

## Project for Christmas

Right now, after the undefeated football season just completed, the people of North Carolina would probably give the Tar Heel team just about anything it wanted, but the situation will be different when the University's budget comes up for consideration by the budget commission of the state legislature. The thought of how much money it takes to operate an educational institution is not as pleasant to taxpayers as the thought of a winning football team.

Athletic teams pay for themselves; students do not—that is not immediately. Twenty years from now the successful athletic teams of 1948 will not be paying off, but successful students of 1948 will have paid for their education many times over in value to the state and the nation.

When the state's legislators vote on the University's budget they sometimes forget this long range view. For this reason students here and at Woman's College and at State have started a project to remind their legislators during the Christmas holidays. All students are asked to see their representatives personally and to inform them of the needs of the University.

Each North Carolina student should do his share in this project. Unless a great deal of interest is shown in phases of the University other than athletics, the University, its students, and the state as a whole will suffer.

## Sugar Bowl Bound?

One of the highspots of the football season this year has been the truly magnificent conduct of Carolina students in their display of sportsmanship.

At every out-of-town game, although not lacking in good spirits, and well-mannered horse-play, the students have roused comments from the citizenry that "Carolina certainly has a fine bunch of ladies and gentlemen."

In upholding mature and gentlemanly conduct, our student body has become one of the best emissaries of the University. Carolina has gained more than just national prominence by having a good football team, a fine faculty and high standards of study, but also by showing good manners and clean sportsmanship.

This year we are again Sugar Bowl bound. The last time we were there, the people in New Orleans had a fine impression of our gang. Let's show them again of what stuff we are made.

—L.K.

## & A Hppy Nu Yr.

With WW's clmn setting the precedent, the English language is becoming so abbreviated that in generations to come, students of history will undoubtedly have a difficult time deciphering the writings of our civilization. However, university students will continue to unsuspectingly sign up for these courses as they are sure to be listed in the cat. as cryp. (for cryptography) courses. (Students today make take crypt courses at any morticians school. Any body interested see the ghostwriter responsible for this deadline.)

What with the YMCA, the UVA, the IFC, the VAC, the ICC's, CP, SP, and everything else from UP down, it looks like there's nothing left on campus that isn't abbreviated except coeds' skirts!

Any day now we may expect to see a copy of A.L.'s G.A. come out looking something like this: 4 score & 7 years ago our 4 fats. brt. 4th on this cont. a new nat., conceived in Lib. and ded. to the prop. that all men r created equal.

Seriously, though, we can take all abbreviations except Xmas, but that is one word we would like to see x-terminated. Without Christ, Christmas has no meaning, and none of us should be in such a big hurry that he must abbreviate the birthday of our Lord. Those who stand up for the abbreviation argue that X is the proper symbol for Christ, but the majority of those who use it never heard or thought of that reason for writing it that way.

May  
every  
student  
have a very  
MERRY CHRISTMAS  
and  
a  
HAPPY  
NEW YEAR

—C.J.

# The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is issued daily during the regular sessions of the University by the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second-class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$8.00 per year, \$5.00 per quarter.

Editor: ED JOYNER, JR.  
Business Manager: T. E. HOLDEN  
Managing Editor: Chuck Hauser  
Sports Editor: Billy Carmichael III

Campus Ed. Sally Woodhull  
Feature Ed. Herb Nachman  
Asst. Spt. Ed. Dick Jenrette

Adv. Mgr. C. B. Mendenhall  
Circ. Mgr. Owen Lewis  
Subscrip. Mgr. Jim King  
Asst. Pub. Mgr. Betty Huston

News Staff: Lincoln Kan, Margaret Gaston, Bill Buchanan, Stewart McKeel, Gordon Hoffines, Leonard Dudley, Jerry Weiss, Jack Brown, Wink Locklair, Roy Parker, Emily Baker, Charles Pritchard, Emily Sewell, Mark Sumner, Charles Carter, Jimmy Leesen, Jimmie Foust, George Carter, Art Xanthos, Don Maynard.

Sports Staff: Frank Allston, Lew Chapman, Zane Robbins, Billy Burgess, Joe Cherry, Taylor Vaden, Larry Fox, Morton Glasses, Wuff Newell, Carter Taylor.

Society Editor: Rita Adams  
Society Staff: Faith Adams, Helen Ford, Lucille Conley, Harriet Sipple, Caroline Brunner, Ann Gamble, Jane Gower.

## Write Away

### Dean Gives Test Views

Editor:

Attention: Rameses

Dr. W. D. Perry has kindly furnished me with a copy of his letter to you regarding your open letter of December 1 on compulsory sophomore tests.

I am in agreement with Dr. Perry and yourself on the importance of factual determination of interests and aptitudes of students. I agree further that the tests have been developed to the point of being extremely helpful when they are taken under favorable conditions and when they are skillfully interpreted.

It seems to me that we would be wise to limit any compulsory tests which we give to all students to a test of personal interests as suggested in Dr. Perry's letter. This might then be the basis of discussion which in turn would often lead to further tests and consideration of the results. To require an elaborate series of tests of all students would involve two difficulties which are very serious. First, there is the difficulty you mentioned, that it is very expensive and the University does not now have the money required. Second, even if we had the money I think the validity of elaborate compulsory tests would be seriously reduced in the case of those students who might not enter into the program willingly and therefore might not make the effort in the test which is necessary to useful results.

This is a very important question and I am glad to see that it is a source of concern to you. If you think that further discussion might be helpful at this stage I shall be glad to join in a conference with Dr. Perry, interested students and any others whom you may wish to call.

C. P. Spruill, Dean

### Let Robertson Remain In DTH

Editor:

I'd like to make an inquiry about the requirements necessary for one to be a columnist on the Daily Tar Heel. Are there a long list of writers waiting to be on the staff?

My reasons for asking these questions are, if there are not a long line of people waiting to be columnists, or if there are no articles in the constitution of the DTH (if the DTH has a constitution) stating that Communists cannot be staff members, then I say, let Bill Robertson remain as a columnist.

Is our system of government so weak that it cannot stand up after an opposing point of view has been presented? If we are afraid to let the Communists have a voice in any of our newspapers because we are afraid more people will turn to Communism as the answer, our own democratic processes need looking into.

If, on the other hand, the writings of the Communists are just "a pack of lies" (and we can't bear to see them in print) isn't it better that these lies be allowed to be printed so we can refute them publicly. It is my opinion that, rather than to adopt Communist tactics of refusal of freedom of the press, we should let the Communists speak their lies or truths. If they are lies, the truth will out; if they are truths, we ought to hear about them.

Too many editorial pages today print only one side of a question. I had admired the Daily Tar Heel up to this time for presenting all sides of any question.

Sally Lineweaver  
407 N. Columbia Street

## More Write Away

### Loyalty Board Is Unfair

Editor:

In a recent editorial, you expressed confidence in the President's Loyalty Board. Under the rules of this board, the accused need not be told the exact nature of the charges against him; he need not be confronted with opposing witnesses; in fact, most constitutional guarantees are suspended; the matter rests in the discretion of the board, whose members have their own views as to what is legitimate. Employees have been discharged for union activity, favoring racial equality, discussing politics instead of going to a ball game, and seeing Russian movies.

Under the rule of the board, an atmosphere of fear has per-

meated government service. For their monthly check, civil servants are asked to surrender their right of speaking forthrightly lest their talk be deemed unorthodox; of engaging in political activity, lest the Censors disapprove; of associating with life long friends who may be on the black list. Loyalty is no longer a matter of well defined overt acts. Your means of livelihood depend on what some (usually conservative) government officers think of your political and social views.

Even this is but half the story. Let us grant for a moment the fantastic hypothesis that a procedure could be set up under which only dues-paying Communists would be discharged. To the best of my knowledge, the Supreme Court

has never found the Communist party guilty of subversiveness or disloyalty; on the contrary, in the Schneiderman case, it found the opposite to be true. Furthermore, the constitution of the Communist party reads: "Any member shall be expelled from the Party who is found to be... engaged in espionage, or advocates force and violence or terrorism."

The Loyalty board serves the convenient purpose of taking the question of disloyalty out of the courts, where the government has to prove its case (which Attorney General Clark admitted it cannot do) into the secret proceedings of administrative tribunals.

Hans Freistadt  
404 McCauley St.

### If I Were The President

Editor:

Now that the presidential campaign is over, many of us like to lean back and say "My job is over until 1952." But our task is not over!

If our citizenship is going to mean anything to us or to the nation we must strive to keep abreast of world happenings. No doubt you have heard the proverbial expression of the Army "A good soldier is a well-informed soldier." This applies no less to us, that a good citizen is a well-informed citizen. To help us face the issues more squarely and more inquiringly, I think it would be well if we were to ask ourselves the question, "What would I do if I were President?"

selfes the question, "What would I do if I were President?"

If I were President, I would ask myself what is the major issue facing my country and the world today. After I had made my decision, I would make the solution of this issue the goal of my administration. What is this most important issue? Is it housing? Is it federal aid to education? Is it labor or management problems? Is it civil rights? Is it inflation? No!!! It is the issue of peace or war. Without peace, no other issue can be solved.

The way to guarantee peace is through federal government,

on such a basis as that which Dr. Frank Graham suggested in his speech in Texas. We must strengthen the United Nations assembly to the point where it can make laws. The Security Council must be altered to act as an executive and enforce the laws. The World Court must be changed from an advisory body to a real court that can try individuals or groups that perpetrate crimes against the peace of the world, and punish them. If I were President, I would strive for peace through a world federal government.

Johnnie F. O'Neal  
308 "B" Dorm

### Textile Data Challenged

Editor:

In demanding the removal of the King Features' cartoons in the interest of Labor, Mr. Shanker implies a pure innocence of this group and a lack of responsibility for any part of today's high prices. To state that any criticism of the antics of Labor is a "smack below the belt" is a direct admission of guilt. As management is guilty, so is Labor; and as controls have been imposed upon Management, so should they be imposed upon Labor.

I challenge the accuracy of Mr. Shanker's figure in reporting the average yearly wage of the textile workers of the Carolinas as \$1680.00. While working with the Veterans Employment Service, I had the opportunity to assist in the compilation of several wage schedules in the cotton

textile industry. In a sample North Carolina plant, the beginning wage for a trainee as of December, 1947 was \$7 1/4c per hour, the average wage was \$11.09 per hour, and many of the higher skilled workers earned from \$75.00 to \$125.00 per week. The trainee rarely remains in such status more than three months, either making the grade or being discharged by that time, and even during this training period he receives periodic wage increase and receives, in addition, shift differentials for night work. Assuming that all workers in this particular plant were trainees, and that no overtime or extra shifts were needed, the average yearly wage would have been \$1820.00.

This example is not too far above the average of all plants

in North and South Carolina to be quoted. If Mr. Shanker obtained his figure from a reliable source, it obviously includes all short-time, temporary, and maintenance workers, and was taken from a not too recent base period. I suggest that he check the recent files of the North Carolina Employment Security Commission for more accurate data.

Just in the matter of relaying to Mr. Shanker a little information on another subject, the veteran's subsistence allowance is not designed to "make ends meet." It is only designed to assist the veteran. I think that we could be more appreciative of something that few—indeed, if any—of us actually earned. After all, Mr. Shanker, you'll be repaying it when you begin to work for a living.

Jack Tripp

### AVC Clarifies Red Stand

Editor:

The belated discovery in certain quarters of AVC's consistent anti-Communist stand makes some clarification necessary. That stand is not the result of a "recent victory" (DTH, Dec. 5), for it is neither recent, nor is it in a sense a victory, but merely a reaffirmation of the consistent policy followed by AVC since its inception. A few brief quotations from AVC policy statements will make this clear.

1. Statement of AVC National Planning Committee, Nov., 1946, supported by a majority of chapters in an opinion poll: "Those whom we ask to join AVC have a right to know the principles underlying this organization and its leadership. We oppose the entrance into our organization of members of the Communist party..."

2. Paragraph 153 of the Domestic Affairs Platform adopted at the 1947 National Convention: "While opposing action to outlaw the Communist Party, the platform stated, '... we unequivocally reject the tortuous dogmas of the Communist Party as being incompatible with democratic processes and completely repugnant to the spirit and letter of the AVC constitution and objectives...'"

3. Resolution passed by the 1948 National Convention: "We, the elected delegates assembled at the Third Annual

organization and its leadership. We oppose the entrance into our organization of members of the Communist party..."

2. Paragraph 153 of the Domestic Affairs Platform adopted at the 1947 National Convention: "While opposing action to outlaw the Communist Party, the platform stated, '... we unequivocally reject the tortuous dogmas of the Communist Party as being incompatible with democratic processes and completely repugnant to the spirit and letter of the AVC constitution and objectives...'"

3. Resolution passed by the 1948 National Convention: "We, the elected delegates assembled at the Third Annual

National Convention of the American Veterans Committee reaffirm our conviction that the totalitarian principles and destructive practices of the American Communist Party are inconsistent with the democratic principles and constructive program of AVC, inimical to its welfare, and that Communist Party members are ineligible for membership in AVC.

"We instruct our incoming national leadership to continue using all means appropriate under our constitution to clean out and keep out members of the Communist Party from our ranks."

George B. Tindall  
Box 711

### Letters Not Significant

Editor:

I was sorry to read that the Daily Tar Heel plans to drop Bill Robertson's column.

His point of view is one that is shared by hundreds of millions of people. About 12 columns appear in the Daily Tar Heel each week. If one student out of every 12 cares to read both sides in the world ideological struggle, then by democratic processes Bill Robertson's weekly column—or one like it—is justified. If the

Daily Tar Heel can print Hearst-owned King Syndicate material 6 times a week, why can it not print the opposite extreme once a week?

It seems to me that, in their recent explanation, the editors ducked the whole question in talking about style of writing and spelling. The question, as I see it, is—Is the Daily Tar Heel willing to run a radical column or not? Letters to the editor are no significant indication of democracy in the press. Big commercial papers

all over the country have sections like "Write Away." I doubt that there is a single country where freedom of the press is so abridged that there are no letters to the editor printed.

I hope the Daily Tar Heel will retain the one distinct advantage it has over the papers we buy in Lenoir. Its columns have not tooed a monotonous editorial line, but rather have been a stimulating forum of student opinion.

Bill Evans

## Written Away

### Decision Is Wrong

Editor:

I would like to take this opportunity to express my disapproval of your policy in regards to one Bill Robertson, the writer of "This Changing World," a column which, unfortunately, you have threatened to abolish with the ending of this quarter.

It seems to me that you are altogether wrong in this decision. Don't misunderstand me! I am not an ardent follower of the "Robertson School" now or have I ever been. In fact, he has never convinced me of anything except as to the possible correctness of his name. Nevertheless, I feel that this seemingly frustrated individual should be allowed the privilege of airing his opinions, no matter how divergent these opinions may be, through our common medium of expression, "The Daily Tar Heel." This is the true spirit of our democracy and our liberal university.

As expressive of democracy and liberal thinking, Mr. John Dewey, professor of philosophy, Columbia University (1905-1931), has the following to say: "While what we call intelligence may be distributed in unequal amounts (no pun intended, Bill), it is the democratic faith that it is sufficiently general so that each individual has something to contribute, and the value of each contribution can be assessed only as it enters into the final pooled intelligence constituted by the contributions of all."

Thusly, the logical decision would be to allow Mr. Robertson to continue with his writings (ravings would be a better word) so that the student body may have a wider source of opinions from which to base its final conclusions. After all, you may chase Mr. Robertson underground, from which point he may advocate "A Bundles for Stalin" or something like "that there."

Dwight E. Bunn

### Hard to Speak Out

Editor:

It is with regret that I see you have decided to drop Mr. Robertson's column. Reading his always provocative column has been something to look forward to in every Tuesday's Tar Heel. You yourself complimented Mr. Robertson for his cooperation in getting in his column regularly, even on big football week-ends, though you saw fit to insult him at the same time by calling such regularity "dirty work."

His column speaks for a philosophy which, no matter how one may disagree with it, is furnishing the inspiration for tremendous mass movements in many oppressed areas which are changing the very nature of modern society. Americans should certainly feel some curiosity about the system of thought which is given as the reason for our peace-time draft, war economy, expenditure of billions in support of dictators, and for the outlawing of a political party.

The editor has explained that many students have spoken out against the column. It is true that little or no support for the column has appeared. I suspect that more people would like to see this viewpoint expressed than this would indicate, for it is rather hard in this period of anti-Communist hysteria to speak out in favor of the presentation of the Marxist viewpoint.

John V. Myers

### Editor Is Chicken

Editor:

I am greatly relieved that Robertson's column will no longer appear.

Firstly, its appearance in the DTH created the impression that the University was more liberal than it is;

Secondly, it was widely read, (evidence the numerous replies in "Write-away"), in derogation of the sports page and the crossword puzzle;


Thirdly, publication of Robertson and Hearst in such close proximity is confusing to the reader;

Fourthly, it annoyed the powers that be and created unrest among those of the propertyless that read it; and,

Finally, vigorous support of Communism is propaganda, and, as you pointed out, not in good taste.

Richard L. Koral  
Law School

P.S.—Mr. Editor, I think you're chicken!



<b>HORIZONTAL</b>	40. military assistants	<b>VERTICAL</b>	7. want
1. lake in Sweden	42. adult male	1. province in Italy	8. salt
6. something inserted	43. develop	2. changes	9. Armenian city
11. Spanish province	47. withdraw	3. Etruscan god	10. occupy
12. closer	48. expiates	4. Mohammedan leaders	11. river in France
14. ensnare	49. musical pipes	5. knockers	13. leases
15. catlike	50. endures	6. conclude	18. eagle
16. Shoshonean Indian			21. Russian stockade
17. swiftness			23. wagons
19. advance guard			25. S-shaped worm
20. positive			26. cooking utensil
22. wander			28. pertaining to finance
23. tilt			29. felt anxiety
24. Oriental			30. masculine name
26. gasps			31. far off
27. donkey			32. evil
28. the heart			33. bewail
29. section of an army			34. zhuzh
32. struggled			35. sand hills
36. toward the sheltered side			37. legal claims
37. escape (colloq.)			40. greedy
38. rescue			41. bristle
39. edge			44. former New Guinea bird
			46. variety of lettuce

Answer to yesterday's puzzle:  
COWES GOA RAM  
APART RAP ERIC  
MESNE ARSENIC  
TEMPT ERASE  
THIS RES ONES  
HUN POSTED A  
EGGERS ELECTS  
SEEPED OWE  
CART DAR FLOW  
ARIEL UGUAL  
REPRESS TRAIL  
ETE DIE AORTA  
TEN AND HESSE

Average time of solution: 18 minutes.  
Dist. by King Features Syndicate, Inc.



