

The Charlotte News

Published Daily Except Sundays and Public Holidays
 Published by The News Publishing Company, Inc.
 W. H. Smith, Jr., President and General Manager
 J. A. Powell, Editor
 J. H. Dore, Business Manager

The daily edition of The Charlotte News was established in 1876. The Sunday edition was added in 1910. The Evening Chronicle established in 1887 was consolidated with The Charlotte News May 1, 1911.

Reproduction of copyrighted articles herein is prohibited. Special permission that are not copyrighted may be used by giving credit to The Charlotte News.

The News desires to be notified promptly of errors in any of its reports that proper correction may be made at once.

MEMBER ASSOCIATED PRESS
 The Associated Press is exclusively entitled to the use for republication of all news dispatches credited to it or to any other news agency, and also the local news published herein.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES
 One Year \$12.00
 Six Months \$7.00
 Three Months \$4.00
 By Mail \$10.00
 By Carrier \$11.00
 Single Copies 10 Cents

We seek truth, that we may follow it.

MONDAY, JANUARY 24, 1938

As the Twig Was Bent

The Government's victory in the case against almost major oil companies and thirty leading oil men is in all respects a serious business. Sentences are yet to be passed, but the corporations face fines of up to \$50,000 each, and the individuals may be yoked into jail for as much as a year. Indeed, some of the wives of the defendant officers came weeping to their sides in the best court-room manner when they heard the foreman of the Federal jury pronounce the awful word, Guilty.

Well they might weep, for this is a criminal, not a civil, action that the Government has won. And yet, something contradictory about it, something half-hearted in the Government's belated decision to prosecute. For what these oil companies did in violation of the anti-trust acts was precisely what the Government is now teaching Federal judges under N.R.A. Secretary Ickes administered the oil code in such a way as to assure minimum prices for producers in the field, which inevitably called for price-fixing among the distributors. Their price fixing was not illegal, for the price fixing was not in violation of law, but actually it lessened the degree of their iniquity, and lends some credence to the defense that they were victims of the administration's inability to make up its mind between busting or blessing trusts.

Anyhow, They Fought

The morning papers carried an Associated Press dispatch from Hendaye, France, to the effect that Spanish Government sources report that yesterday at Teruel an American battalion wiped out two squadrons of Franco's soldiers, and this afternoon are publishing an Associated Press dispatch from Perpignan, France, which has it that, according to insurgent sources, yesterday at Teruel two squadrons of Franco's Moors wiped out an American battalion.

From which we deduce at least this, certainly—that yesterday at Teruel Americans were industriously trying to kill Moors and that Moors were industriously trying to kill Americans.

In the United States, then, at war with Morocco? If so, Dr. Cordell Hull has been very quiet about it. Or do Americans particularly dislike Moors, and Moors particularly dislike Americans? We had not heard of it. Ah, but the Americans and Moors part with love for Spain, Spain's new Moors, and this is doubtful. Wherefore, how came it about, then, that yesterday Americans were trying to kill Moors and Moors were trying to kill Americans? Why, as nearly as we can find out, there is a new Moor, and the Americans are fighting in Spain for a word, democracy. And the Moors, according to the best reports, are fighting because Franco or Mussolini or somebody pays 'em to fight.

They'll Go

We trust that the doctors of Burke County did not quite mean it when, the other day, apparently taking their cue from a doctor out West, they got together and decided to blacklist everybody who was in arrears with any of them. At least, they blacklisted only for cash on the nail, and in all labor cases whatever to require payment in advance.

We can imagine that it is highly desirable to have to roll out of the land as one who in the morning to the blacklisted, because it is

when the first thing would pay, but there is a way. They would pay the dead dead and those who really cannot pay—and we hope the Burke doctors have not forgotten that difference.

Also they will be making medicine into a mere business—and a particularly hand-dipped kind of business. For 2,500 years medicine has been primarily a business in the western world. And if the doctors forget that tradition, they will be surrendering, precisely the thing which the highest Laity and the highest Laity once doctors were the only body of men on earth who were almost invariably admirable.

