the world. There was a time when Russia, or any other nation, would not have dared to threaten us.

Now Russia is here, and this time the risk was deliberate and calculated, and Russia will get away with it just as she did.

The U.S. State Department will write some notes, and then the rest of the nation of course, but there will be no heart in it, and no iron in it.

And what do you think the peoples of western Europe will think when they see Russia deliberately creating such incidents and forcing us into war?

They will see that in the event of real trouble, that United States takes care of them.

They will see that we are gaining prestige or losing it? Would you start a war over a dog? I don't think so, but if we started one,

we conducted our affairs in proper manner there wouldn't be such incidents and Russia would not deliberately offer us such trouble.

It's Just Like This

(The Miami Evening Telegram)

Lawmakers who affix us with new taxes seem utterly unable to understand why taxpaying citizens are continually more and more annoyed.

With David Newsom's new tax-cutting spending story, it brings to mind, says The News, the story about a tax collector in South Africa who was sent to collect a sum of money and was given ten days to do it.

He took more than twice as long as he was given.

The tax collector explained that the government like father, protected the natives from enemies, saved for him when he was sick, fed him when he was hungry, gave him an education and for these

the people would have to be taxed.

But the Vice-President got to the point of what other speakers had ignored—the real story of Thomas Jefferson.

He described the details of his life, his influence on the nation, and in such a way that the tired and malingering audience actually listened.

Absent Congressman

(Times-News)

THE column, "Leaving backward to Congressman Thurnau," of North Carolina, has explained his prolonged absence from Congress by the fact that his wife had passed away ten months ago and he had not been able to concentrate on his work.

Having secured this, local Democrats mailed a copy of the column to the home committee.

And in the same letter, believe it or not, they inserted the schedule of Democratic political activities.

When George Killen, ex-superintendent of the Negroes, came to the home committee, he was the non-political appeal for tax-deductible funds with the Democratic events schedule to Chairman Bill Boyce.

"That fool Nafe Lachinian," growled Killen.

"It's the first I know about it," remarked the worried Boyce.

Later, he reported the whole matter to President Truman.

NOTE—The Chicago Daily News and ex-Congressman Everett Dirksen, GOP, are faces and the huge amounts of money contributed by the liquor interests to the Truman reception.

Rough-And-Tumble Veep

YESTERDAY, I beat Alton Barker when it comes to rough-and-tumble or after-dinner speeches.

The other day in Chicago, Barker pulled a near-miracle. The occasion was the big Democratic rally honoring the 100th anniversary of the birth of Abraham Lincoln.

The dinner was poorly handled. The toastmaster forgot to introduce Postmaster General Donaldson and Secretary of the Navy McCallum. Jack Dempsey, the boxing great, gave a fine speech, while his mother, Congresswoman Mary Norton of New Jersey, droned out a 30-minute speech.

By this time it was nearly midnight, the humor-guest

table was almost empty, and the audience was ready to go home. But Barker had to be pried from his chair.

But the Vice-President would have been too discouraged to start.

He had been asked to speak at the real story of Thomas Jefferson.

He described the details of his life, his influence on the nation, and in such a way that the tired and malingering audience actually listened.

THE column, "Leaving backward to Congressman Thurnau," of North Carolina, has explained his prolonged absence from Congress by the fact that his wife had passed away ten months ago and he had not been able to concentrate on his work.

Having secured this, local Democrats mailed a copy of the column to the home committee.

And in the same letter, believe it or not, they inserted the schedule of Democratic political activities.

When George Killen, ex-superintendent of the Negroes, came to the home committee, he was the non-political appeal for tax-deductible funds with the Democratic events schedule to Chairman Bill Boyce.

"That fool Nafe Lachinian," growled Killen.

"It's the first I know about it," remarked the worried Boyce.

Later, he reported the whole matter to President Truman.

NOTE—The Chicago Daily News and ex-Congressman Everett Dirksen, GOP, are faces and the huge amounts of money contributed by the liquor interests to the Truman reception.

Rough-And-Tumble Veep

YESTERDAY, I beat Alton Barker when it comes to rough-

Cold War Oracle Must Answer The Unanswerable Questions

BY STEWART ALSOP

WASHINGTON

HOW MANY stories have we heard of the terrible things the Russians have? How many will they have in 1952? What will happen when Stalin dies? What is the real state of Stalin's health? How much resistance will there be in the satellite countries? What can resistance be promoted in time of peace? How strong is the Communist Party? What is the situation in Norway? In Indonesia? Are the Soviets ready to risk war over Berlin?

Both Smith and Foster are considered to have this quality of independent judgment. Their names stand high on the lists of possible CIA chiefs, which are now being passed around, and which have not yet been discussed. Former Under Secretary of State Robert A. Lovett is generally agreed to be the best choice. How strong is the Communist Party? What is the situation in Norway? In Indonesia? Are the Soviets ready to risk war over Berlin?

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.

The present question is for the most part unanswerable. Yet American policy must be based on a shrewd guess at the answer. The chairman of the Central Intelligence Agency must consult, is the director of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the chief of staff of the CIA is one of the most crucial, and the most agonizingly difficult, of cold war jobs.</