# The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is issued daily during the regular sessions of the University by the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second-class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$3.00 per year, \$3.00 per quarter.

Editor ED JOYNER, JR.  
Business Manager T. E. HOLDEN  
Managing Editor Chuck Hauser  
Sports Editor Billy Carmichael III  
Associate Ed. Al Lowenstein  
News Ed. Sally Woodhull  
City Ed. Herb Nachman  
Asst. Spt. Ed. Dick Jenrette  
Adv. Mgr. C. D. Mendenhall  
Circ. Mgr. Owen Lewis  
Subscrip. Mgr. Jim King  
Asst. Bus. Mgr. Betty Huston

Editorial staff: Bev Lawlor.  
News Staff: Margaret Gaston, Sam McKee, Gordon Huffines, Mike McDaniel, Leonard Dudley, Roy Parker, Don Maynard, Wink Locklair, J. L. Merritt, Virginia Forward, Art Xanthos, Jack Brown, Charles Pritchard, Jimmy Leeson, Jimmie Foust, Graham Jones, Ann Sawyer, Emily Baker, Emily Sewell, Bunnie Davis, Troy Williams, Sam Whitehall.

Sports Staff: Zane Robbins, Frank Allston, Larry Fox, Joe Cherry, Taylor Vaden, Wuff Newell, Morton Glasser, Lew Chapman, Bill Burgess, Bill Kellam.

Society Editor Rita Adams  
Society staff: Caroline Bruner, Lucile Conley, Lynn Hammock, Jane Gower, Helen Stephenson, Ann Gamble.

Business Staff: Jane Griffin, Jack Burke, Jackie Burke, Preston Westcott, Oliver Watkins, Erwin Goldman, Neal Cadieu, Bootie Taylor, Jay Quinn, Pat Denning, Ann Green, Allen Tate, Alan Susman, Babs Kerr.

Circulation staff: Don Snow, Randy Hudson, Asst. Circ. Mgrs.: Shasta Bryant, M. J. White, Joe Whitten, Jim White, Bob Morrell.

## Not a Rich Man's School

The University of North Carolina is not a rich man's school, and as is clearly stated in the state constitution, it was never intended to be. There are few people in the state who wish it to become one now. Yet that, in effect, is what the recommendations of the Advisory Budget commission would make it if those recommendations were put into effect.

The Advisory Budget commission sliced \$1,692,377 from the appropriations requested by the University. But this does not represent the total cut in the request. In addition the commission considerably increased the budget item marked "receipts"—which means that less money will be supplied by the legislature and more money must be raised from the University's fee sources.

The budget commission has recommended that the board of trustees increase the tuition fee to a minimum of \$150. This means that North Carolina students would have to pay almost double the tuition fee they are now being charged. Governor Kerr Scott has recommended salary increases for all state employees, and the logical assumption is that this would apply to employees of the University. This would mean a corresponding increase in dormitory rents, food prices at University-owned dining halls, and charges for other self-sustaining services such as laundry.

This increase in costs to students would be putting the burden upon those who can not afford to carry it. The election of Kerr Scott and of Harry Truman were significant in showing that the people of the state and of the nation do not want the financial burden put upon the "little man," but rather upon taxes based upon ability to pay.

It would be interesting to know how many legislators and trustees are in the financial class of the students now in school. Most of them by now have reached a position where an extra \$100 or so may not mean much to them. But to many students an extra \$100 means the difference between having or not having the opportunity of an education.

The plain and simple truth is that many students can not afford an increase in tuition.

Following the war many veterans came to the university who could not have done so without the aid of the GI bill. They made good students. They will make better citizens of the state because they had the opportunity of an education. It is the younger brothers of these students who, having no GI bill, will be excluded from the University if tuition is raised.

Someone—it sounds like Thomas Jefferson—once said that civilization must constantly be reinforced from the rear. When we close the door of education to the economic rear we are closing the door on a lot of brains, because brains and economic position do not always go hand in hand.

## Visit the Legislature

College students—and particularly we of this state-supported University—should be extremely interested in the functioning of our local government. If we are indifferent to such matters, then fears for the future of our state would be well founded.

The North Carolina legislature has opened a new session which will continue for two months. Bills will be presented which will concern this university and the students enrolled in it. It behooves each of us who cares a whit for good government or professes to think on a college level not to miss the opportunity to see the Assembly in action or inaction as the case may be. Our mere presence in the gallery may influence legislators if only to the extent of making them aware of our interest. We in turn may benefit by learning more of the procedure by which we are governed.

Men are tattooed with their special beliefs like so many South Sea Islanders; but a real human heart with divine love in it beats with the same glow under all the patterns of all earth's thousand tribes.—O. W. Holmes.

## CPU Forum Color Line In Education

By Dick Simpson

Negroes have been segregated in universities, as elsewhere, since the beginning of education for their race in the South. Every southern state maintains two systems of colleges, one for whites and one for blacks, and private institutions are likewise separate for the two races. This segregation has been under violent attack lately by Negroes and their friends, chiefly with regard to graduate and professional education. It has become a burning issue in a number of southern state universities, with Negroes seeking admission to "white" schools and a welter of court decisions being handed down.

Those who oppose Negro attempts to enter white universities declare that public opinion throughout the South is strongly behind the present policy of segregation. Breaking down the barriers, they say, would run counter to the will of the majority, and thus violate democratic principles. Furthermore, many warn that if Negroes were allowed to go to school with whites there might be so violent a reaction by white people that the progress of the Negro would be retarded, not advanced.

American college life, they add, involves social contact among students, and not merely academic work. The presence of Negroes and whites on the same campus might lead to "social equality," and the participation of both races in the same social activities, which they consider undesirable. To house and feed the Negroes while preserving segregation and social distance might present a ticklish problem.

On the other hand, those who would admit qualified Negro students to state universities point to the inconsistency of keeping many citizens in inferior, ill-equipped schools with the American ideals of democracy, which demand that everyone be given an equal opportunity to earn for himself the good things in life. If Negroes are forced to attend colleges whose libraries are small, whose faculties are poorly trained, and whose buildings are overcrowded and dilapidated compared with those of white universities in the same areas, and which often do not even offer courses in important subjects, equality of opportunity can hardly be said to exist. Gross inequality obviously exists, in every state from Delaware and Missouri to Texas and Florida. In fact, so poor are the Negro institutions that only one state (North Carolina) provides its Negroes with an institution good enough to meet the minimum standards of the Association of American Universities, whereas every white state university meets these standards.

Whites have refused either to support Negro institutions adequately or to admit Negroes to their own colleges, except when compelled by the courts to do so. A few Negroes have attended the Universities of Maryland, Missouri, and Oklahoma. No bloodshed or intermarriage is known to have resulted.

In the past decade, a number of Negroes have gone to court to gain admission to white law and graduate schools when the Negro colleges in their states offered no courses or inferior ones. The federal courts have ruled repeatedly that segregation is constitutional only if the facilities for both races are equal. The first such ruling came in 1938, when the Supreme Court forced the University of Missouri to take Lloyd Gaines into its law school, since none was available at the state Negro college. This decision has been reaffirmed in numerous other cases.

Texas and Oklahoma have hastily set up Negro law schools, with a handful of part-time professors and a few books, to provide in each case for one Negro.

## Contempt Deserved

# 'Man of Distinction'

(Reprinted from  
The Raleigh News and Observer)

It may very well be that Frank Graham has sometimes been too eager to align himself with every cause which seemed to him to promise service to the advance and freedom of man. This Fulton Lewis, Jr., radio commentator, who has the gall to question Graham's patriotism, has been more selective about the giving of his name. Indeed, so far as is known the only and recent use of his name he has permitted has been endorsement for publication of Calvert whiskey.

In that whiskey company's series of advertisements which undertake to persuade that "men of distinction" drink Calvert whiskey, Mr. Lewis recently appeared in a full-page colored picture of himself sitting smirking in satisfaction with a glass of whiskey in his hand. It is not in the record what the whiskey company paid, if anything beyond photographic flattery, to Fulton Lewis, Jr., for the service in the cause of Calvert. Maybe he felt that he was sufficiently paid off by the publicity.

Frank Graham, thank God, is no such "man of distinction." He is a native, Christian North Carolinian whose whole life has been devoted to the faith in the fulfillment of all the possibilities for men in decency and dignity and freedom. No man in America has lived a life more devoutly devoted to opposition to totalitarianism and every other type of tyranny in his State, in his country, and in the world. He stood up against Russian aggression in Finland long before Calvert or anybody else had ever heard the sanctimonious voice of this Lewis

engaged in his efforts to smear the characters of better men.

The ablest and most devoted men in America who are entrusted with the keeping of American security at its most vital point have, as members of the Atomic Energy Commission, expressed their full trust in Frank Graham after the fullest investigation which that agency properly gives to those who have access to its restricted information. He does not need the approval of this Lewis who seems to feel that because he has been chosen to smirk for whiskey, his snarl is important in the evaluation of patriotism.

Undoubtedly, in his efforts to serve his fellowmen Frank Graham has upon occasion been found in the company of the poor and the bitter, the mistaken sometimes and the misled. He has moved untouched even among the lepers of our times. And so long as he lives where men need freedom, faith, and hope, Frank Graham will not hold back from such men because some among them may be diseased. He will give his name now and in the future, as in the past, to causes and purposes which seem to him to serve freedom and humanity.

There is a difference in "men of distinction." This Lewis sells his name, to help sell liquor, for cash or flattery, publicity or a chance to smirk pompously in full color. Frank Graham gives his out of his heart for his fellowmen. That difference is as easy to understand as the true patriotism which Frank Graham clearly lives and this contempt which this Lewis definitely deserves.

## Write Away

# Wide Are The Gates Viewpoints

Editor:

In re John Gates:  
Apologies are in order for the impossibility of allowing a question period, as had been planned for Memorial Hall. At an outdoor meeting on a dark street, in the presence of certain elements, it seemed unlikely that it could be handled in an orderly fashion. I regret this as much as those who had questions to ask, inasmuch as Mr. Gates is usually at his best answering questions; I hope that next time a speaker of Mr. Gates' views comes to Chapel Hill, a hall can be found for a more comfortable meeting, with questions.

The most obvious fact about the meeting, completely ignored by the commercial press, was interest with which the crowd of students followed Mr. Gates for four blocks and listened quietly as he spoke. The usual gutter elements were present, of course (no more than 50, I am sure); they were especially enraged and provocative upon realizing that Mr. Gates made a dignified speech and did not exactly conform to the stereotype of a Communist.

Hans Freistadt

Editor:

Tonight I went up to Memorial Hall, expecting to hear the editor of the "Daily Worker" speak. Instead I found a darkened and locked building, with a crowd milling around before it. After inquiry, it was learned that Chancellor House had barred Mr. Gates from speaking in a University building, basing this refusal on a North Carolina law which prohibits a speaker in this state to advocate overthrow of government by violence. It would no doubt be useless to point out that Mr. Gates had no intentions of advocating violent overthrow of the government, but was simply to explain the case of the twelve men recently indicted by a New York federal grand jury for "conspiracy".

I was shocked when I learned of the University's tramping on our rights of free speech and assembly supposedly guaranteed by the Constitution. This-an institution world famous for its "liberalism" and "progressivism"-has finally thrown off its mask and revealed the truth.

It makes me sick at heart.  
Jack W. Hopkins

Editor:

As for Mr. John Gates, Hans Freistadt, Bill Robertson, et al. there is no need for comment.

Rank Communism is atheistic, therefore not Christian. It is not enough for their arguments to be intelligent to be worthy of consideration, they must be truthful. They have said that the

philosophy of Jesus Christ was Communistic. ("All that believe were together and had all things in common, dividing them to all as every man had need.") True, in part. But he had one requirement of all men: first they must be born again, born of the spirit of God. Then out of the holiness of their hearts, they would share their goods with each other as the early Christian church did.

"Jesus answered, verily, verily, I say to you. Except a man be born of water and of the spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God."

John Apostol

Editor:

We uphold Chancellor House's timely decision, maintain that Gates' right of freedom of speech was not violated, and that the date set for his appearance was most inopportune.

In no wise does the Constitution stipulate that an orator's prospective listeners provide him with assembly halls and/or soap boxes; moreover a property owner (in this case a sovereign state) certainly has the right to regulate matters pertaining to freedom of speech, religion, or politics that may be carried on within the limits of his property. It seems to us that Gates said what he came down South to say, and to a mixed audience of free persons who were in no manner forced to listen or prohibited from listening. The Matterhorn made from this mole hill incident is certainly as unjustified as the popular broad and foolish interpretation of the Freedom of Speech concept.

Gates' appearance in Memorial Hall would have certainly accomplished what Communists in the world wish: the hamstringing of a free nation's institutions, especially the institution of higher learning. We, through personal experiences, know what the people of North Carolina think of UNC's sometimes too liberal leanings. We maintain that Gates' appearance on university grounds would have been most inopportune in that it would have defaced or slashed whatever appropriations for the growth of this institution that the State Legislature, in session, was considering, by creating unfavorable publicity. Fortunately, though, the appropriations have been recommended by Gov. Scott and will probably be made. The time and effort expended by university officials, influential alumni and students may not have been in vain. Chalk up one for Democracy.

R. G. Hall, F. D. Brammer  
M. T. McRae and J. J. Kline

Editor:

I should like to commend

the stand taken by the Daily Tar Heel in its editorial concerning the appearance of John Gates on this campus. It demonstrated both courage and a belief in democratic principles, which does credit to the Daily Tar Heel and to the student body as a whole.

Jake Wicker

Editor:

Wednesday night, January 12, 1949, was a night for miracles in Chapel Hill.

Miracle No. 1—John Gates, a prominent Communist party member was locked out of Memorial Hall.

Miracle No. 2—This representative of the Communist party spent his entire time in the speech he delivered anyway by pleading for the right of every man to be heard regardless of belief. Wow!

Miracle No. 3—Presented with an audience willing to let him be heard, this supposedly articulate Communist refused to talk to response to the efforts by many students to ask him sincere questions about the political beliefs held by his party. Double Wow!

Your headlines and your editorial have made it appear that the Communists scored a great victory. On the contrary, I believe they were presented with a golden opportunity to make an impression and fumbled the ball.

William E. Loftin

Editor:

With many others I read with sincere discouragement of the totalitarian suppression of a speech that was to be delivered by "one John Gates" in Memorial Hall. North Carolina is a university which has gained a nationwide reputation for its liberal attitude and in an age when more and more of our thought is being channeled into a rigid dogmatism by a careful selection of the political, social and economic thought it seems to me a great shame that one of the few "democratic" institutions that we have left should succumb to such meanness of spirit and short sightedness of outlook. That a legal technicality was invoked to prevent this free assembly is only the flimsiest excuse.

Kai E. Nielsen

Editor:

Reds are virtually dominating one of the most powerful labor groups in America—the CIO. Before many moons they'll have your press—one of many which are defending their so called "rights," if we do not act wisely. Let us form a SOL—Orchids to Chancellor House for invoking one of the most intelligent statutes ever to emanate from an assembly of lawmakers.

J. R. Cherry, Jr.

## These Days

# I Earn Too Much

By George E. Sokolsky

So collection day has come around again. And I have become a collector. I thought I earned a living for my family by writing columns, speaking on the radio, advising industrialists about their troubles, delivering lectures, putting in more hours a day than makes sense, traveling on trains and planes.

But my main business is collecting money. I collect money principally for the government of the United States. True, it costs something to operate this business of mine, but after that is charged off, and the landlord is paid, and the butcher and grocer get theirs, the collection goes to the big boss.

My first pay was \$3.00 a week as an office boy during summers. I was a very little office boy. I have been earning money. I was a very little office boy. I have been earning money. I was a very little office boy. I have been earning money.

So I worked hard, tried this and that and sometimes took a great risk in the hope of improving the general situation. Now apparently I earn too much. The big boss says so and he demands so large a share that to pay him—after the high cost of living—I may have to borrow. Maybe I shall have to borrow from him, paying him a penalty of six percent, which by his own terms could be usury. Yes, the main business of a lot of people is just to collect money for operations and taxes, with nothing left over to take care of the future. And that is the gist of our problems—the future. Even with high taxes, most of us get by these days, even on a shockingly self-confused basis. But what about the future? What are we laying up for a rainy day?

There are many Americans whose work span is comparatively short—their earning power reaching a swift peak and then moving downward as sclerosis sets in. Such often believe themselves to be very big shots while they are collecting. But no one remains everlastingly acceptable. The day comes when the work begins to be less acceptable and what counts is not what one receives but what one has saved. The important thing is to save—to put money in the bank, to make investments, to buy life insurance—to provide against the future.

No one likes to live on charity—on private or government charity. Certainly no one in good health and working hard looks with cheerfulness toward the day when, his usefulness lessened, he will receive an old age pension or unemployment insurance. There is no satisfaction in looking back to the thousands of dollars he has collected for the collector of internal revenue in the good old days. It is sadder to save, to keep money, to get old gracefully out of the earnings of the better years.

That was the attitude of the America which is now able to sustain the western world, but that is not the America of the future. While we were earners and savers, we were building a wonderful America; as collectors, we are unable to build. We work; we collect; we pass it on.

Only the "smart boys," the liars, the cheats, the frauds, can save; only those who take the cash and put the cash in their pockets can accumulate savings. But who wants to cheat? What decent person wants to wonder when he will be caught saving for his family when he ought to be collecting for the government?

And yet our system is based upon the family, on the ability of the father to do the best he can for his children and the ability of his children to serve their children. Lack of savings makes us all dependents—and dependents are not free men.

The income tax is not merely a revenue collecting mechanism. It is a device of the social revolution. It changes society and turns free men into the things of the government. It leaves each man without a future—and therefore without independence and courage.