But of course they won't, these doctors in Burke. They are merely expressing helplessly their resentment of patients who don't pay. When Mr. Indigent Huijman goes into a doctor's office, and the call comes to a doctor, it will be answered; and we'll bet the doctor's uncollectible fee on that.

Why, the Old Walrus!

There are times when we suspect that what old Henry Mencken used to argue, to the great scandal of the more solemn variety of patriots, is so—and that this actually is the funniest country on earth.

What we suspect is that the fact that we just discovered, in a list of salaries paid to prominent newspaper men published by Editor and Publisher, that Heywood Brown, the gentleman who performs in a column to lead, draws down \$37,500 per annum!

What is funny about that, of course, is that Heywood, in his utterances, is one of these here, indeed, when he might have been called a Red, the time when for a while he was a member of the Communist Party of America, but probably he would cover was really a Red. He is plainly and incurably a maverick, and Reds are all sheep—or parrots. Anyhow, they all say exactly the same things in exactly the same way. But pink in his utterances Heywood undoubtedly is. To put it mildly, he says, capitalism is lousy.

Yes, by the current tests, and by the tests that Heywood himself has often laid down, that salary plainly makes him an Economic Royalist. Wherefore, we have the paradox of an Economic Royalist daily spouting pink opinions! But an even greater paradox than that is the fact that the people who pay that salary are a highly capitalist chain of newspapers, a highly capitalistic syndicate, and any number of highly capitalistic newspapers which pay Mr. Brown's column. In short, we have the spectacle of a highly capitalistic press paying an Economic Royalist to write daily pieces giving capitalistic hell!

No doubt of it. It is the funniest country on earth. But not even Heywood will deny that, by the same token, it is a most pleasant one in which to live.

A Distinguished Visitor

It is going to fall to Cameron Morrison to introduce Dr. John R. Mott, the YMCA's speaker of Tuesday evening, to his audience, and while our distinguished former Governor is thoroughly at home in such a role, we wonder if he would like the difficulties of the undertaking. Dr. Mott is the sort of person one could more easily write a book about than portray adequately in the time allotted for an introduction. In fact, a book has been written about him, and it is no 40 printed pages since he was born. Dr. Mott has been a life-long traveler. Though a weary traveler, he has been nearly 2,000,000 miles, touching almost every spot, no matter how outlandish, on the globe. And though the variety of his interests is great, and though he has been offered academic and political posts of preference, the central theme of his life remains, as it became during his college days, the extension and the consolidation of the Christian religion.

Dr. Mott is a Christian, and Dr. Mott is a United States Government—ambassador to the world. And he has done as much, undoubtedly, as any contemporary person in spreading the doctrine with which he has bound up his life.

Signs in the Heavens

In addition to the uneasiness caused by the proximity of the volcano (Mauna Loa, in connection with yesterday's earthquake), Hawaii island residents reported seeing a big meteor fall.

We might smile at that. The fall of a big meteor is an eventful enough happening, as explicitly goes in this amazing world in which we have our being, and is likely to hurt no one. And yet if we smile at the Hawaiians we still only be laughing at ourselves. For when men feel that they are dwelling over imminent disaster, they everywhere fall into the state of mind where natural phenomena become ominous portents.

So, to the Hawaiians, when the star fell over the island, when the star

there took place, that is, one of those great prehistoric events, which are well known to astronomers—many pulpits and editorial sanctuaries, and not all of them low-placed, saw it as a certain sign of coming war between the North and South. And when, in the '30's, Donald's great comet burned through the heavens, the verdict that it portended such a war was almost universal. As in the early days of Reconstruction, the falling in some parts of Dixie of the great comet was a sign, laden with red dust from the upper atmosphere, was widely seen as ground for terror and gloom.

And before we smile too much at the Hawaiians, we shall have to remember that Mauna Loa is one of the greatest and most dangerous of Pacific volcanoes—as great and as dangerous, perhaps, as was Krakatau in Banda Straits, which in 1883 killed 132,000 people.