(Copyright, 1949, King Features Syndicate, Inc.)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12				13				14		
15				16				17		
18				19				20		
21	22			23				24		
25				26				27	28	29
30				31				32		
33				34				35		
36				37				38		
39	40			41				42		
43				44				45		46
47				48				49		50
51				52				53		

- |   |               |                       |   |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|---|
| <b>HORIZONTAL</b>                       | 45. bulrush   | <b>VERTICAL</b>       | 7. pertaining to voters                 |
| 1. alack                                | 48. cram      | 1. exclamation        | 8. church officer                       |
| 5. not astir                            | 49. coil into | 2. loiter             | 9. canal in N. Y.                       |
| 9. wapti                                | 50. scope     | 3. profitable         | 10. continue                            |
| 12. own                                 | 51. yes       | 4. notched like a saw | 11. joint of leg                        |
| 13. rod                                 | 52. beginner  | 5. likely             | 17. examine                             |
| 14. operated                            | 53. at hand   | 6. wind over Adriatic | 19. miscellany                          |
| 15. culture medium                      |               |                       | 21. lofty                               |
| 16. thesis                              |               |                       | 22. molding                             |
| 18. man's name                          |               |                       | 23. forever                             |
| 20. grow together                       |               |                       | 26. fury                                |
| 21. white crystalline compound          |               |                       | 27. being                               |
| 24. plaything                           |               |                       | 28. evict                               |
| 25. commotion                           |               |                       | 29. network                             |
| 27. the heart                           |               |                       | 32. pertaining to a dependency of China |
| 30. unspirited                          |               |                       | 34. straight paddle                     |
| 31. misjudge                            |               |                       | 35. dry, of vines                       |
| 32. genuine                             |               |                       | 38. narrow pass                         |
| 33. support                             |               |                       | 39. beg                                 |
| 34. gloomiest                           |               |                       | 40. soften                              |
| 36. misdeed                             |               |                       | 41. malicious glance                    |
| 37. lebed                               |               |                       | 44. pair                                |
| 38. having no lateral ranges of columns |               |                       | 46. marshy meadow                       |
| 42. moisten                             |               |                       | 47. lug                                 |
| 43. ring for wrist                      |               |                       |   |

Answer to Saturday's puzzle.  
PUN BRAVE VAS  
AVE RARER ISO  
SAC ACT MASKS  
TACK HINT  
GRACE SANTAFE  
LIRE BARES AL  
ASS POUTS FRA  
CE IRATE PRET  
EREMITE BRIDE  
RAMS SLOG  
AROMA ETA APT  
BAD TARES TEE  
AVE ENATE END  
Average time of solution: 37 minutes.  
Dist. by King Features Syndicate, Inc.



# The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is issued daily during the regular sessions of the University by the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second-class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$8.00 per year, \$3.00 per quarter.

Editor: ED JOYNER, JR.  
Business Manager: T. E. HOLDEN  
Managing Editor: Chuck Hauser  
Sports Editor: Billy Carmichael III  
Associate Ed.: Al Lowenstein  
News Ed.: Sally Woodhull  
City Ed.: Herb Nachman  
Asst. Svt. Ed.: Dick Jenrette  
Adv. Mgr.: C. B. Mendenhall  
Circ. Mgr.: Owen Lewis  
Subscrip. Mgr.: Jim King  
Asst. Bus. Mgr.: Betty Huston  
Editorial staff: Bev Lawler, Nat Williams, Bob Fowler.

## Council Needs Candidates

The tri-partisan board has held two meetings in the last two weeks, trying to find a candidate to fill the graduate post in the Men's Honor council vacated earlier this quarter. The two meetings have produced only one candidate, and the board has decided to wait for formal applications from two more men before holding another meeting.

The purpose of the board is to keep judicial posts from becoming political battlegrounds by nominating men for these jobs through previous agreement by the three parties. The board cannot fulfill this purpose unless men will present themselves and their qualifications before the board.

The Men's council can function without this one member, but it will not represent the graduate students in the manner that the constitution provides. Since the tri-partisan board will not nominate a man to fill the vacancy until it has more candidates to choose between, it is squarely up to the men graduate students to volunteer for this job and to serve as representatives of their group.

## Send 'em to a Nut House

The lighting or possession of fire crackers is prohibited by state law and should be by common sense on a college campus, but some inconsiderate students seem to be unaware or indifferent to it. The lower quad, in particular has been shaken by nightly barrages lately.

Students who are trying to study during dorm "quiet" hours might overlook the disturbance as a little expected hell-raising if only it were not so persistent. Studying between bursts of explosions is just about as futile as it is nerve-wracking.

The local police probably have pretty definite ideas about the proper place for such flagrant violators, and long-suffering students suspect that it is a state institution of a very different nature from a university.

## So Soon Forgotten

People forget quickly.

Five months ago no conversation in North Carolina was free of some mention of polio myelitis. Theaters closed, meetings were cancelled, schools delayed opening. People were afraid to travel from one part of the state to another.

At that time North Carolina called upon the National Foundation for Infantile Paralysis for help—and the Foundation responded with almost a million dollars, special equipment and trained medical teams. Now the Foundation is asking for help from those it helped. But now the epidemic is over. Now there is no danger—and next summer is a long way off.

People forget quickly.

## Four-Sided Controversy Continues

## Jewish Claims to Homeland Not Counter to Interests of Palestinian Arabs

Editor:

There is dubious wisdom in Mr. Beshara's irresponsible misinformed attack upon Zionism in the Jan. 23 DTH, as it can only create dissension at a time when Israeli and Arab are striving to settle their differences. However, to set the record straight, I should like to present the following facts:

(1) The League of Nations in 1923 gave Britain the Mandate of Palestine "to facilitate . . . the establishment of the National Jewish Home," emphasizing that "recognition has been given to the historical connection of the Jewish people with Palestine and to the grounds for reconstructing their national home in that country."

(2) Emir Feisal, Arab spokesman at the World War I Peace Conference, welcomed the Jews to Palestine as "brothers."

(3) President Woodrow Wilson stated that "the Allied nations, with the full consent of our Government and our people, are agreed that in Palestine shall be laid the foundations of a Jewish commonwealth."

(4) Arabs had sovereignty in Palestine from 634 to 1071 A. D. From then on non-Arab

peoples have controlled the country. In chronological order: Kurds, Crusaders, Circassians, Kurds again, Mamelukes, Turks, and the League of Nations.

(5) There has always been a Jewish community in Palestine. Jews never gave up hope of reestablishing the homeland there, and periodic efforts were made toward this end.

(6) Until modern Zionism brought Jews in large numbers to Palestine, Arab residents were gradually moving away. Improved living conditions, brought about by the Jews through modern industry, agriculture, medicine, and education, reversed this trend.

(7) Oil interests, empire builders, and Arab feudal lords, fearful lest Zionism—propagated democratic teachings—would weaken their control of the Near East, instigated the bloody riots of 1929 and 1936-39.

(8) Because of British unwillingness or inability to assure public safety, Hagana, the Jewish defense force, was organized. Its principal has always been that of restraint—of fighting only when attacked.

(9) In 1939 Britain imposed severe restrictions upon Jew-

## Entertainment Guide

### 'HMS Pinafore' Tops Weekend

By Vestal C. Taylor  
Heading the list of week-end entertainment for Chapel Hillians is the presentation of Gilbert and Sullivan's "H.M.S. Pinafore" by the Playmakers and the University Glee Club.

Played by a cast taken from the top drawer of talent in both organizations, and ably directed by Gwynn McPeck of the Music Department, the performance promises a full evening of entertainment to all who enjoy good comedy and fine music.

The book tells of a lowly English sailor's struggle to win the hand of the sweet, unassuming daughter of his captain. The captain, a high and haughty man in the Queen's navy, further complicates the plot by wishing his daughter united in wedlock to an even higher and haughtier man in the Queen's navy. Other love affairs liven the plot and the climax is satisfactory to both Seaman Rackstraw and the audience.

Collaboration between the Playmakers and Glee Club on a major production has become an annual affair, making its appearance in January of each year. Gwynn McPeck is substituting for Paul Young, who usually directs the annual production, but who has been forced to curb his activities because of a troublesome back ailment.

It is encouraging to interested parties to see collaboration-cooperation between the campus organizations dedicated to entertainment. Not only have we seen too much friction within some similar groups, but the rivalry and jealousy between the units has often been carried too far. A definite step in the right direction is the collusion between four campus organizations to produce and present "Beggars Opera" in the near future.

Incidentally, the casters for "Beggars Opera" may be forced to dig below the surface to find top flight talent for the top roles in the cast.

It seems the cream of the crop of active singers on campus are all tired out from two major productions in as many months. Paul Young is reported to be firmly discouraging his best vocalists from participating, not just to be ornery, but so they may catch up on the work prescribed in their curriculum.

This seems a golden opportunity for those of you who have been hiding your light under a basket to come forth when try-outs are announced for "Beggars Opera."

The Rendezvous room, with Friday night shows is now better than ever.



Distributed by King Features Syndicate by arrangement with The Washington Star

## Washington Scene

### Coffee At Senator Taft's

By George Dixon  
(Copyright, 1949, by King Features Syndicate, Inc.)

WASHINGTON — Aglow with thoughts of impending conviviality, Representative Brent Spence, of Kentucky, chairman of the powerful House Banking and Currency committee, sallied forth to a jamboree of Kentuckians the other evening.

The address was just off Massachusetts ave., and 33rd St. Pulling into the block he saw a house all lighted up, and people entering.

Among the latter he spotted Senator Taft. Mr. Spence was momentarily nonplussed because Mr. Taft is neither a Democrat nor a Kentuckian. Nor, let's face it frankly, is he much given to conviviality.

But Mr. Spence figured repressed Robert was just letting down the bars for one evening, and followed him in. He was greeted at the door by a lady who asked him if he would like a nice cup of coffee.

The Kentuckian had not come for coffee, but he failed to see any other potables in sight. So he accepted a demitasse with true Kentucky courtesy, and remarked, loathingly, that there was nothing like a hot cup of coffee on a winter night.

## Too Little Too Late



Distributed by King Features Syndicate by arrangement with The Washington Star

## Washington Scene

### Coffee At Senator Taft's

He waited for the hilarity to begin, but it didn't. Finally he drew an acquaintance aside and observed that it was a pretty subdued affair for a Kentucky party.

The other looked so bewildered that Mr. Spence asked: "Say, just whose party is this anyway?"

"Why," gasped the other, "you must know! This is the home of Senator Taft!"

Mr. Spence went over to the Ohioan and mumbled apologies. Taft told him the house he wanted was across the street.

"I should have tumbled at once," Mr. Spence commented later. "I should have known it wasn't a Kentucky party when they were serving only coffee."

Our ultra-dignified ex-warrior, Brig. Gen. Joseph F. Bateley, was in New York the other day and decided he would like to see Bob Clark in Mike Todd's new show "As the Girls Go." Having an innate aversion to buying theater tickets, the gallant general put the lug on Mr. Clark for a couple of passes.

But the comedian got his own back, with compound interest. In one skit the cigar-smoking Mr. Clark was seated

in a drawing room with a couple of grande dames when the butler entered. Looking up, Mr. Clark greeted the starched servitor with:

"Ah, good morning, Battley!"

Every politico and social light in Washington knows "Robert," the famous maitre de hotel of the Shoreham. But few know his last name, which is Kehl.

Probably no man alive is as aware of our Washington peculiarities as Robert. He has sedulously trained his staff to cater to these eccentricities.

The other evening a local tightwad, unstrung by Barnee's jive and the hints of the doll he was with, called for a bottle of champagne. It was brought in, with great formality, by Bing Woods, captain of waiters.

"Pardon me, sir," said Mr. Woods, unctuously, "How do you wish the bottle opened? With a loud bang or a dignified pop?"

When bachelor Joe Martin was Speaker of the House, he received numerous proposals of marriage from ambitious gals of all sizes, shapes, and descriptions. But the quest for his hand slackened off abruptly last November.

A couple days ago, however, he received another proposal—by mail from a lady in England.

Mr. Martin's only comment was: "Apparently she hasn't heard yet about us Republicans losing the election."

The question before the house is: "Who's important, and who ain't?" You can supply your own answer.

News photographers conceived the idea of having Vice President Barkley pose with Jarrett L. Withers, the interim fellow appointed to fill out Mr. Barkley's unexpired term as senator from Kentucky. They figured it would be a nice break for Withers.

The amiable Alben told the photos he would be delighted to oblige at their convenience.

But the substitute senator replied haughtily that he was much too busy with his many important duties to pose with the Vice President.

The District of Columbia Unemployment Compensation board announced it is constantly on the alert to prevent any "funny business."

Sounds like nasty suspicious-mindedness. What possible "funny business" could there be?

The Board also revealed it has received applications from nearly 300 jobless Washingtonians to have their compensation checks forwarded so they can spend the winter in Florida.

Merton Rubin

## Write Away

### And Laugh, Laugh, Laugh

Editor:

For some time now I have been an avid reader of the columns of George Dixon. In fact, many years ago when my family subscribed to the Sunday supplement of the Hearst papers called "This Week Magazine," the sometimes sexy, frequently frothy and always amusing columns of Dixon were a favorite in our household.

I am happy to note that the Tar Heel has finally found a good humorist on its staff . . . and for free too.

It is nice of you to take the strain off our minds by keeping us from reading columns by Pearson, Stokes, the Alsop brothers, etc. After all those columns are well-written and contain much vital information which the students need, and (judging by the recent letters in the Tar Heel) want.

Three cheers and a hip-hooray for joker-boy Dixon. Now pardon me while I read his latest column and laugh, laugh, laugh!

Name Withheld By Request

## Peace By Compromise

Editor:

The "showdown with the Soviet Union" suggested by Murray Goldenthal in an article entitled "Freedom the Paramount Issue" would be tantamount to a demand for unconditional surrender. The indications are that in that case the Soviet Union would fight.

Let us examine the assumptions from which Mr. Goldenthal justifies such a "showdown."

(1) The "cold war (is) not of our own making." To the best of my recollection, the first act of cold war was the steamrolling of Argentina's admission through the San Francisco UN Conference. The USSR has repeatedly offered peace talks to end the cold war. Would this not offer more chance for peace than a "showdown?"

(2) "We have not been met half way . . . by the Russians." On the decisive issue of atomic energy control, the Soviet delegates have conceded on the questions of inspection and of the timing of the destruction of existing stockpiles. The U. S. delegation has not appreciably budged from the original Baruch plan.

It is easy to pat oneself on the back uncritically and loudly denounce Russian guile. The atmosphere of 1949 is such that few people demand proof. How this contributes to the cause of peace I have yet to see.

It is not my purpose in this letter to debate the merits of Soviet policy. I merely assume that most DTH readers, like myself, desire peace without sacrifice of our national interests—and are unwilling to risk immense damage to our country merely to wipe out the (unfortunately) unpopular ideology of Communism. It is also not difficult to show that our State Department has but little resemblance to a knight in shining armor, and has done its share of agreement breaking, double dealing, and intervention in the internal affairs of other countries. Then why not try, instead of a "showdown" and probable war, a Big Three conference to settle honorably, by mutual compromise, in the tradition of Yalta and Potsdam, all basic outstanding issues?


Hans Freistadt

## 'Christian' Emphasis Needed

Editor:

. . . This week is widely advertised as Religious Emphasis week. That is good. But we need every week for such emphasis. However, in some ways, I don't like the word religious. Why not substitute Christian emphasis? Did Buddha or Confucius ever die on a cross for the sins of all of us? Did they ever rise again from the grave? So why not lay our emphasis squarely at the cross of our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ. Then He will save and give us the abundant life for eternity. "Repentance and remission of sins should be preached in His name among all nations, beginning at Jerusalem."

John Apostol



**HORIZONTAL**  
1. heavy twine  
5. division  
9. Syrian  
12. wings  
13. fairy tale  
14. spring  
15. posting  
17. appearing  
19. slight  
20. shows  
21. countenances  
23. ready  
24. tire  
25. steeply  
26. negative  
28. street  
29. leads  
30. deface  
31. prefix  
32. watered  
33. Austrian  
34. cosmetic  
35. to make  
38. read  
38. queen of the gods  
39. tilted  
40. a marvel  
43. hasten  
44. in any case  
46. river duck  
47. river island  
48. sand hill  
49. catch  
1. eccentric  
10. mental  
11. affirmative  
16. those in power  
18. unusual  
20. pass imperceptibly  
21. retired  
22. trunk of tree  
23. sunder  
25. river in France  
26. nostril  
27. riotous party  
29. boosted  
30. threatens  
32. pound  
33. salt of boric acid  
34. impress  
35. personal pronoun  
36. Amazon estuary  
37. case for small articles  
38. employ for pay  
40. adult males  
41. fold over  
42. English cathedral town  
45. Odin's brother

**Answer to yesterday's puzzle:**  
POP SPEAK ALA  
AVE HALMA MEN  
RANSACK TRAIN  
SAME STET  
SHIN DELETING  
HOVEL LOGE OR  
APE ANITA FRA  
RE AMID TRESS  
EDIFICES AKES  
VANE ECRU  
AGORA STEELED  
MAR TRIAL EWE  
AMY EASEL SAW

Average time of solution: 25 minutes.  
Dist. by King Features Syndicate, Inc.



# The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is issued daily during the regular sessions of the University by the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second-class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$3.00 per year, \$2.00 per quarter.

Editor: ED JOYNER, JR.  
Business Manager: T. E. HOLDEN  
Managing Editor: Chuck Hauser  
Sports Editor: Billy Carmichael III  
Associate Ed.: Al Lowenstein, Adv. Mgr.: C. B. Mendenhall  
News Ed.: Sally Woodhull, Circ. Mgr.: Owen Lewis  
City Ed.: Herb Nachman, Subscrip. Mgr.: Jim King  
Asst. Spt. Ed.: Dick Jenrette, Asst. Bus. Mgr.: Betty Huston  
Staff Photographer: James A. Mills

Editorial staff: Bev Lawler, Nat Williams, Bob Fowler.  
News Staff: Margaret Gaston, Sam McKee, Gordon Huffines, Mike McDaniel, Leonard Dudley, Roy Parker, Don Maynard, Wink Locklair, J. L. Merritt, Virginia Forward, Art Xanthos, Jack Brown, Charles Pritchard, Jimmy Leeson, Jimmie Foust, Graham Jones, Ann Sawyer, Emily Baker, Emily Sewell, Bonnie Davis, Troy Williams, Sam Whitehall.  
Sports Staff: Buddy Vaden, Zane Robbins, Wuff Newell, Joe Cherry, Lew Chapman, Larry Fox.  
Business Staff: Jane Griffin, Jacy Rush, Jackie Burke, Preston Westcott, Oliver Watkins, Erwin Goldman, Neal Cadieu, Bootsie Taylor, Jay Quinn, Pat Denning, Ann Green, Allen Tate, Alan Susman, Babs Kerr, Marie Nussbaum, Jackie Sharpe, Gladys Cottrell.

## On Fraternity Discrimination

(From the Rensselaer Polytechnic as reprinted in the Georgia Tech Techniqua)

The National Interfraternity Conference held its annual undergraduate convention in New York during the Thanksgiving Vacation. Elsewhere in this issue are the accomplishments of this convention.

Perhaps the most significant topic discussed at the convention was racial and religious discrimination in fraternities and we would like to discuss the situation in this editorial. When we mention fraternities, sororities are also implied.

Many national fraternity constitutions contain clauses which exclude members of different races and religions. The biggest question centers around the exclusion of Negroes from all-white fraternities and lesser ones concern exclusion of members of different religious groups.

A fraternity is mainly a social organization whose members form a homogeneous group whose closeness of contact is exceeded only by the family group. Although a family cannot choose the larger portion of its members, fraternity men have the inherent right and privilege to choose their associates.

It is this privilege of choosing one's companions that advocates for the removal of discriminatory bans present as their basis. They argue that if, for example, members of a chapter of an all white fraternity wish to initiate a Negro, they should be allowed to do so. Naturally, a presupposition of this argument is the trend of thinking today which is heading towards an all encompassing civil rights and social democracy program.

It is therefore believed that all the discriminatory bans should be removed from all national fraternity constitutions and that each individual chapter of a national be allowed to decide for itself whom to admit.

There are, however, other facets to the question. Status quo advocates point out that such a policy would in all likelihood spell the end of the national structure of fraternities. This is illustrated by assuming that a northern chapter of a fraternity initiated a Negro. Some Southern chapters of this fraternity would either withdraw from the national, alienate itself from the offending chapter, or not recognize the Negro as a fraternity brother. Such actions would tend to throw the national fraternity into chaos.

Status quo advocates (many of whom idealistically uphold the social rights principle) cite the value of a national fraternity organization in promoting comprehensive spirit of comradeship and in providing necessary funds and non-monetary aid in the founding and continuance of its member chapters.

Many of the status quo advocates lament the prevalent discriminatory thinking, but feel that it must be accepted. Consequences of it are so far fetched that in the example mention above the Southern chapters could be "discriminated" against to the extent that many persons would actually refuse to enter the chapter house. Thus, the principle that legislation alone cannot change social conditions and thinking is illustrated.

Advocates of non-discriminatory fraternities answer some of these arguments. They acknowledge the usefulness of national fraternities, but believe that they are not indispensable for the flourishing of fraternities. Although, it is convenient to have a national, the members of an individual chapter develop closer friendships among themselves and with other men on their own campus than they do with members of their fraternity in other chapters.

It is also felt that the trend of thinking today is inexorably heading towards civil rights and social democracy for all races and religions. The facts that legislation in itself will not solve the problem and that certain individuals and even some groups would be discriminated against if fraternity constitutions were liberalized are recognized. It is an accepted fact that all of the people cannot be satisfied but it is believed that the large majority of public opinion favors non-discriminatory fraternity constitutions. Thus, it is held, at the present time there is a sufficiently large majority favoring the removal of discriminatory bans from national fraternity constitutions to make such action feasible and mandatory.

This column believes that the basic principles of civil rights and social democracy for all races and religions and freedom of choice are important enough to overcome the valid objections offered by status quo advocates.

## Wash. Scene Republicans Try Glamor?

By George Dixon

(Copyright, 1949, King Features Syndicate, Inc.)

Republican members of Congress are giving serious consideration to a proposal that a high-powered publicity man be hired to glamorize themselves and party.

They are beset with increasing fears that the voters have come to regard them as drab fellows, without any of "that there." They want to be aluring.

The proposal is that some recognized expert on glamorizing be brought to Washington and given reasonably carte blanche on his operations. The idea is that he start the campaign in the House of Representatives and later move on to the Senate if the thing seems to be producing results.

Although they propose to give him carte blanche they don't want him glamorizing senators at the expense of the lowlier representatives. They feel that would be putting the carte before the blanch.

The idea seems to have merit because—to put it frankly—there are many G. O. P. congressmen who are somewhat less than fascinating. And, since the election, they have added lugubriousness to their drabness.

It might prove quite a chore to build them up as bewitching circles, but tougher things have been accomplished. There was a fellow around here a couple of years ago who glamorized potatoes by parading a luscious young doll as the "potato queen."

I don't know just how a drumbeater would go about glamorizing, let us say, Representative Taber of New York. While a sober, industrious legislator, Mr. Taber could hardly be described as enticing.

I bow to no man in my admiration for Representative Clarence Brown, of Ohio, the portly statesman who tried to get the Presidential nomination for Senator Taft. But I could never bring myself to call Mr. Brown seductive.

I revere Representative Runt Bishop, of Illinois, but I have never heard anyone describe him as bewitching. The same goes for minority leader Joe Martin, although he must have something, because he keeps getting proposals of marriage.

Naturally I want to see our splendid G. O. P. legislators made attractive to the voters, if not to each other, but the process of achieving allure is a little out of my line. However, when I wish advice on any subject I go to an authority, so I took this issue to Miss Reid.

I have it on fairly reliable authority that the dizzy dame uses up the equivalent of twenty hogheads a year, in toenail paint alone. Unexpected visitors to our ratpit have been captivated by the spectacle of her lacquering a tootsie while checking the spelling of U (So) Nyun, the Burmese Ambassador in the Congressional directory.

"I know one build-up stunt that has always proved sure-fire," said Miss Reid. "Employ a glamor decoy. We could photograph these Republicans shaking hands with a prominent Democrat."

Remembering what was accomplished in the case of Miami Beach, I asked what about having them pose with bathing beauties. Miss Reid reminded me we have been having an unusual cold spell lately.

"But," she added, "I have an idea, although it might require more traveling than they have time for. They could get glamor publicity by chasing Rita Hayworth."

Senator Warren G. Magnuson, of Washington, wrote to the Navy requesting some obsolete guns for Illahee State Park, near Bremerton, Wash. He was speedily put in his place.

The Navy replied, tersely, that it had no obsolete guns.

## 'A House Divided—'



Distributed by King Features Syndicate by arrangement with The Washington Star

## Who Belongs to N. S. A.?

## Not Just A Clique

(A meeting of the Virginia-Carolina Region of the National Students Association is scheduled to take place in Chapel Hill this coming weekend. Since most students at Carolina do not know what the National Students Association is, and since almost no students here realize that they are members of the N. S. A., the Daily Tar Heel is reprinting the following statement from the N.S.A. News, official publication of the National Students Association.)

From time to time we hear a student comment, "Someone in my dorm belongs to that NSA" or, "A boy in my French class is a member of the National Student Association."

These remarks give evidence of a prevalent misconception concerning the membership of NSA: a misconception that the National Student Association is an organization of small branches or chapters on campuses and that only a select few really "belong."

On a campus where the student government or student body has ratified the NSA Constitution and the membership dues have been paid all the students are members of the Association and share equally the opportunity to use the Purchase Card system, make application for foreign student tours, and participate in the other NSA initiated programs.

Of course, in an organization of such large membership a smaller functional unit on each campus is necessary. The functional unit of NSA is the student government; however, in most cases the student government finds it necessary to set up a committee whose task is to familiarize students with NSA activity and to insure wide participation in programs.

This NSA committee should not be permitted to become a small clique of individuals who try to be the sole participants in all NSA projects. Rather the committee should constantly work to bring the whole campus NSA—the entire student body—into the activities of the Association.

The widest possible campus participation in NSA is necessary if the broad purposes of the Association are to be achieved; for only when the students of the colleges are really aware of belonging to NSA and eager to exercise the rights and responsibilities of membership will there begin to develop a strong spirit of community among the students of the United States.

## Agnostic, Apostol Disagree

## Fiery End in View for Planet?

Editor:

Whether you know it or not, God has prophesied (in His scriptures, naturally) that a fiery end would occur to this planet. "All the elements melting in fervent heat" (St. Peter).

Most everyone agrees that unless the social lag catches up with our scientific advance, that doomsday will come anyhow, via atomic disintegration.

All right then, why not turn from your sins to the Lord Jesus Christ and be saved from this and other wrath to come (before the end).

That such a voice should cry in Chapel Hill, that town eminently well-known for its ministers of sin and not the Gospel, does seem strange. However, I fear God and love you all (spiritually speaking, of course). I would like to see you all safe in the "Ark."

John Apostol

Editor:

"Infatigable suggestion of being is no more difficult to believe than a self-existent Eternal Being. We may apprehend both, but can comprehend neither." — Herbert Spencer.

An agnostic Mr. Editor, is intellectually honest. He says: "Although I've investigated and thought to the best of my ability, I can neither affirm

nor deny the existence of a creator; therefore, as a matter of FACT, 'I simply don't know.' The agnostic may at the same time, however, have an opinionated answer of his own. Is it really possible that the candor and honesty of an agnostic is a manifestation of "our woeful lack of ability at self-criticism" (as Mr. Mills states)? He clearly admitted the other day that "God" was purely a matter of opinion and "that knowledge falls just at the point where men need it most." Is he not now undermining (in effect) the right of thinking on the side of that opinion which is contrary to his own? Verily, it's all very confusing, Mr. Editor—but isn't it nearly always so when theologians depart from a creed-controlled spiritual realm into the all embracing and infinite philosophical realm?

Mr. Mills can accept without questioning "God" and all the religious dogma that he chooses. If he feels that he must devour the bread of orthodoxy in order to realize a purpose and happiness in life, then by all means let him help himself to the abundance on hand. This writer, on the other hand, feels that blind acceptance of religious and political dogmas and policies would not only be disgraceful, but would surely lead to personal unhappiness.

J. R. Cherry Jr.

## Write Away

## Healthy Assumption

Editor:

Despite our healthy assumption that this is a free country, there is a persistent attack on individual liberty in America today. The most noticeable evidence of this attack, except for the constant denial of basic civil rights to racial minorities, is the systematic, government-sponsored, anti-Communist campaign. Some people have always disliked Communists, of course, and it is legitimate for them to propagate their ideas. Until recently, however, the government afforded protection to members of unpopular minorities, in the belief that a healthy democracy does not depend on universal conformity. It is therefore not legitimate for the government, aided by enormous press and radio chains which cannot effectively be answered, to incite, as it is now doing, an hysterical hatred and fear of a philosophy which few people know much about.

The result of this campaign is widespread fear, not only among Communists. I know personally a conservative employee of the Atomic Energy commission who, fearing for his job, stopped seeing a girl who was once a member of a blacklisted organization. I know a professor afraid to have Marxist literature in his library, lest his children talk about it and spread gossip at school. Jim Zarichny was expelled from Michigan State college for listening, off campus, to a speech by one of the indicted Communists. A friend of mine was fired last October from a factory because her boss saw her wear a Wallace button. According to the NAACP, 90 employees were accused of disloyalty for urging application of Truman's Fair Employment order. Is that the type of freedom we have for export?

In this atmosphere, the murderer of Communist Robert New can get away with 3 years and a mild chiding from the judge for having "acted unwisely." A goon can force his way into a New York Communist's home to "give him a hard time," commit an act of indecent exposure before his 7 year old daughter, admit his guilt and get away scot free. No quarter is given Gerhardt Eisler, who helped us win the war, while the cases of seven American citizens, who broadcast for the Nazis, are not pressed; after all, they are fighting Communism. Murder, perversion, treason—are these legitimate means of fighting a philosophy?

Let us hope that the violence of anti-Communist bigotry will arouse enough protest to stop the hysteria and let the question be settled by discussion.

Hans Freistadt

## Objective Truth

Editor:


In the Winston-Salem Journal of February 3, we read that W. F. Bennett, president of the National Association of Manufacturers, told a gathering of North Carolina industrialists that "there are no class distinctions in our philosophy."

Now Marxists believe that there is such a thing as objective truth which exists quite apart from the ideas in people's heads. Millions of children for many years have believed fervently in the existence of Santa Claus, but all this mass of human belief offers not a particle of proof that Santa Claus is real. Millions of Americans may DENY that there is a class struggle in this country, others may wish that such an ugly thing did not exist, but all this has no bearing whatsoever on whether or not class struggle does exist.

Class is determined, not by what one thinks about it, but by one's relation to the economic base of society. If a person owns means of production (land, machines, etc.) and is supported by the product of others, working with those means of production, he is a member of the owning class. Those who work with those means of production and support the owners are members of the working class. The wealth produced by the working class must be divided between the owners and the workers. The size of the shares depends on the amount of power each class can exert in the struggle.

The class struggle in America exists, and it will continue to exist, until those who work are also those who own. Only then, under Socialism, can we build a society based on the principle of co-operation, on the principle of the brotherhood of man.

Bill Robertson




## HORIZONTAL

- 1. church service
- 5. within
- 9. linen
- 12. purpose
- 14. narrow inlet
- 15. fixed gaze
- 16. heirs to throne
- 18. donate
- 20. pedal digit
- 21. symbol for neon
- 22. river in Italy
- 24. Roman emperor
- 26. beak
- 28. piece out
- 30. rove
- 32. small freshwater fish
- 33. furnishes with weapons
- 37. portico
- 39. immerse
- 40. showers
- 42. alkaline compound
- 44. prefix: two
- 45. wheel
- 47. javelin
- 49. correlative of either
- 51. sloping roadway

## VERTICAL

- 3. male of red deer
- 4. small greenish finch
- 5. neuter pronoun
- 6. pinch
- 7. legal wrong
- 8. plant of lily family
- 9. bow
- 10. legal claim
- 11. foundation
- 13. at no time
- 17. necessity

Answer to yesterday's puzzle.



Average time of solution: 23 minutes. Dist. by King Features Syndicate, Inc.



# The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is issued daily during the regular sessions of the University by the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second-class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$8.00 per year, \$3.00 per quarter.

Editor: ED JOYNER, JR.  
Business Manager: T. E. HOLDEN  
Managing Editor: Chuck Hauser  
Sports Editor: Billy Carmichael III

Associate Ed.: Al Lowenstein Adv. Mgr.: C. B. Mendenhall  
News Ed.: Sally Woodhull Circ. Mgr.: Owen Lewis  
City Ed.: Herb Nachman Subscrip. Mgr.: Jim King  
Asst. St. Ed.: Dick Jenrette Asst. Bus. Mgr.: Betty Huston

Staff Photographer: James A. Mills

Editorial staff: Bev Lawler, Nat Williams, Bob Fowler.

News Staff: Margaret Gaston, Sam McKel, Gordon Huffines, Mike McDaniel, Leonard Dudley, Roy Parker, Don Maynard, Wink Locklair, J. L. Merritt, Virginia Forward, Art Xanthos, Jack Brown, Charles Pritchard, Jimmy Leeson, Jimmie Foust, Graham Jones, Ann Sawyer, Emily Baker, Emily Sewell, Bunnie Davis, Troy Williams, Sam Whitehall.

Sports Staff: Buddy Vaden, Zane Robbins, Wulf Newell, Joe Cherry, Lew Chapman, Larry Fox.

Business Staff: Jane Griffin, Jacy Rush, Jackie Burke, Preston Westcott, Oliver Watkins, Erwin Goldman, Neal Cadena, Boote Taylor, Jay Quinn, Pat Denning, Ann Green, Allen Tate, Alan Summan, Babs Kerr, Marie Rinsbaum, Jackie Sharpe, Gladys Cottrell.

Society Editor: Rita Adams  
Society staff: Caroline Bruner, Lucile Conley, Lynn Hammock, Jane Gower, Helen Stephenson, Ann Gamble.

Circulation Staff: Don Snow and Shasta Bryant, Assistant Circulation Managers: M. J. White, Joe Whitten, Andy Symmes, Neill Clegg.

## Mr. Scott 'Goes Forward'

Dear Pa,

How is everything at home? Things are in an uproar down here at Chapel Hill. I figured I'd better tell you about it, even though it might worry you some.

Remember how you got out and stumped our end of the county for Mr. Scott last summer in the primary? You said he was the common man's candidate, that he'd look out for farm folks in his "go-forward" program. Well, Pa, I think Mr. Scott was sincere about going forward because that's what he wants to do with our tuition fees at this state supported university. He wants them moved forward almost double. A lot of the boys don't like the idea, even those that can afford the increase. They say that this place is supposed to be supported by the taxpayers and that they're just putting a \$69. poll tax on us. Then there are others who say that their folks are paying plenty of taxes without them paying any more.

Understand, Pa, I'm not trying to run Mr. Scott down. He's going to do a lot of good for our state, I hope. I just thought I'd better tell you about the extra money for next year. Maybe you'd better see if you can find me a job so I can pay it myself. You pay plenty of taxes as it is. Give Ma my love.

Your loving son,  
Elbert

## Reports Were Incomplete

From reports brought back from the YMCA-YWCA winter retreat in Montreat last weekend and delivered at a meeting in Gerrard hall yesterday, it is hard to tell whether the conference accomplished much for the general good of the campus other than to get a few people interested in campus problems. The brief summaries indicated that some problems were met with concrete plans for improvements but that some touchy questions were shied away from.

It is hoped that a more complete report to be prepared in written form will give a better picture of the retreat's accomplishments.

## Unprecedented Accomplishment

## 'Joan of Arc': Absorbing, Powerful, and Emotionally Touching

By Anies Daye, Jr.

Motion pictures project themselves onto a plane loftier than they heretofore have attained with "Joan of Arc". For here is an immensely impressive production which succeeds in reliving the timeless story of the Maid of Orleans—her courage, her heroism, and her faith—illuminated by the simplicity and the dramatic comprehension of Ingrid Bergman. In a noted career, she has never approximated such a performance.

For those who see "Joan of Arc" at the Village theater this week, it becomes a pleasure to observe a player impart such breathing vitality of her role from the silver screen. This takes artistry and craftsmanship of high order, but Miss Bergman surmounts the severity of the challenge. She is the perfect Joan.

Moreover, she is the perfect Joan in an emotionally touching and constantly absorbing dramatization of her short and poignant life—martyrdom at the stake at 19 after consummation of the divine mission of leading the armies of France to that point in victory which sends the Dauphin to the throne. For her earthly re-

wards are abandonment by the king she caused to be crowned and political reprisal leading to execution at the behest of the English enemy in conspiracy with political churchmen. For to her spiritual recompense is reward beyond the deeds and minds of man to contain. It suffices.

One of the rare impacts in this "Joan of Arc" is the roll-back in time which Victor Fleming captures by his direction. In his meticulous attention to detail, so richly brought to canvas by authoritative research, he succeeds in making his audience a directly interested party to the heroic drama. When a director can accomplish this, his right to acclaim and distinction becomes assured.