A COLUMNIST ON HIS KIND

By ROSE A. JOHNSON

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA.—This is a place about which my colleagues—the columnists—Westbrook Pegler said not long ago that it is a frightful place of brass even to hold out to be one of what the Oklahoma Club matronized as the Seven Pillars of Wisdom. And I agreed with him.

But, on second thought, I dunno. In my own work of attempting to study and digest the torrent of news that streams across the ticker, a short cut is the columnist. I try to keep myself informed directly but I must confess I read them all.

Godfrey Nelson has a place on Mr. Vinson's report on revising the undistributed profits tax. It is a terribly complex report. I know that he has compiled for hours to simplify it. That, checked against my own code, saves hours for me.

Some columnists are intimates and advocates of the Third New Deal. I find difficulty in agreeing with much that Mr. Jay Franklin says, but I know his contacts. If I want to guess what new white rabbit he is preparing in the nearest purloin, Washington, I feel pretty sure that Mr. Franklin's writings reflect it.

If I am a new way from New York and I have the time to see how the modern Lord Macaulay and economic royalists are taking it, it is easy to supply the lack by reading Dorothy Thompson.

A painstaking analysis of a slightly red slant on every development, is David Lawrence. The columnist with perhaps the most complete background of political memories with which to measure new developments is Mark Sullivan. He has set a lot of it down in his history of the times, and frequently consult in seeking sources or parallels of day-to-day developments.

He has them at his finger tips. Walter Lippmann and I don't seem to get along very well in mutual respect, but his is the most careful philosophical comment on the passing show of politics that is necessary to a balanced view.

Among the best listeners in the Washington whispering gallery of rumor or better, are Drew Pearson and Bobbie Allen. While the "grape vines" don't always register right, these gossip-glancers dig up a surprising lot of correct undercurrents.

Another columnist who also wears out a lot of conscientious reporter's shoe-leather and whose stuff is just about the most accurate of his kind is Paul Mallon. Franklin's Wallman's stuff isn't good, but many of her paragraphs have proved himself to be a Joseph P. Kamp and Robert Kintner team to get the same sort of bright but painstaking stuff job.

About the most creative writer of all, when he wants to be, is Heywood Brown. He can be exactly as engaging about nothing whatever as about something important. Arthur Cope is more of an editor than a columnist, but he can afford to make a place of his because he rarely writes without a background of absolutely accurate knowledge and he is the most careful and craftsmanlike chet in the whole kitchen.

I read several others which I can't afford to miss, like Heywood Clapper's column, and straight reporters who add a dash of editorial writing, like Turner Colledge and Tom Stokes. Eleanor Roosevelt rarely takes political comment but many of her paragraphs have proved highly revealing. To my mind the cream of the class are Westbrook Pegler and Frank Kent—Peg on every subject under the sun and Frank on politics.

The whole bunch, taken together, represent a group of persons who are far from opinion-formers from almost every conceivable angle. When you have read them all, you may not flush the cover of truth, but you certainly have covered the pasture with about a dozen of the best kind of dogs in any man's hunting grounds.

Queens Road Needs Paving Most Of All

Beautiful Park Strip Is Fine But Pressing Utilitarian Needs Should Be First.

Dear Sir:

I read with interest in a recent issue of your paper the plans that are being made for the beautification of the park strip of Queens Road, now that the street cars have been superseded by modern busses.

That, of course, is a splendid idea, and I hope that it can be carried out. However, it appears to me that the repaving of Queens Road, one of Charlotte's most beautiful residential streets, is of far greater importance than the beautification of the park strip.

As everyone who has ever traveled this street knows, the traffic lanes on either side of the now-abandoned street car line are far too narrow, so narrow, in fact, that, once behind a slow-moving vehicle, it is almost impossible for a driver to pass it. The pavement itself is full of holes and ridges and bumps, and the drivers are a good shaking-up of spools over 20 miles per hour.