Necessary to a faithful rendition of Joan are the pageantry of 15th-Century France, the decisive battle in which the siege of Orleans is lifted, the clanking of armor and mace and a whole vast background of pomp and circumstance spread out on the screen. But more essential is a steady and understanding hand sufficiently competent to guide the story of the simple country girl through these magnificent

## Washington Scene

### Bald, Bland And Benign

Bald, bland, and benign Sam Rayburn, the distinguished speaker of the House of Representatives, does not become irked very often, but, when he does, he irks good. For a few hours the other day he was decidedly irked with Secretary of the Air Force W. Stuart Symington.

Mr. Symington had to pour all the oil in his system on the troubled Rayburn to smooth things over. Texas Sammivel felt the Air Force was guilty of a deplorable breach of etiquette.

Custom and protocol decree that Congressional leaders be consulted first before any agency of government does anything which comes within the purview of Congress. But the Air Force slipped up on this. It invited Congress as a whole to attend the big air progress demonstration at Andrews Field last Tuesday without first seeking an okay from Sammy.

Mr. Rayburn ran his fingers through what should have been his hair and declared he wouldn't stand for it. Like an enraged school-master he ranted that he did not expect to conduct the business of the House with the place full of empty seats.

Secretary Symington, who is fighting desperately for his 70-group Air Force, and needs all the Congressional goodwill he can muster, came running to Sam practically bowlegged under an armload of olive branches. He pleaded there had been an innocent lapse, and begged the Speaker to take over the job of issuing the invitations.

Mr. Rayburn, who knew he'd be playing to an empty House anyway if he didn't give in, grudgingly relented.

House minority leader Joseph W. Martin, Jr., had some comments to offer on the proposed scheme to glorify Republican members of Congress, as outlined the other day in this spendid pillar of wisdom and intelligence.

"I do not think," observed Mr. Martin, "that the program should include any beauty courses. From what I can see, it isn't being pretty that counts with the ladies. If that were so, we would not captivate many feminine hearts."

At this moment, Rep. Jesse P. Wolcott, of Michigan, passed by. Mr. Wolcott, who is decidedly roly-poly, and has been married 22 years, was startled when Mr. Martin pointed at him and bellowed:

"Take a look! Does he have glamor?"

Mr. Wolcott asked what had occasioned the outburst. On being informed, he pirouetted like a fashion model, saying: "I cut quite a figure."

trappings without loss of power and essential threads.

Fleming manages this very well. The story of Joan is told in steadily progressive chapters, blending into the pageantry and the spectacle, but it is Joan's story which remains the dominant theme.

"Joan of Arc" must be considered first in terms of its central character. In the very nature of the subject, it follows that Miss Bergman stands alone. But dramatic strength is decidedly augmented in three of the major supporting performances. Jose Ferrer, as the weakling Dauphin, later Charles VII, makes his film debut here. A long stage experience serves him well; he is excellent. Francis L. Sullivan is Cauchion, Bishop of Beauvais, who condemns Joan to the stake as part of his unholy alliance with the English invader. Suavity and intelligence punctuate his performance. J. Carroll Naish, the renegade Burgundian who sells the Maid to the English is villainous itself. In lesser characterizations are many others including Selena Royle, Irene Rich, Gene Lockhart, and Sheppard Strudwick, a former University of North Carolina student.

## Wonder How Long the Ammunition Will Last?



Distributed by King Features Syndicate by arrangement with The Washington Star

## Passing Sentence

### Should Students Have to Pay?

By Jonathan Marshall

It was to be expected that the vote would be negative in the recent Phi debate on a resolution favoring a subscription basis for all publication on campus; however, the vote was gratifyingly close.

The most surprising result was that taken by Bill Duncan, editor of the Yack, who

came out in favor of subscriptions. This columnist still feels that a complete subscription basis would be difficult to operate under, but the present subsidy is completely unjust.

Despite an 18-1 vote against an underwrite and subscription method of financing, this columnist still feels that it is the only practical eventual so-

lution to the problem.

First, we must eliminate the DTH from discussion here. The paper could not possibly operate under a different system. It is difficult enough for professional newspapers to make ends meet, and to subject a daily student paper with a part-time staff to the same conditions would be tantamount to suicide for the paper. It would also be impossible to make deliveries on an individual basis, so we will not consider the DTH in this discussion.

Many students do not feel that they should have to pay for publications if they do not want them; this is fair. It is answered that the publications could not survive on a sale and subscription system.

It is also argued that all students should support activities even if they do not participate in them, for student activities are an important part of college life. This seems to have some validity. The editor of Tarnation told the Phi that his magazine could not exist under either a subscription or an underwrite system. This amounts to saying that there is not enough demand for Tarnation for it to succeed. If this is so, why should the majority of students have to support a publication for a small minority?

The question to be resolved is how can we reach a compromise? Publications, we are told, cannot exist on a subscription basis. In answer it is said that the present set-up is unjust. Yet the publications do serve a function as part of our training, and they are desired by some.

The solution seems to this columnist to lie in an underwrite by the student legislature with the publications required to pay their own way as nearly as possible from sales and advertisements.

An underwrite of 50% of costs would ensure that any publication which is desired by a large group of people would make ends meet. Those who did not want the publication would not have to pay as much as before, and those interested would bear a more proportionate share.

This is not perfect, granted, but it is an improvement. It has the advantage that we still support student activities.

One more argument must be mentioned. How could cost be figured in advance, and how would the publication know the number of sales that it could expect? In reply, one may ask how any budget is planned in advance? A fairly good estimate can be made. If one bad issue is put out, readers will not leave, but if the publication is continually bad, it will fail.

## Write Away

### We Must Like Duke!

Editor:

We all want tuition rates to be low so that the youth of all economic groups can come here. Therefore, we must call on the alumni and other friends of the University to cease making contributions to the University, and instead to rush all the money they can spare to Duke, Wake Forest, Davidson, etc. Such contributions will enable those schools to lower their rates, then we can lower ours. Traditional dislike of Duke must be quickly overcome. Prejudice must not stand in the way of the good of the University.

The above reasoning will become perfectly logical if Governor Scott's absurd ideas of non-competition with denominational schools is put into effect.

Concerning the proposal to raise teacher salaries out of the tuition increase, I take my stand with Joseph Straley expressed in Saturday's Daily Tar Heel. I will gladly do with less if the only way I can get a raise is to take it from the students and to deny the opportunity for an education to the poor people of the State. There is another reason for my opinion which is not at all altruistic. As unemployment in the state increases, a tuition increase may very well cause a decrease in the enrollment, which will threaten the jobs of the faculty.

The proposal to increase salaries by means of a tuition increase, which on the surface will appeal to the self-interest of many teachers, must not be allowed to split the faculty and students. The teachers need students to teach. The students need low tuition rates. We must combine our strengths to defeat undemocratic move. We must demand that the legislature appropriate enough money both to pay decent salaries and to keep the gates of higher education open to as many of the young people of North Carolina as possible. We must demand that this money be obtained from taxes on the textile, furniture, power and tobacco companies of the State. Their huge profits, wrung from the workers and consumers, must be put back into the service of the people.

John Myers

## Not a New Holy Trinity


Editor:

On Sunday, in this column, James S. Patty took Bill Robertson to task for the alleged non-sequitur of proving the objective existence of the class struggle in America, starting with the proposition "there is no such thing as objective truth." This would indeed be quite a non-sequitur; if Mr. Patty will be so kind as to take another look at Bill Robertson's letter, he will find that Bill Robertson said "There is such a thing as objective truth," and that the "no" was gratuitously supplied by Mr. Patty himself.

As one who has engaged in a fair number of polemics on this page in the short time I have been here, may I venture to give the following advice to Mr. Patty: Give your adversary's letter more than a cursory glance; and argue with what he said, not with what you would like him to have said. One can, without difficulty, make non-sequiturs out of one's logic by inserting "noes" ad libitum; however, I think it is best to refrain from this procedure (though I realize it is common practice in the commercial press) if the discussions in this column are to be more than contests of mud slinging—if they are to be serious debates between students equally searching for the truth, with full respect for one another's sincerity.

It is precisely this search for truth that is the dominant idea in the works of Marx, Lenin and Stalin—in the hope of raising politics from a collection of opinions to the status of a science. No one denies that "truth is complex" (Mr. Patty was, perhaps unknowingly, quoting Engels). No one is trying to present Marx, Lenin, and Stalin as a new Holy Trinity to be accepted on faith. No one questions that a few among the conclusions they reached must be reformulated in the light of new data. We respect Marx as the genius whose methodology and world outlook has given us a most powerful tool in the search for truth.

By Hans Freinhardt



1. soft metal	4. native American	21. avows
2. give forth	5. van	22. former European corn
3. son of Seth	6. twilight	23. knock gently
4. consummation	7. foolhardy	24. goddess of harvest
5. sand hill	8. turning points	25. estrange
6. European mountains	9. open space	26. equal comb form
7. Biblical weed	10. serif	27. rowing implement
8. legal claim	11. the Occident	28. former Senator
9. faint	12. unwell	29. blanker
10. fate	13. ranks	30. savors
11. diminutive for Alfred	14. toward the sheltered side	31. required
12. style of collar	15. antipathy	32. varnish ingredient
13. head covering	16. head	33. Palestine seaport
14. glory	17. upper floor	34. leather used in book-binding
15. speak	18. deteriorated	35. in
16. god of war	19. note in scale	36. female of red deer
17. gotten up	20. manipulate	37. silver coin
18. refutation	21. scold	38. cut of meat
19. scold		39. grafted (her.)
		40. continuous loud noise

Average time of solution: 23 minutes.  
Dist. by King Features Syndicate, Inc.



# The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is issued daily during the regular sessions of the University by the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second-class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$2.00 per year, \$3.00 per quarter.

**Editor** ED JOYNER, JR.  
**Business Manager** T. E. HOLDEN  
**Managing Editor** Sally Woodhall  
**Sports Editor** Billy Carmichael III  
**Associate Ed.** Al Lowenstein  
**City Ed.** Herb Nachman  
**Asst. Spt. Ed.** Dick Jenrette  
**Society Editor** Caroline Bruner  
**Staff Photographer** James A. Mills  
**Editorial staff:** Bev Lawler, Nat Williams, Bob Fowler.

## No Charge, Please

The YWCA, as usual, set a very excellent example during the past weekend when they held two parties on Friday and Saturday nights in the Pine Room of Lenoir Hall. Their parties were given for several organizations on the campus, including Law and Pharmacy students, and the residents of a couple of girls dormitory floors.

Comments from some of the men who attended the parties were more than complimentary. They were downright flattery, rich with praise. The YW, as usual, is taking a forward step in advancing the social life of the students, especially those who might be left out of the fraternity-sorority life.

The only catch to the parties is that the YW was charged \$25 for the use of the Pine Room! Why? Possibly, we

The only catch to the parties is that the YW was charged \$25 for the use of the Pine Room! Why? Possibly, we realize, there may be good explanations. However, the only plausible explanation we can see is that someone had to be paid to clean up after the parties. Competent sources from the YW tell us that the girls decorated the room before the party and moved all the tables and other necessities necessary for entertaining. They expressed an equally willing desire to clean the room after the party.

Under these circumstances, and since the series (and we certainly hope they are going to be a series), is so vitally needed we can see no reason why the Pine Room should not be given to the girls free of charge for their use. They have taken a huge step toward lightening the lack of friendship at Carolina and they should be encouraged from every side if possible. The \$25 charge that the powers-that-be levy for the use of the Pine Room will not give them encouragement.

## Strictly on Your Own

Our campus politicians are speeding up their work these days in publicizing their candidates and in assuring the voter that their particular man or woman is the best qualified to hold the job he is running for. As a result, we're going to have at least three "best qualified" candidates for each and every job unless they can wrangle a double-endorsement.

It must be slightly bewildering to the scholastic student who takes no active part in campus politics. It must be bewildering, that is, if the non-participant gives a tinkers-damn who is in office. We'd like to make a general suggestion to the voter:

If you are sincerely interested in maintaining student government for yourself and your campus, if you are sincerely interested in how that student government is run, then get out and make an interested attempt on your part to see who you should vote for in April.

Ask questions, read platforms, study qualifications, and then make your decision—strictly on your own. If the majority of the voting students will follow this procedure, then those elected will be those whose policies the campus wishes enforced during the coming year.

## Entertainment Guide

### 'Beggar's Opera' Opening Tomorrow Highlight

By Vestal C. Taylor

With the final curtain closed on the "Foxes" the spot-light shifts up the street to Memorial Hall for the opening of the "Beggar's Opera." Slated for a two night stand, March 10th and 11th, John Gay's great work is being presented by the combined efforts of five campus organizations. They are: Playmakers, Sound and Fury, Phi Mu Alpha and Sigma Alpha Iota music fraternities, and the University Music Department.

"The Beggar's Opera" has delighted countless audiences for more than 200 years. It was written as the outcome of a suggestion for a "Newgate Pastoral" made by Swift in 1716. The play enjoyed remarkable popularity from the first, and author Gay set about to write a sequel, "Polly," which was banned throughout England.

A doff of the top to those hard working folks who have made this presentation of the first of all musicals possible.

Sound and Fury has chosen

a creation called "Livin' Can Be Easy" for their spring show. This new musical was written by Frank Matthews and Forrest Covington, with Matthews contributing his best songs from a ten year period of writing and Covington authoring the book. These boys have returned to a style of show that emphasizes music and comedy and departs from the dramatic. A commendable departure, for the musicals we have been seeing in the recent past have been too slow with a definite leaning toward heavy drama.

Tryouts are scheduled for the opening week of the spring quarter.

Speaking of tryouts, it is timely to urge all those who are interested in the entertainment world to be on hand for all tryouts when parts are involved that may suit their type or interest. Too often newcomers to the campus lose heart after their first tryout if they fail to win the desired part. As a result the choice parts available are awarded to

## Washington Scene

### -10 Minutes To Idaho!

By George Dixon

(Copyright, 1949, King features syndicate Inc.)

This has not been announced publicly, because no official timer was stationed along the route, but the Air Force has evidence that its record-smashing B-47 left Dayton, Ohio, and passed over Coeur D'Alene, Idaho, ten minutes by the clock before the time it left Dayton.

Dayton is just inside the Eastern time zone; Coeur D'Alene just inside the Pacific. The plane left Dayton at 8 A.M. and was unofficially recorded over Coeur D'Alene at 7:50 A.M. The three time changes, talking by the clock, enabled it to get to the West before it left the East.

This is the same plane that previously flew from Moses Lake, Wash., to Washington, D. C., in the officially recorded time of 3 hours, 46 minutes. Its astonishing exploits have made our scientifically-minded senators very wistful.

This wistfulness was inspired by Senator Warren G. Magnuson, of Washington, who began wondering out loud in Senate cloakrooms, or wherever he could get an audience, if speed and space flying couldn't be used against the filibuster.

"If that plane could only travel West as fast as it traveled East," he sighed, "I could leave Washington tonight and be back home in Seattle only 46 minutes after the time I started. Think of all the people I could surprise!"

"The Air Force is still improving that plane. This probably means that, with three time changes, it'll soon be able to land on the West Coast before it leaves Washington."

"Just think what we could do with a thing like that in a filibuster! Applying the same principles we could have a filibuster over before it started!"

"We could load the filibustering senators in a B-47 and let them begin talking. They could orate westward until their tonsils collapsed and not a minute of our precious senatorial time would be lost!"

On the desk of President Truman is a trophy awaiting a winner. The President plans to present it to the one he deems most qualified.

The trophy, a masterpiece in bronze, was turned over to the President by Richard J. Reynolds, the North Carolina cigarette heir. Mr. Reynolds suggested the winner be chosen from among those who were loudest for Truman after Nov. 2.

The statue is that of a golfer in mid-swing. But, instead of a golf club, he is swinging a bull.

I feel no further explanation is required.

the same few, over and over, simply because the talent is limited. Everyone who is interested in displaying talent should stay posted on tryouts and be persistent in their efforts to win the part desired.

To those individual members of campus organizations who feel a gripe coming on about the lack of publicity given their group, it must be said that they will meet with more success if they will take the trouble to make a more concerted publicity effort on their own. Newspaper people are still human and space is still limited. Appoint an individual or an organization to push publicity and stress the importance of same, and the results will be gratifying.

It is said that Dusty Moore has had to hang up her dancing shoes again on the doctor's orders. That's too bad, for she is among the top few in campus entertainment circles. Here's hoping for a speedy recovery and an early engagement at the Rendezvous.

## For Alphabetic Proficiency



Distributed by King Features Syndicate, Inc. in arrangement with The Washington Star

## Round Two . . .

# Slates Ready, Platform Due

CP: LONG, TALLEY, WILLIAMS SUPERIOR CANDIDATES; A POSITIVE, CONSTRUCTIVE PROGRAM

By Jim Southerland

The Campus party has completed its nominations for the spring election, adopted its platform, and completed its campaign plans. With Charlie Long, Banks Talley, and Nat Williams leading a ticket of superior candidates, and a positive, constructive program, the CP will conduct an energetic and intensive campaign in order to assure a victory for the party and for the student body.

The keynote of the Campus party platform reflects the basic philosophy of the party—a government of the students, by the students, and for the students. The party campaign and the campaigns of all its candidates will also reflect this fundamental political principle. Every CP candidate feels it his duty to present himself personally to the men and women he seeks to represent.

During the coming weeks Campus party candidates will conduct a door-to-door campaign through the dormitories, visit every fraternity and sorority house, and speak to all organizations interested in giving each candidate a fair hearing. The CP is confident that it has selected superior candidates and is willing and eager to place them side-by-side with their opponents. It feels that it knows what the student body wants in its candidates and asks only that the voters consider the candidates and the party with an open and serious mind. If the judgement of the Campus party is correct, it will win when the ballots are cast. If it is incorrect, it will lose and will deserve to lose.

The Campus party will state its stand on the issues facing student government on the basis of careful consideration of the problems involved, and after an intensive study of the facts. The CP is willing to stand or fall on its candidates and its platform without waiting to check the political winds with questionnaires or any sort of formal poll. The Campus party is composed of capable and sincere students to whom the desires of the student body are a subject of year-round study.

The Campus party platform will be given general distribution in the near future and all CP candidates welcome questions about any plank. One of the major functions of a political party, is to inform the student body on the issues and on the facts behind them. The CP accepts this responsibility without reservation.

SP: CANDIDATE'S 'PULL' NOT IMPORTANT IN NOMINATING; SANDERS EXAMPLE OF TOP-NOTCH CHOICES

By Charlie Kauffman

In making nominations for the offices in student government, the Student party follows a hard and fast policy: the nomination of the men and women best qualified for the positions at stake, in disregard of the highly lauded "political expedient" of nominating those who have "the best chance of winning." We do not believe it is possible to be working in the best interests of student government, yet overlooking the people best qualified for various office in favor of others, favored simply because "everybody in District 3 knows Joe. He can't lose."

Joe may be just that, but if he is not a person of ability for any particular job, he will not get an SP nomination. Joe may very likely not know the first thing about student government, and may care less. He may very likely be a person of no particular convictions, perfectly contented to spend a year in office, supposedly representing students, while in actuality heeling to some "party boss." The Student party does not recognize a prospective candidate's "pull" in a certain district, or over the campus as a whole, as the qualification to fill office.

The very composition of the Student party allows this policy to work undisturbed by small interest-factions. The SP is not a federation of small groups each competing and arranging with the others for its place on the ballot. The principles of the Student party are built on the very ideas that we are neither a federation, in which case a tremendous amount of sacrificing here and there of the best prospects for candidacy is obviously required, nor a group of individual opportunists, each directing his political career toward his own exultation and everlasting glory. We contend that neither of these policies can possibly result in the best men available being offered at elections to the student body.

Last week the Student party again exhibited its policy of nominating the best man available for any position when it nominated John Sanders for the position of Secretary-Treasurer. Sanders does not have the campus popularity required for "expediency" in nominations. In this sense he will be at a disadvantage. Where John Sanders does hold the advantage is in his qualifications for the office, and it was on this basis that he was nominated. Thus, in conducting the campaign for this office, the Student party will do its best to make the superior abilities of its candidate known.

UP: CAMPAIGN SET TO ACQUAINT STUDENTS WITH GORDON, LEONARD, WILLIAMS, AND OTHERS

By Rita Adams

The University party is now launching a campaign to acquaint members of the student body with its nominees for offices in the spring elections. Over 85 people are working under the direction of Miles Smith, former chairman of the party, to publicize the outstanding qualifications of the men and women on their slate.

The campaign has been organized to provide available representatives for students in every part of the campus and town. Dave Sharpe will be in charge of the men's dormitory representatives, Marshall Roberts in charge of those in the men's town area, Nina Mosley, heading those in the women's dormitories, and Marjetta Duke, representing the town women.

In accordance with the aim of the party to reach every voter and to be of service to him, these people have assumed a duty to inform the voters of the qualifications of the UP candidates and to explain to them the functions of the party. Through this method, UP hopes to make voters aware of the superiority of its candidates and to give membership to persons who are interested in the party.

Since these representatives will probably be involved in telling students about the offices their nominees have held and something about their efficiency in these offices, it is logical that they now learn something about the personal side of the three top men.

Dick Gordon, UP's candidate for president of the student body, hails from Pennsylvania. He is noted for going about his work in a quiet, efficient way, and for his clearly enunciated speech. Coeds often think of Dick as the person who began the investigation of the distribution of their funds in an effort to give them a clear picture of how their money is spent. Such action is typical of Dick's initiative.

Vice-presidential nominee Ted Leonard is something of a mutant in the conventional sense of the word, in that he comes from a Greensboro newspaper family and, yet, lacks those black sheep qualities often attributed to people associated with the fourth estate. In fact, he is the type person who keeps on a determined path to complete his task; well. Deeply interested in politics, he is headed for law school.

The Gaw-ja cracker on the slate is Nat Williams, from Thomasville, Ga., the doubly-endorsed candidate for secretary-treasurer.

## Write Away

### The Cardinal Did Plead Guilty

Editor:

Two recent letters took issue with Bill Robertson's comments on the Mindszenty case. On the case itself there is little to add. The Cardinal did plead guilty in open court at an undecided trial. No eye-witness gave any credence to drugging, torture, or frameup. The black marketing charges, according to the conservative Manchester Guardian, were well substantiated. It was a trial considerably fairer than the trial of the eleven indicted Communists in New York. No pronouncements by the Pope, the Secretary of State, or the U. S. ambassador to Hungary (directly involved in the case and hardly impartial) can change that. There remains the fact that one ought to be surprised to find a Cardinal whom some consider a "saintly spiritual leader" sink so low. Therefore, to mention the past activities of the Cardinal is not "smearing" him; it is merely providing the necessary background in the light of which the crimes of which he was recently convicted appear as the climax in a long career. The Hungarian Catholic church owned 1,000,000 acres of land and 100,000 serfs. It controlled the schools. The Cardinal therefore hated everything that threatened this medieval state of affairs. He was arrested once before, in 1918, by the short-lived second Hungarian republic, which preceded the Horthy dictatorship, for plotting to restore Otto of Hapsburg. He especially hated the present third Hungarian republic, which succeeded where the second had failed—distributing the land to the peasants, freeing the serfs, secularizing the schools.

On the question of Mindszenty's anti-Semitism, the testimony of one lone witness, writing in the Jesuit magazine America, cannot be taken as very conclusive. More interesting is the following AP dispatch quoting the four leading Hungarian Jewish organizations, among them the Hungarian Zionist organization and the Hungarian section of the World Jewish congress: "It is with a great dismay that we see Jewish organizations and Jewish men in the field of public life in Western Europe and in America raise their voices on behalf of Cardinal Mindszenty, the arch-enemy of Jews in Hungary and in Eastern Europe."

The following quotation was displayed at the trial in Mindszenty's own handwriting: "It is our good fortune that the great 'reservoir' of Galician and Bukovinian Jews, the millions of the Jewish masses in the ghetto, have as a result of the German war of destruction been reduced to some 500,000."

Mindszenty therefore, hated the present Hungarian republic which, for the first time in Hungary's history, has given the Jews complete and equal citizenship. Mindszenty's arrest by the Nazis is also far less dramatic than some would have us believe. He was charged, not with being anti-Nazi, but with hoarding huge stocks of underwear, which happened to be unlawful even under the Nazis.

Hans Freistadt

## A Republican Supporter

Editor:

It is really generous of you to admit in this morning's Daily Tar Heel that the Republicans are "right" for one time. May I bring to your attention the fact that the Republicans, with just two exceptions, won the national election each time from 1868 till 1912. The nation must have thought that they were right much of the time then, and in many people's opinion, they are right today. You forget that there is a relatively large number of Republicans on campus and we don't like at all your insidious editorial of this morning!

You speak of the Republicans being so seldom concerned with the common man. They are just as concerned with him as the Democratic party is or ever was. You may note that the late "great" F. D. R. did not come from the class that he professed to love so much. He was born in the lap of luxury, while ex-President Hoover was just a blacksmith's son. And the man in the White House today is no prize example of what a good President should be. Most of the time that H. S. T. has been President, he has been chiefly engaged in such "constructive" acts as calling people bad names that don't just happen to agree with him.

Winston Hall

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12					13				14	
15					16				17	
	18				19			20		
21	22				23			24		25
26				27				28		
29		30				31			32	33
			34						35	36
38	39	40			41				42	
43				44		45		46		
47					48			49		50
52					53				54	
55					56				57	

<b>HORIZONTAL</b>	47. ministers to	57. classical language	10. paired
1. the air, in music	49. sign of zodiac		11. lock of hair
6. watering place	52. Confederate general	<b>VERTICAL</b>	17. woolly plants
9. Scandinavian territorial division	53. make lace edging	1. size of paper	19. gets up
12. foreign	54. fine, hard-twisted cotton thread	2. wing	21. prevarication
13. Bulgarian coin	55. street railways (abbr.)	3. ancient city	22. facility
14. pike-like fish	56. printer's measures	4. taut	24. most pallid
15. variety of velvet		5. single units	27. prefix
16. stir		6. killer	28. god of lower world
18. theme paper		7. wooden nail	30. species of lyric poem
20. loses moisture		8. greedy	32. tourer
21. wash		9. afresh	33. bitter
23. corded fabric			34. snare
25. finishes			36. sea-shores
26. wrath			38. insipid
27. censorious outpouring			39. Russian independent union
29. hydrocarbon radicals			40. movable barriers
31. harken			42. feminine name
33. fragments			44. grafted (her.)
37. native metal			46. high
38. farinaceous food			48. artificial stream obstruction
41. distress signal			50. Hebrew high priest
42. be compelled			51. Japanese coin
43. barter			
45. rose essence			

Average time of solution: 25 minutes. Dist. by King Features Syndicate, Inc.



# The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is issued daily during the regular sessions of the University by the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second-class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$8.00 per year, \$3.00 per quarter.

Editor: ED JOYNER, JR.  
Business Manager: T. E. HOLDEN

Managing Editor: Sally Woodhull  
Sports Editor: Billy Carmichael III

Associate Editor: Bill Buchan  
Asst. Sports Ed.: Buddy Vaden  
Society Editor: Caroline Bruner  
Adm. Mgr.: Oliver Watkins

Circ. Mgr.: Shasta Bryant  
Subscrip. Mgr.: David Woodruff  
Bns. Office Mgr.: Wade Holder  
Asst. Bus. Mgr.: C. B. Mendenhall

Staff Photographer: James A. Mills

Editorial Staff—Bev Lawler, Nat Williams, Bob Fowler.

News Staff—Margaret Gaston, Sam McKel, Leonard Dudley, Roy Parker, Don Maynard, Wink Locklair, J. L. Merritt, Virginia Forward, Art Xanthos, Fred McGee, Graham Jones, Emily Baker, Jack English, Bob Barnes, Hamlin Garland, Sam Whitehall.

Sports—Frank Allston, Jr., Lew Chapman, Joe Cherry, Larry Fox, Morton Glasser, Wuff Newell, Zane Robbins.

Business Staff—Jane Griffin, Betty Huston, Jackie Burke, Trish Denning, Ann Green, Neal Cadieu, Bootie Taylor, Alan Suman, Erwin Goldman, John DeLoach, John Ross, Sonny Smith, Blake Leekie, Don Stanford.

Circulation Staff—Neill Clegg, Asst.: M. J. White, Joe Wratten, Andy Symmes, Robert Graham.

Society Staff—Lucile Conley, Lynn Hammock, Jane Gower, Helen Stephenson, Ann Gamble.

## Wash. Scene

### Mail Delivery By Helicopter

(Copyright, 1949, King Features Syndicate, Inc.)

By George Dixon

Los Angeles, April 4—I've just come back from flying the U. S. Mail by helicopter and I want to say that Southern California is way ahead of our effete east in getting its aerial postage delivered.

I have no tie-up with the Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce, let it be clearly understood, but I've got to hand it to this section for the way it has conquered time and distance. Because of local redistribution by helicopter, delivery of airmail has been cut almost 22 hours.

New York is fighting against local helicopter delivery; Washington has ignored it, and other regions are lukewarm. But I have an idea they'll all come to it e'er long, and wish they'd done it sooner.

We took off from Los Angeles Municipal Airport in Sikorsky S-51 helicopter, which carries an 800-pound payload. I was listed as part of the payload, this cutting down the profit considerably.

The pilot was a casual young man named Carl Crew, who has flown 850 helicopter hours since the service was inaugurated here Oct. 1, 1947. The 24-year-old Mr. Crew, who can land from 1000 feet on a designated clump of grass—and did—insists his work is hum-drum.

"There's nothing to get hopped up about," he said, morosely. "Nobody pays any attention to me any more; not even kids. The folks out here are so used to seeing me drop out of the sky, they don't bother turning around."

This, I discovered, was true. One place we landed 20 feet from a woman hanging out the laundry—and she just kept on hanging.

We took off at 11:36 a.m. and made delivery stops at Redondo Beach, Torrance, San Pedro, Wilmington, Long Beach, Santa Ana, Anaheim, and Bellflower. We were back at 12:58 p.m.; total elapsed time 1 hour, 22 minutes.

The same trip by truck would have taken better than seven hours.

But that's only a part of the time-saving. Mail from New York, for instance, used to be dumped in Los Angeles, sorted for the various sections trucked to those points then resorted for ultimate delivery.

It's now sorted for, let's say, Anaheim, in New York, shifted from the transcontinental plane directly to the helicopter, and winged almost immediately to that community, where the local delivery man is waiting to receive it. The same helicopter both picks up and delivers.

Airmail helicoptering is strictly a one-man operation. The pilot handles both the ship and the mail sacks; in addition he keeps up a running radio conversation with the dispatcher.

We were flying down a pass in Santa Ana Canyon when I heard him tell his office: "Over the running dogs."

He pointed to an isolated house and said: "See those two black dogs down there. They're the only things that pay any attention to me any more, so I use them as a fix point."

I asked young pilot Crew what he and the dispatcher talked about between the running dogs and other landmarks, and he replied laconically: "Women."

"There's a dame who lives on Garvey Boulevard in El Monte who's stuck on me," he added. "I've never seen her except from a thousand feet, but she writes me mash notes. She says she waves to me nearly every day."

I asked why he didn't drop down for closer inspection. He heaved a long sigh and said: "Can't She isn't a delivery point."

## Something Wrong With His Ticker?



Distributed by King Features Syndicate by arrangement with The Washington Star

## Passing Sentence

### Unusual Teacher, Unusual Man

By Jonathan Marshall

I'd like to tell a human interest story today about a man who will never become famous, who will never receive credit for most of the things he does in life, but a man who has had a very great influence on many people's lives.

After several years of looking around for the right occupation, Charles Cook became a seventh grade teacher. This is not unusual, but Mr. Cook was an unusual teacher.

Every student was a human being and a future leader to Mr. Cook, and he became friends with every student. Many of his early pupils have now graduated from college, but they all remember their seventh grade teacher, and many of them still come to visit him and talk.

At the end of about ten years of teaching Charles Cook accepted a job as head worker in a settlement house in the lower east side in New York City. He felt that he could make a greater contribution there.

The settlement is located in one of the worst slum areas in this country; people live in

overcrowded rooms without decent heat, with bad sanitary conditions, and with almost no social or recreational opportunities outside of the settlement house. Although it isn't nice to think about it, this is the type of neighborhood where America's worst criminals are developed and live, but Mr. Cook moved in anyway.

During the five years he has been running the settlement, Mr. Cook has become an institution in his neighborhood. He has organized clubs for the kids, discussion groups for the adults, works with city health officers, and has had young law breakers paroled in his custody.

It's often pretty hard to look beyond immediate problems, but Charles Cook has gone far beyond such things as bringing the first X-ray unit to the area, he is now fighting for a large-scale housing project for the lower east side.

It's not easy clearing out one of the nation's worst slum areas; politicians must be talked to for support, statistics gathered, plans drawn, and funds raised. Mr. Cook knows

all this, but he and about ten former students also know it's worth fighting for their project.

This column should end by saying the project was approved and they all lived happily ever after, but maybe ten years from now that will be true.

Of course, the whole thing may fail, however, this does show what one person can do in the world, or what a group of citizens can do if they really want to do something constructive with themselves.

There aren't many Charles Cooks in the world, probably because most of us are too selfish to work for the other guy. One can't help wondering, though, what kind of a life we'd have if more people did try to accomplish something positive in their lives; this is just one man, a very happy man, who should serve as an example to the rest of us.

Not all of us can do something big, but we can try to use our education, for projects like these are the things that are the measure of real success, and they are the things that give real happiness when achieved.

## Fax About Wax

### Clever Lyrics, Poor Arrangement

By Nelson Taylor

Klassification Key  
\*\*\*\* Fine  
\*\*\* Fair  
\*\* Futile  
\* Foul

Spike Jones

\*\*\* Ya Wanna Buy a Bunny  
\*\* Knock Knock

These two are of the poorer type of Jones humor. "Bunny" is by the same guy who sang "All I Want for Christmas" and in the same style. The lyrics are clever but the arrangement is not up to the usual Spike Jones caliber. "Knock" which is not an account of a game of Gin Rummy, is the old game set to music. The musical version isn't a bit more amusing than the game in prose fashion.

\*\*\* Jazz at the Philharmonic—Sweet Georgia Brown

This is a twelve inch release of one of the selections in the third album of the Philharmonic series. It features the great horns of such artists as

Lester Young, Al Killian, Charlie Parker, Billy Hadnott, and Lee Young. Some of the solos are really tops, but there are others which won't measure up to the top quality of the best of the Philharmonic jazz series. On the first side of this release Parker sounds as if he has a split reed on his alto sax and is afraid to try to do anything inspiring. On the reverse side he redeems himself with some fine work. Al Killian closes the recording with some of the finest trumpet work ever recorded. The rhythm provided by Hadnott, Young, and Joe Jackson makes this record bid heavily for "fine" honors.

Tenor Sax

\*\*\* Album Rating

This album combines some of the greatest emperors of the tenor sax under one cover. "Ghost of a chance" and "Lester's Savoy Jump" are done up in fine fashion by Lester Young with rhythm backing which sounds like Basie and com-

pany. "Sunny Side of the Street" and "Stomping at the Savoy" are masterfully handled by Coleman Hawkins with the Cozy Cole All Stars. The album is completed by "Honey-suckle Rose" and "Blue Skies" by Ben Webster and "Don't Idea" and "What do You Want with My Heart" by the Don Byas Quartet including Slam Stewart and Charlie Shavers. At least two of these deserve a "fine" rating. "Lester's Savoy Jump" is one of the finest recordings by Lester Young that this reviewer has ever heard. Lester gets a bounce in his solo that is great. "Stomping at the Savoy" by Coleman Hawkins is the other side that bids for top rating honors. The solo by Hawkins is good, but there is a trumpet ride, by whom I don't know, that outshines the tenor solo, and the drumming by Cozy is nothing short of terrific. This album is a great example of fine American tenor sax interpretations.

## Write Away

### Doesn't Want Any DPs

Editor:

"Self preservation is the first law of man."—Darwin  
Re Mr. Marshall's comments on the U. S.'s attitude toward Europe's refugees, a few dissenting remarks if you please. America is not a "TRACT OF LAND" upon which any and all the peoples of the world may settle! It is a nation with distinct cultural and political institutions, tastes, customs, and habits—notwithstanding the prevalence of a yet Un-Americanized foreign-born minority element of our population.