Before spending money and time in beautifying the parkway, I wonder if it would not be wise to first repave the street, and, while doing so, take a good-size slice from each side of the park strip and add it to the traffic lanes. This repaving and widening of the lanes would in itself be a big step toward beautifying the entire street.

CHARLOTTE, N. C.

THAT VIRGINIA WILL TO VINE PARKED LOVERS IS UPHELD AS A GOOD ONE

Dear Sir:

In Saturday's News I read a letter from some local person who signed himself "Bill Young" and derided the idea of Dr. Thomas J. of Jonesboro, Virginia, who is trying to pass a law through the Virginia legislature making it unlawful for unmarried couples to park outside the city limits.

In the first place, I am disagreeably astonished to know that you would entertain such a letter. I am sure that you would be glad to know that one of our young men (or possibly, our young women) would voice such an opinion in a newspaper that will be read by other young people.

I believe Dr. J. M.'s proposal is a good thing, and I am sure that the passing of the law would be a tremendous value to the people of Virginia. It would serve, you must agree, to put an end to this disgusting promiscuous love-making on our public highways. And these trysts are extremely detrimental to the morals of our young.

Your correspondent, openly makes the assertion that if such a law were passed, the young people would violate it. Why? Is a public highway and a parked car necessary to love?

Perhaps I am old-fashioned, but when a young man called on me, I always prepared to find him a decent fellow, the dignity of my own parlor. Have times changed so greatly?

I hardly expect to see this letter appear in your open forum, but if it does, I hope it will be instrumental in aiding the passing of such a ruling in our own state.

CHARLOTTE.

The News solicits letters from its readers upon topics of the day, especially those of local interest. Letters should be signed, and should be as brief as possible.

The Dog on Relief

(Raleigh News & Observer)

A Negro in Raleigh City Court admitted that the seven dependents on his relief card were composed of six children and a dog. Quite correctly, he added that the dog has to eat, too. And how many of them are eating in North Carolina and the South? Sometimes it almost seems that the deeper the poverty, the more numerous the dogs. The News & Observer certainly is not opposing dogs or that effective army in their defense, the sentimental and ferocious company of the dog lovers. But a land where the dogs are many and the cows and sheep and hens are few, may have more dogs than this one on the relief cards.

Visiting Around

By R. B. HARRIS

Non-Running Room, He (Headline, Smithfield Herald) CLERK OF COURT ROSE HARRIS OUT WARRANT AGAINST HIMSELF

My Wifery Been Up That Way Recently? (Oakland, Times, Transylvania Times)

Clarence Merion had the misfortune of losing a two-year-old Ouseymer huffer recently. He does not know whether some one has had her paper did not agree with this estimate. Indeed, he disagreed so violently that he ended me out of the job on a merry night.

New, They're Freebies (Washburn Enterprise)

Do you have the masses?

BELIEVE IT OR NOT By Ripley

On regular basis with changed, address, envelope, etc. Ripley will furnish proof of anything depicted by him.



Explanation of Today's Cartoon

THE FATHER OF 25 COLONELS—Jacques de Thiers (1690-1747) of Fuy-de-Dompe, France, was the father of 25 sons and one daughter. Each son became a colonel in the French army. Thiers each commanded a French regiment at the battle of Fleurs, July 1, 1690, when 33,000 Frenchmen defeated 70,000 Dutch-Germans. The sturdy father of 25 colonels lived to the age of 117.

IT SEEMS TO ME

By HERWOOD BROWN

LIKE the book by Lin Yutang called "The Importance of Living" because it has nothing to do with success. I hope it will be taken as a chaser by all the vast number of readers who have perused Dale Carnegie.

One of the best chapters in Lin's collection of essays deals with the art of loafing. This is a practice not well understood in America. Indeed, the word "loafing" is libelous. In current usage one who loafs is a parasite, a person who sits around all day doing precisely nothing.