Undoubtedly, there are some DPs who would make good citizens. However, according to a report by a Congressional Investigating Committee the majority of them are: "bums, criminals, black marketeers, subversives, revolutionists, and crackpots of all hues and colors"—perfectly content to stay displaced as long as Uncle Sam provides them with three squares a day. This eye-witness Committee tells us that the DP problem could have been solved many months ago if they had had the desire to become independent, self-sustaining, and industrious. Even if we let the hordes in 90 per cent of them would settle in the slums of the large cities and become easy prey for the Marcantonios, Sabaths, Isaacsons, and Fosters—thus creating economic and political chaos. If we're glib enough to insist on feeding and housing them, instead of seeing to it that they go to work, then let it be done over there—we don't want them here! Do not be misled by such arguments as "maybe they can't find work." Europe isn't rebuilt yet by a long shot—and won't be for some years to come!

Already an estimated 860,000 aliens a year are gaining illegal admittance to this country—a total through 1948 of 3,500,000. The Justice Department catches only about 15,000 annually. Let the comparative few DPs who would make desirable citizens stay in Europe and direct their talents toward converting that continent from a powder keg and a continual source of turmoil and unrest into a keg of prosperity, happiness, and mutual good will. America may not have its full quota of desirables but I say without the least hesitancy that it can do without additions a helluva lot better than a scooping shovel full of other countries in the world today.

J. R. Cherry Jr.

## 'Outlawing Reds Impossible'

Editor:

Among the strongest legal arguments against the new Mundt-Nixon bill (HR 3342) or any other proposal to outlaw the Communist Party is that such a measure constitutes a bill of attainder.

There are many who will agree that the government has no Constitutional authority to outlaw a political philosophy, and that if the Communist Party can convince a majority of the American people to support it, then there is nothing that anyone who respects democracy can do about it. Some nevertheless urge the outlawing of the Communist Party, not as a party adhering to a given political doctrine, but as a conspiracy to overthrow republican government by force and violence.

I cannot follow that line of reasoning. Under constitutional government, the Legislative can only enact laws of general applicability, that prohibit certain nefarious acts, no matter who commits them. The Legislative can legitimately pass laws prohibiting the organization of a conspiracy to overthrow the government. And, in fact, there are numerous laws to that effect already on the statute books. But no Legislature can find a person or group guilty of sedition. A bill which does so is called a Bill of Attainder and is specifically excluded by the Constitution. It is the function of the courts to determine who violates these laws, according to well established rules of evidence. No amount of "common knowledge," unpopularity, popular indignation, can take the place of such legal evidence; and if no such evidence exists, anti-Communists will have to resign themselves to the continued legal existence of the Communist Party, or will have to advocate openly (as some do) the scuttling of the Constitution.

To suggest that the Constitution be scuttled in order to protect it from Communists is a train of thought which, if followed consistently, will, in the end, leave everybody without any Constitutional guarantees at all.

Hans Freistadt

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12			13				14			
15		16					17			
18			19				20			
		21	22					23	24	25
26	27	28			29			30		
31			32		33					
34				35		36				
37			38			39				
	40	41					42	43	44	45
46	47			48			49			
50				51				52		
53				54				55		

<b>HORIZONTAL</b>	40. jewels	55. female deer	6. catkins
1. salutation	42. island (poet.)	<b>VERTICAL</b>	7. crazes
4. town in Netherlands	46. principal	1. Luzon	8. portico
8. cicatrix	48. attributes	Negritos	9. hires by contract
12. sesame	50. river in Italy	2. clamping device	10. air comb. form
13. Tibetan priest	51. waste allowance	3. otherwise	11. thing, in law
14. personal pronoun (poet.)	52. Massachu- setts cape	4. street rail- ways (abbr.)	16. small bodies of water
15. helped	53. tatters	5. specified times	20. official pro- clamations
17. rowing implements	54. lake in Australia		22. awn
18. observed			24. Russian city
19. make beloved			25. mountain in Thessaly
21. endured			26. wine vessels
23. likewise			27. title
26. small star in constellation			28. tipping
29. sharp- shooters			30. written in verse
31. orthopterous long-bodied insect			32. notched
33. criticizes severely			35. hot with anger
34. piles up			39. animal fat
36. Yugoslav- American inventor			41. son of Seth
37. September (abbr.)			43. deep-bodied food fish
38. most loyal			44. fine cotton cloth
			45. serif
			46. deface
			47. macaw
			49. corroded

Average time of solution: 23 minutes.  
Dist. by King Features Syndicate, Inc.



# The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is issued daily during the regular sessions of the University by the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second-class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$8.00 per year, \$3.00 per quarter.

**Editor:** DICK JENNETTE  
**Business Manager:** T. E. HOLDEN  
**Managing Editor:** Bill Buchanan  
**Sports Editor:** Billy Carmichael III  
**Associate Editors:** Jack Brown, Chas. Bryant, Frank Alliston Jr., David Woodruff  
**News Office Mgr.:** Sally Woodruff  
**Asst. Sports Ed.:** Buddy Vaden  
**Society Editor:** Caroline Bruner  
**Asst. Mgr.:** Oliver Watkins  
**Staff Photographer:** James A. Mills  
**Editorial Board:** Banks Talley, Ed Tenney, Dave Sharp, Severn Wallis  
**News Staff:** Charles McCorkle, Margaret Gaston, Fred McGee, Roy Parker, Don Maynard, Leonard Dudley, J. L. Merritt, Stewart McKee, Jack Engle, Graham Jones, Art Xanthos, Mike McDaniels, Emily Baker, Wink Lockhart, Virginia Forward, Bill Johnson, Henry Albright, Wiley Hall, Sam Whitehall  
**Night Editor:** Roy Parker  
**Night Sports:** Joe Cherry

## Memorial Hall Conduct

The recently concluded May Frolics weekend at latest reports was not the brunt of a barrage of criticism as was the case last year. But a few comments on the conduct of the Memorial Hall audience that attended the Skitch Henderson concert Saturday afternoon in Memorial Hall do seem in order. To say that the conduct reflected badly on the reputation of the students of this University would be putting it mildly.

Those familiar with the Saturday afternoon concerts traditionally given on weekends of the German Club, May Frolics, and Grail dances are accustomed to a great deal of shouting, applauding, and whistling. But on the particular concert which Henderson gave Saturday afternoon, the noise rose to the extent that it proved irritable to some of those in attendance and also was annoying to the band.

The climax came when the program went on the radio for half an hour. First, the students failed to give any cooperation to the announcer who was opening the program, booing at his opening remarks and failing to applaud at the right time. Then in the middle of the program, the students began to chant for "Dixie." Now "Dixie" is a great old Southern song, and the concert would not have been complete without singing it. That is a tradition. But it does seem that the students could have waited until after the program was off the air before making their demands. As a result, there was so much confusion that only about four songs got on the air during the half hour broadcast.

Also there was frequent booing and laughter during the more sentimental tunes, which really were not funny.

No one is advocating a staid audience for the Saturday afternoon concerts. The informal conduct is just part of college life. But even collegians should have enough tolerance to listen quietly and not to boo the announcer.

Skitch Henderson took the riotous conduct in good stride, of course. But it's doubtful if he left Chapel Hill with a particularly glowing opinion of Southern hospitality.

## On the Morehead Planetarium

Two recent columnists writing in the Daily Tar Heel have used the Morehead Planetarium as a subject for humor columns. They were all written in the interest of presenting a funny story and certainly were not intended to reflect unfavorably on the Planetarium.

However, there have been a number of complaints to the effect that they were intended to ridicule the new structure. If such was the case, then they have been misinterpreted. Such was not the case. It's just that a planetarium is a novice to the students and naturally provokes comment, much of which is of a humorous vein.

John Motley Morehead's gift to the University is a contribution for which the whole school is appreciative. It will attract numerous visitors to Chapel Hill and will go a long way toward furthering Carolina's reputation as the leading center of education in the South.

## On The Political Front

By Charles McCorkle

**MAYOR** — All eyes, in town anyway, are focused on Chapel Hill's municipal election these days. The vote comes up next Tuesday on mayor, Recorder's Court judge, and five seats on the Board of Aldermen.

University students, even those who don't vote here, should be interested in the race for mayor, since both candidates are connected with the U. of N. C. R. W. Madry, better known around town as Bob, is seeking re-election to the post. He is director of the University News Bureau. And the other candidate is Ed Lanier, director of Central Records and originator of "Lanier's Lottery."

As a matter of fact, Lanier said the other day that he would have a few important words on the subject to say tonight when the League of Women Voters holds an open meeting in the town hall at 8:30. Madry will also be there to

speaking his piece.

Other candidates scheduled to talk tonight are the candidates for judge, Henry Whitfield, up for re-election, and John Manning. The five candidates seeking three seats on the Board of Aldermen will also be around. They are, in order of their filing, R. B. Fitch and Robert Fowler (the incumbents), Mrs. Roland McClamrock, Kenneth Putnam and Rev. J. H. Jones.

**LEGISLATURE** — Here's how the new Student Legislature lines up: The University Party just barely does not have a majority. The UP holds 23 seats, while the Student Party comes in second with 15 and the CP is tagging behind, but not far behind, with 12.

The big question which comes to mind is which way will those 12 CP seats vote—with the SP or with the UP? Which way they will vote on legislation in the future cannot be answered

## The WASHINGTON SCENE

By George Dixon  
(Copyright, 1949, King Features Syndicate, Inc.)

WASHINGTON, April 24 — Attorney General Tom Clark has been subjected to almost every type of pressure, but never as tough as he's getting right now. He's practically scorched by the heat being put on him by invitation-seekers.

The tall Texan is giving a birthday party for President Truman, as he has for the last three years, but this isn't like the last three years. Folks who previously didn't care how Mr. Truman celebrated his birthday, or even if he'd been born, now would trade their souls, if any, to watch him blow out the candles.

Up to now the presidential nativity has been celebrated in the 1925 F Street Club, an exclusive little trap located, oddly enough, at 1925 F Street. The Attorney General had everything set to hold it there this year too—until the weight-throwing started.

This little politico-social hideaway, which can seat about 40 people, if they don't exhale, was plenty big enough for presidential cake-sharing in the past. About the only people who attended were those who had to.

This boiled down to the cabinet, ambitious bureaucrats with eyes on the cabinet, members of the Supreme Court, and a few willing to be on the Supreme Court should one of the incumbents obligingly kick off.

After revising his 1949 guest list a dozen times, the Attorney General canceled out the 1925 F Street Club over the weekend. But he hasn't found a place big enough. His administrative assistant, Mrs. Grace Stewart, and his secretary, Miss Alice O'Donnell, spent the Lord's Day on the telephone informing invitees the party was to be moved, but they didn't know where.

"We will have to let you know later," the ladies added. "The party will be held at the appointed time, however."

The appointed time is Saturday night, May 7. The President's birthday is May 8, but he doesn't care to be huffing and puffing at 65 candles on Sunday.

I asked Mrs. Stewart if the Attorney General had given any consideration to hiring the National Guard Armory, which holds 5,000 under fire-law restrictions and 13,000 for inaugural balls when we don't care who gets burned up. She said "no," and that Mr. Clark hadn't made any dicker to rent the big top from John Ringling North either.

She also nixed Griffith Stadium, probably in the fear that Mrs. Perle Mesta would slide into the cake, mistaking it for second base.

Well, the President is going to have a birthday party, but the host doesn't know where. Anybody got any suggestions to offer him?

## It's All in Knowing How



Distributed by King Features Syndicate by arrangement with The Washington Star

## Pitching Overshoes Girl Invasion

By Tom Wharton

When something happens that nobody knows a darn thing about on the Chapel Hill Campus, there appear more self-imagined "insiders" writing columns and conducting Y-court propaganda campaigns who claim to have the real inside poop on the happening than when something obvious happens.

I refer to the recent "invasion" of the men's dormitories by a couple of unknown women. To date, the only thing really known for certain about them is that two women did run around some of the dorms one night a week ago today putting up signs in the halls and such saying that they had been there and so on.

Then the author of the column named "Stumping It" comes out with the smug insinuation that he just happens to know all about it and that "this columnist has heard" that Tarnation staged the whole works for some reason that Tom Kerr, editor of Tarnation, can't figure out. Why would Tarnation stage such a thing, when, if they wanted such a story, they have plenty of writers that could and probably would dream one up better than that?

According to the various and conflicting reports of the whole occurrence, one might be right in guessing that the pair of fearless girls that pulled the stunt, if it was a stunt, are the same pair that pulled the same stunt over at State College several weeks ago. Then again, one could be just as right in guessing that after hearing about the occurrence at State College, some of the girls around here might have thought it was a fad or something and tried the same thing here. Just think how easy it would be for anyone to do it.

The State College weekly newspaper, "The Technician," had a haphazard account of the affair after it happened at State and before it happened here. In their account they wrote that the girls had refused to give the article they planned to write to the State College humor magazine, "Wataugan" and also to the "Technician," but instead claimed that the full story would appear in the humor magazine over at Carolina, "Tarnation." Editor Kerr of Tarnation says that he would be glad to have the story if it were possible but that he has yet to even learn who did it.

The most amazing thing about the whole occurrence at State was the reaction shown by the residents of the invaded dormitories there, and the State Campus as a whole. Editor Bill Haas of the Technician told this columnist at the

NCCPA conference in Raleigh last Friday that he would try to scoop Tarnation on the story and that in the meantime if the girls were ever identified he would advocate cutting off all their hair and boiling them in oil or something like that.

Emmet Bringle, former editor of "Wataugan" and present president of the North Carolina Collegiate Press Association, said that they "ought to build a jail over the girls if they were ever caught." Other State students have written irate letters to the Technician demanding apprehension of the girls and full prosecution, etc.

I believe it would be hard for anyone on this campus to be anything but amused at the incident. Several boys from the invaded dormitories at Carolina have commented that they thought it was a cute trick. One man in Whitehead Dormitory said, "I'll have to take my hat off to a pair of girls that got spunk enough to try a trick like that. I wish conditions were such that they didn't have to disguise themselves to come to see us."

Party-loving students of the University of North Carolina will be happy to learn of a new night and day club that invites and welcomes their patronage. It is the Club ORANGE FRIDAY, INC., located in room 909 of the Carolina Hotel in Raleigh, N. C. The unique name of the establishment was derived from a certain beverage invented by the management of the establishment who incorporated the joint Friday night as delegates to the NCCPA conference from the DTH and the Tarnation.

The beverage comes out to be a sort of vile orange color when mixed properly. The management of Orange Friday, Inc., was a little anxious about the financial status of the business venture at the last report Friday night. The "balance brought forward" column on their ledger showed nothing more than a straight line drawn through it.

The stock on hand was all gone by 10:00 and the customers were becoming incensed. The cashier of the establishment, namely this columnist, was unable to collect the customary cover charge from the customers, namely the Guilford College and Duke University delegations, so he escaped after turning over the books and all his cash on hand to Bill Buchanan, capable, efficient, and hard-working candidate for the presidency of the Association.

## To The Editor

SP AND NSA

Editor:

Bill Buchanan, in his column appearing in Saturday's (April 23) DTH, called on all parties to get behind the NSA purchase card system.

The Student Party in the past has supported and will continue to support the National Student Association.

Therefore the SP will not only vote for, but will earnestly work for the NSA purchase card system in order to lower prices for Carolina students.

Signed by all SP Legislators: William Prince, Graham Jones, John Saunders, Duffield Smith, Larry Botto, Sol Kimberling, Henry Bowers, Charles Lineberry, Jim Houser, Herb Yates, Johnnie O'Neal, Jean Cashion, Will London, Emily Sewell, Clark Taylor.

## QUOTING THE SUPREME COURT

Editor:

Recently, certain quotations have appeared on this page tending to show that Communists do favor the use of force and violence. One of the most thorough examinations of the Communist position on the use of force and violence was made by the Supreme Court in the case of Schneiderman vs. United States (June 21, 1943).

In the words of Lenin, quoted by the Court: "In order to obtain the power of the state, the class conscious workers must win the majority to their side. As long as no violence is used against the masses, there is no other road to power. . . . We are not in favor of the seizure of power by a minority."

To which the Court adds: A tenable conclusion from the foregoing is that the Party in 1927 desired to achieve its purpose by peaceful and democratic means, and as a theoretical matter justified the use of force and violence only as a method of preventing an attempted forcible counter-overthrow once the Party had obtained control in a peaceful manner, or as a method of last resort, to enforce the majority will, if at some indefinite future time. . . . constitutional and peaceful channels were no longer open."

Concerning the quotations out of context, "from Marx through Foster", of which a certain writer in this column appears to be overly fond, I think it is best to refer again to the words that Mr. Justice Murphy (perhaps also a "stalinist. . . tactician") wrote about one of the witnesses against Schneiderman: "He never attempted a philosophic analysis of the literature he read, but only read it to secure evidence, reading and understanding those portions which, in his opinion, 'had to do with force and violence.'"

Hans Freistadt

## Chollie's Follies April Afternoon

By Charlie Gibson

**CELEBRITIES & CELEBRATIONS**—How frolicsome can a May Frolics weekend get? The last straw came Saturday evening when the Bell Tower chimed out an austere and sonorous version of "Little Brown Jug." . . . Skitch Henderson's friend, Bill "The Razor's Edge" Duncan, was among those listed as speedy recoveries. . . . Heavens forbid, but what if Polgar, the famous mental wizard, got confused to-night with one of his miracles and left the entire audience hypnotized into thinking they came to college to study something or other? . . . Norman Cordon, Metropolitan Operator came to Chapelina, is a new addition in the latest "Who's Who in America." . . . Few folks dare predict which scenic senior will be the May Queen later this month, but odds are that 'twill be one of the two who announced their engagements on the same page of the Raleigh News and Observer Sunday—Ferne Hughes or Mary Louise Powe. . . . George C. Marshall was among the throngs at Pinehurst Saturday to witness Harvie Ward's usual show of hard-fought good sportsmanship.


Betty McDonald, Carolina's own and not the author of "The Egg and I," is now taking an agriculture course in the sociology department here. Not exactly the farmer's daughter type, Betty has guessed so quickly which faucet on the cow gives cream that folks are calling her "Aggie" because of her culture. . . . And speaking of subjects the University was too modest to list in its catalogue, how about the physical ed department's complete course in fly-casting? Was there ever anything so practical outside of State College?