But this definition demands an ancient and a necessary art. The technique of true loafing is not as simple as all that. Long before Lin Yutang, the Chinese poet, began to write pieces for American readers the greatest of our nation's art of relaxation was the art of loafing. Wait Whiteman in loafing used to "invite" his cat, and this is the trick which marks the loafer from the idler.

Sports writers have been quick to note the distinction. On almost every side of the day during the baseball season one of the boys pulls the old whereabout the players in the lobby hotel. "Some of them sit and think and others just sit."

The distinction is of importance. Loafing and idleness are not to be confused only by the inattentive observer. As a matter of fact, the person who works all day long seldom accomplishes much. The good workman in any field of endeavor ought to learn to be expert in loafing.

I have no intention of endeavoring to do a book in competition with Dale Carnegie, because I have only one theory for success which I would recommend to the younger generation, and it can be stated in a sentence—"Always be sure to watch the clock. The clock is a masterpiece of the human spirit must be added—"Try and be the first one out of the shop when the whistle blows."

Such statements may be taken by some as a mere effort to be wise or whimsical, but I was never more serious in my life. The man who has no leisurely interest outside his job will never be particularly useful to anybody.

I have never met a man who sat, but for almost a year I was an executive having a staff of ten persons to whom I could give orders, although they never paid much attention. The job was that of sports editor, and I thought I was pretty good, although I was not. I was not. Indeed, he disagreed so violently that he ended me out of the job on a merry night.

That Fixed Him! (Louis Grimes, Chapel Hill Weekly)

At the dinner at the Inn Tuesday evening Colonel Bullitt told a story about a rebuttal administered by his younger brother, then four years old, to a grouchy citizen of Louisville, Kentucky.

Colonel Bullitt's father was a member of the cavalry force of General John Hunt Morgan, the celebrated General Hamilton for President without qualification, pledging the members of the meeting to his support under all circumstances.

They made it possible for the "veter" to describe the great depression in the "prohibition depression" with the explanation that this recession did not set in until the day of Prohibition began to show new signs of life.

Earlier Days

News of 75 and 100 Years Ago From Files in the State Library at Raleigh

JANUARY 24, 1863

75 YEARS AGO

THE CABINET SQUABBLE

The Herald says: "The latest phase of the Cabinet embroglio at Washington is a temporary respite, under which things return to the position in which they were. There will probably be for the present no change whatever."

The Northern papers are full of the affair and it is evident that no bad feeling has been healed though the broken Cabinet has been mended.

The Herald says that there is no hope for the vigorous, harmonious and successful prosecution of this war under the controlling influences, to which we may justly charge all our disasters. And again, nobody believes that the boohing up of the disruption in the Cabinet is more than temporary. It is like the rallying of the doomed sick man before his final dissolution. Public opinion is stronger than the Cabinet, and the Cabinet will soon have to go the way of all flesh. The crises, therefore, still continue and can only be solved by the final breaking up of the Cabinet, for it has lost the confidence of the country and will never be able to do so.

—Fayetteville Observer.

JANUARY 24, 1838

100 YEARS AGO

NOMINATION OF HARRISON

The distinguished editor of the Cincinnati Gazette—a veteran in the school of politics—has made the following remarks on the proceedings of the meeting which recently nominated General Harrison, of that city. They are in the true Whig vein.

"We publish today the proceedings of the meeting in favor of General Harrison, held at the Court House on Tuesday evening last, and, in so doing, feel it a duty we owe to the Whig party, to express our decided disapproval of all that portion of them that goes against the proposed national convention and which nomination General Harrison for President without qualification, pledging the members of the meeting to his support under all circumstances."

—Fayetteville Observer.

Tit for Tat

(Columbus Record)

When the South Carolina "dye" and so she denies them the right to their opinion; opposing liquor sales nor questions their authority; attempt to blame the business recession on the repeal of prohibition and call it a "liquor depression," they are frauds on dangerous ground.

They make it possible for the "veter" to describe the great depression in the "prohibition depression" with the explanation that this recession did not set in until the day of Prohibition began to show new signs of life.