**THIS THING CALLED SPRING**—Check the semi-nude sun bathers already all over the lower quad any afternoon, but just wait till the Archer House Chi O's take to the roof. . . . Marilyn Blackwell is not called "Telescope Tillie" for nothing. . . . Boomerang by Buick: One of the DTH editorialists most ardently in favor of students' cooperating to remedy the campus parking paralysis happens to have gotten a total of ten parking tickets himself so far this quarter.

er. . . Understand the scuttlebutt manager has such a guilty conscience that his ice cream menu has the word "Fresh" covered up in front of the "Peach." . . . Witticisms by Dick Dunlea, busy dean's list scholar, to the girls whom he shoes away from his hopechest Town and Country car: "Put an egg in your shoe, kid, and beat it!" Or "Act like a tree, and leave!"

If twenty-cannon salvos were practical to hail the man who has contributed most to the Carolina way of life in the past week, Fred Weaver should hang on to his ear-drums now. At a Pine Room banquet for all the newly elected student officers, Dean Weaver, a Harvard graduate student-to-be on a leave of absence next fall, gave one of the finest addresses heard on campus in many a year. The Weaver speech would have convinced anybody of the work and the wonders in student government here.


**KUDOES & KUSSES**—Bob Williams is the spark plug behind the highly successful Tin Can square dances sponsored by the Montreat Social Commission, the second of which squares off this Saturday night. . . . Barbara McIntyre, cute blonde who is friendly and uncoedish enough to speak to fellows whom she passes along the walks, is enough to drive a mon to Scotch. . . . Diddyknow that all groups holding big dance weekends are required by the University to fork over \$40 towards hiring extra-time policemen around Woollen Gym? Then why isn't someone charged to have additional cops guard Chapel Hill proper on big football afternoons in the fall when the regular flatfoot force become Kenan Stadium fans en toto? . . . Sam Hirsch's new full-length comedy "Repple Depple" will be like another look at a picture album of favorite souvenirs for World War II vets smart enough to catch the free Playmaker show Thursday or Friday. . . . CPU (for Commies Pick Us?) has a creditable new idea in the faculty-student debates to be started this Wednesday. . . . Good luck, too, to the University Club on all the plans which it is spearheading for a gala Blue-White weekend.



**HORIZONTAL**  
1. donkey  
4. twilight  
7. foul substances  
12. restrains  
14. lifeless  
15. false name  
16. English poet  
18. lofty mountain  
19. drove green seed  
21. high card  
22. country road  
23. neat  
24. mother of Titans  
25. glut  
26. parcel of land  
27. landed property  
29. most tractable  
32. salutation  
33. step in walking  
34. toward  
35. weathercock  
37. behind  
38. rumen  
39. note in Guido's scale

**VERTICAL**  
1. Syrian garment  
2. comforts  
3. fence steps  
4. street railways (abbr.)  
5. Odin's brother  
6. ancient Jewish ascetic  
7. expired  
8. hostel  
9. post-pone  
10. cornered  
11. wander away  
13. gentle knock  
17. prefix: before  
19. detest  
21. grow old  
22. tardy  
23. heavy volume  
25. rescue  
26. want  
28. oscine  
29. diplomacy  
30. dwarfed  
31. bushy clump  
33. goes by  
35. Russian measure of distance  
36. winged  
37. wood-boring tool  
38. division of a long poem  
40. rumple  
41. Indian moccasins  
43. possess  
44. among (poet)  
45. annex  
48. southern state (abbr.)

Answer to yesterday's puzzle.



Average time of solution: 24 minutes. Dated by King Features Syndicate, Inc.



The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is issued daily during the regular sessions of the University by the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second-class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$8.00 per year, \$3.00 per quarter.

Editor: DICK JENNETTE  
Business Manager: C. B. MENDENHALL  
Managing Editor: Bill Buchanan  
Sports Editor: Billy Carmichael III  
Night Editor: Herb Nachman  
Night Sports: Andy Taylor

The WASHINGTON SCENE

By George Dixon  
(Copyright, 1949, King Features Syndicate, Inc.)

WASHINGTON, May 12.—The coal operators are convinced John L. Lewis intends to pull another strike when the present contract expires June 30—and are secretly in favor of it.

"By this summer," confided a leading operator, "there won't be any coal business anyway, nearly everybody who uses coal is stocked up with it."

"There's never been so much coal above ground in the country's history. We all lie about how much coal we have, but the best estimate is 100,000,000 tons."

Faced with this, Lewis is going to have the toughest fight of his career getting anything more from the operators. Both sides are pretty well convinced the Government will have to step in.

Old eyebrows are keeping his counsel about the extent of his demands, but the operators feel sure he will ask for a 35-hour week to replace the present 40. This would mean an hour less a day for five days, with time and a half to be paid for all labor on Saturdays.

The mine owners are also convinced he will demand an increase in the contribution to the United Mine Workers' welfare fund of 10 cents a ton, making a total contribution of 30 cents a ton.

The operators would like to get the excessive stockpile reduced. If Lewis takes the rap for it with the public, it'll be all right with them too.

Mr. Grover Whitney McKeister, the distinguished legislative consultant, came in today bellowing that he considered Defense Secretary Louis Johnson a dangerous radical.

"What's Louie done now?" asked Miss Reid. "Advocated socialized atomic bombing?"

"He is striking at the very foundations of free enterprise," replied Mr. McKeister. "He says he is declaring war on '15 per cent brokers' and 'pull peddlers' who may try to horn in on the big new arms program."

"Very radical!" sniffed Miss Reid. "It will certainly put a crimp in you thieves."

Mr. McKeister beamed fondly upon the outspoken damsel, saying she didn't understand.

"My business," he explained, "is to make contacts. What right has the Secretary of Defense to say he will drive us out of the National Military Establishment?"

"No more, I guess," said Miss Reid. "Than the coppers have to tell a stickupman to get out of town."

Trivia and Tripe

On Criminal Doings

By Bob Sturdivant

inologist who we consulted in regard to the matter, the wife's motive probably falls into one of three categories: (1) The headwaiter may have been bringing the husband home from a binge, and the wife, laboring under false assumptions, flew into a fit of jealous rage and lowered the boom. These assumptions, may have been drawn on the spot upon seeing the two in each other's arms, or they have been harbored in her mind for some time. (2) The headwaiter may have refused to get into those stuffy clothes at the husband's approach, and by so doing, let himself in for the "silencer" treatment. (3) Maybe she just didn't give a happy darn.

It has been brought to our attention that the husband and the headwaiter might have been the same person, but this would only serve to spoil the fun!

We understand that a few members of the Golden Bears have formed an early morning breakfast club which meets as often as finances will allow. These boys are real hustlers as shown by the fact that they are usually up town wandering around long before the cafes open. That's



Distributed by King Features Syndicate  
by arrangement with The Washington Star

Entertainment Front

More On 'Merrily'

By Vestal Taylor

With the final curtain rung down on "Merrily We Love," there is little to occupy the attention of campus theatre goers until the opening of "Merchant of Venice" late next week. There is much to be said about the local production of Shakespeare's great play but not so much that it can't all come in a later edition.

The person or persons who wrote the copy for the recent Sound and Fury publicity committed an unpardonable error in omitting the name of Pete Strader from the list of important players, when making up the publicity copy. Pete played the part of a harried theatrical producer with his hands full of a temperamental actress and a matador with overactive ego. The part taken by Strader was essential to the success enjoyed by "Merrily We Love" and Pete played his part well.

For the benefit of those who don't know, Marty Jacobs was the brain behind S'nF's "Merrily" rather than the advertised director, Mark Barker. Marty agreed to do the show long before he finished with "Apple Tree Farm" and opened rehearsals after choosing his cast largely from a group of experienced players. However, shortly after "Merrily" got under way, Marty was called on the carpet by Sam Selden and asked to forego the directorship of the new show and to confine his time and talents to the Dramatic Arts department. Jacobs promptly arranged to have the directorship transferred to Barker in so far as publicity was concerned and went on his way producing a hit.

All was forgiven after the second night of the "Merrily" showing when Mr. Selden came backstage to welcome Marty back into the fold and to tell him that it was a great show. Selden must be dumb like a fox. It makes for interesting speculation to wonder just what would have been Jacobs' fate if his boss had not liked the S'nF show.

Some of the loudest gripes heard against the recent musical production revue came from persons qualified to criticize. These observers had some very valid reasons for their objections to the production, based, no doubt, on their experience in having watched major Broadway productions over a period of years. They did not jump to conclusions but weighed their decisions very carefully. How-

ever, they, along with so many others, who are quick to criticize, fail to make one very important consideration. They have failed to account for the hours and nights and days spent in each of these student productions by the students themselves.

They fail to consider that the people who are putting these shows on are putting their time and effort into a production for no other reason than the sheer love of acting—of displaying their talents (or lack of it as you like)—and of entertaining their fellow students. There are few persons participating in student productions of a professional calibre. If they had passed the test of fire they would be elsewhere selling their talent

for the well known lucre.

Here at UNC there is no matter of deciding on a particular type that may be needed in a particular role and calling a booking agent and having the role filled in a matter of hours. There is no great amount of money to hire talent in directors, actors or technicians. There is no money with which to buy expensive settings. Those interested in producing a show have to do the best they can and that usually means doing without.

This state of affairs does not only apply to Sound and Fury productions but the majority seen on campus. Another consideration should be the vast difference in price between a campus and a Broadway production.

CPU Student Poll

Earlier in the quarter the Carolina Political Union conducted a poll of student opinion of five questions which the Union believed would be of particular interest to the average Carolina student. The tabulation of the answers to two of the questions asked was given in an earlier edition of the Tar Heel. At this time the findings for two of the other questions will be presented.

The Union realizes that like all other public opinion polls this poll is also subject to inaccuracies. However, due to the scientific procedure employed in choosing the subjects to be interviewed and the careful tabulation and analysis that followed, it is believed that the results of this poll do measure to a very large degree the actual beliefs and wishes of the student body on these important questions.

In reply to the question: "Do you approve ratification by the Senate of the U.S. of the North Atlantic Pact," the answers were as follows:

76.1% favored the Pact.  
9.5% opposed ratification.  
16.4% were undecided.

A further breakdown was made with percentage results given for the various class groups and additional groupings were made to show the opinions of the students with residence in the state as contrasted with those from other states and a third grouping was made according to whether the student was a veteran or non-veteran. The results for this part of the poll were as follows:

	Fresh.	Soph.	Junior	Senior	Grad.	Special
Yes	61.9%	80%	63.4%	84%	73.8%	100%
No	9.5%	—	11.2%	6%	13.3%	—
Uncertain	28.6%	20%	19.4%	10%	12.9%	—

	N.C. Resident	Non-Res.	Veteran	Non Vet.
Yes	75.9%	76.7%	78.4%	73.7%
No	6.3%	13.3%	8.2%	7.8%
Uncertain	17.8%	10.0%	14.4%	18.5%

A second question was "In your opinion should the Taft-Hartley Act be:

- 1) Retained in its present form.
- 2) Substantially modified.
- 3) Completely repealed.
- 4) Uncertain.

And the answers for this question were as follows:

23.4% wanted the act retained in its present form.  
71.5% thought that it should be substantially modified.  
4.7% thought that it should be completely repealed.  
18.3% of those polled were uncertain.

	Fresh.	Soph.	Jr.	Sr.	Grad.	Spec.
Retained	14.3%	37.6%	16.2%	42%	21.7%	25%
Substantially Modified	71.5%	29.3%	48.7%	34%	43.4%	75%
Completely repealed	4.7%	12.6%	10.8%	8%	13.1%	—
Uncertain	9.5%	20.5%	24.3%	16%	21.7%	—

	N.C. Res.	Non Res.	Veteran	Non Vet.
Retained	15.5%	31.3%	32.5%	23.3%
Substantially modified	70.9%	28.2%	41.8%	46.5%
Completely repealed	3.9%	18.7%	9.4%	9.5%
Uncertain	9.7%	21.8%	16.3%	20.7%

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

**FREISTADT'S REPLY**  
Editor:  
Your editorial "Freistadt—Atomic Energy Scholar" contains a few inaccuracies which I feel should be corrected:

- (1) It is false that I am now or have been for some time attending school on an Atomic Energy Commission scholarship. I have been awarded a Fellowship for one year effective July 1; I have not so far received a dime under it.
- (2) It is false that I am being trained for secret work with the Atomic Energy Commission. The Fellowships are general academic awards, granted by the National Research Council on behalf of the Atomic Energy Commission, to graduate students on the basis of a competitive examination, their record, and recommendations by faculty members. The Atomic Energy Commission is not wasting the taxpayers' money; the purpose of the Fellowships is to provide trained physicists, teachers and research workers to intensify physical studies in this country; I hope to be able to serve this country in that capacity. Only in the present hysterical atmosphere will one find editors and radio commentators who shout "waste" at every penny spent on anything other than "perfecting some atomic weapon to be dropped on Russia."
- (3) It is false that I have ever attacked the American form of government. I challenge you to produce one quotation to that effect, or to withdraw your statement and apologize. I have criticized our present administration and economic organization; I have argued that our foreign policy is suicidal; I have argued that under socialism the American people could enjoy a standard of living the like of which we dare not dream of now. The right to so argue is a privilege that every citizen enjoys, and it requires a highly distorted reasoning to make disloyalty out of that. I consider myself a loyal citizen of this country; I am bound by my oath of citizenship to defend it against any aggressor, and will do so if necessary. I see no contradiction between my loyalty and my membership in the Communist Party. I consider allegations that the Communist Party is under foreign control lies, and until such time as these allegations are proved in Court, I will judge the Communist Party by its published platform and Constitution, to which I subscribe.

(4) By suggesting that academic awards be given on the basis of political orthodoxy rather than on the basis of scholarship, you are engaging precisely in the operation of mixing science with politics of which Communists have been accused.

(5) I submit that your vilifying and inflammatory editorial, filled with half-truths, exaggerations, and outright falsehoods, is a low of journalistic ethics. There is no indication that any source other than Fulton Lewis, Jr. was used for your editorial, for the facts of the case could have been ascertained by a phone call to Phillips Hall, which even the Chicago Tribune took the trouble of doing before writing on the matter.

SPANISH POLICY

Editor:

Again this nation's policy towards Spain is in the news. Again there are Americans who unfortunately believe we must include Spain in the ERP and Atlantic Pact. These Americans should realize that our fight against communism will not be won by military containment alone, but in the battlefield of men's minds.

In China we supported a fascist regime, and we lost the people's backing and the nation itself. This should be lesson enough. We can not say that we believe in democracy, and then support dictators. So let's not repeat this mistake in Spain.

John R. Harris

PLANETARIUM ISSUE

Editor:

I want to add my congratulations and appreciation to those I know you have already received for the splendid work that you, Bill Buchanan and the other members of your staff did in getting out the Planetarium issue.

Many of the guests expressed very favorable comments in looking over the copies they received.

It was a fine job.

William Friday

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33

34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44

45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55

56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66

67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77

78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88

89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99

100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110

111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121

122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132

133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143

144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154

155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165

166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176

177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187

188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198

199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209

210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220

221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231

232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242

243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253

254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264

265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275

276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286

287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297

298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308

309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319

320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330

331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341

342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352

353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363

364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374

375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385

386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396

397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407

408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418

419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429

430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440

441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451

452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462

463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473

474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484

485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495

496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506

507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517

518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528

529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539

540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550

551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561

562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572

573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583

584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594

595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605

606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616

617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627

628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638

639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649

650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660

661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671

672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682

683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693

694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704

705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715

716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726

727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737

738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748

749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759

760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770

771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781

782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792

793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803

804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814

815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825

826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836

837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847

848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858

859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869

870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880

881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891

892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902

903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913

914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924

925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935

936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946

947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957

958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968

969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979

980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990

991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001

1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1010 1011 1012

1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023

1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034

1035 1036 1037 1038 1039 1040 1041 1042 1043 1044 1045

1046 1047 1048 1049 1050 1051 1052 1053 1054 1055 1056

1057 1058 1059 1060 1061 1062 1063 1064 1065 1066 1067

1068 1069 1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078

1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085 1086 1087 1088 1089

1090 1091 1092 1093 1094 1095 1096 1097 1098 1099 1100

1101 1102 1103 1104 1105 1106 1107 1108 1109 1110 1111

1112 1113 1114 1115 1116 1117 1118 1119 1120 1121 1122

1123 1124 1125 1126 1127 1128 1129 1130 1131 1132 1133

1134 1135 1136 1137 1138 1139 1140 1141 1142 1143 1144

1145 1146 1147 1148 1149 1150 1151 1152 1153 1154 1155

1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166

1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177

1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188

1189 1190 1191 1192 1193 1194 1195 1196 1197 1198 1199

1200 1201 1202 1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 1209 1210

1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221

1222 1223 1224 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229 1230 1231 1232

1233 1234 1235 1236 1237 1238 1239 1240 1241 1242 1243

1244 1245 1246 1247 1248 1249 1250 1251 1252 1253 1254

1255 1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 1261 1262 1263 1264 1265

1266 1267 1268 1269 1270 1271 1272 1273 1274 1275 1276

1277 1278 1279 1280 1281 1282 1283 1284 1285 1286 1287

1288 1289 1290 1291 1292 1293 1294 1295 1296 1297 1298

1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307 1308 1309

1310 1311 1312 1313 1314 1315 1316 1317 1318 1319 1320

1321 1322 1323 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328 1329 1330 1331

1332 1333 1334 1335 1336 1337 1338 1339 1340 1341 1342

1343 1344 1345 1346 1347 1348 1349 1350 1351 1352 1353

1354 1355 1356 1357 1358 1359 1360 1361 1362 1363 1364

1365 1366 1367 1368 1369 1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375

1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384 1385 1386

1387 1388 1389 1390 1391 1392 1393 1394 1395 1396 1397

1398 1399 1400 1401 1402 1403 1404 1405 1406 1407 1408

1409 1410 1411 1412 1413 1414 1415 1416 1417 1418 1419

1420 1421 1422 1423 1424 1425 1426 1427 1428 1429 1430

1431 1432 1433 1434 1435 1436 1437 1438 1439 1440 1441

1442 1443 1444 1445 1446 1447 1448 1449 1450 1451 1452

1453 1454 1455 1456 1457 1458 1459 1460 1461 1462 1463

1464 1465 1466 1467 1468 1469 1470 1471 1472 1473 1474

1475 1476 1477 1478 1479 1480 1481 1482 1483 1484 1485

1486 1487 1488 1489 1490 1491 1492 1493 1494 1495 1496

1497 1498 1499 1500 1501 1502 1503 1504 1505 1506 1507

1508 1509 1510 1511 1512 1513 1514 1515 1516 1517 1518

1519 1520 1521 1522 1523 1524 1525 1526 1527 1528 1529

1530 1531 1532 1533 1534 1535 1536 1537 1538