----WHAT IS JUSTICE

Jaynes Murder Brought No Glory to Capital Police

By PETER LEVINS

ON the night of Feb. 5, 1943, a heavy-set, middle-aged woman shipyard worker was brought into the Berkeley, Calif., police station to be booked on a drunk charge. As she stood before the desk sergeant, she mumbled some unintelligible The sergeant caught the words "Washington" and "murder."

charge. As she stood before the desk sergeant, she mumbled some unintelligible phrases. The sergeant caught the words "Washington" and "murder."

It could have been nothing more than the ramblings of a niebriate; nevertheless, a check was ordered, with the result that the police presently were rewarded with the discovery of an old "wanted" circular bearing a picture and identification of the woman who was sleeping it off in a nearby cell. The teletype clicked off the message to Washington, D. C.

"EDITH M DODSWORTH but the continent, authorities Pearned that a new development was reviving the Jordan case, one of the most controversial in the not-so-bright crime annals of the national capital and welved purchased the saw proved disappointing, judg-individual to the saw proved to the saw proved to the saw proved phrases. The sergeant caught the words "Washingt It could have been nothing more than the ramblings of an inebriate; nevertheless, a check was ordered, with the result that the police presently were rewarded with the discovery of an old "wanted" circular bearing a picture and identification of the woman who was sleeping it off in a nearby cell. The teletype clicked off the message to Washing-



Would Risk Chair for New Trial

once saved from electric chair by President Roose-nble again with extreme penalty in return for new trial in murder of Elizabeth Jaynes.

saw its long-awaited "break in the case."

It came, they said, from a taxicab driver who had been involved in an argument with a pugnacious woman passenger. She had made the remark, "Don't get tough with me, sonny," and had threatened to get her boy friend "who held up some shop." He had thoughtfully noted the address where he had dropped his fare.

Thus, within a short time, detertives had in custody Edith Dodsworth, 35, a waitress by trade.

Their check of her background showed that she had worked in the Garden T Shoppe and had been fired the previous January. Later she had worked at the Imperial Cafe, on Pennsylvania Ave., and had quit at about the time of the restaurant robbery. The manager of the latter store, Michael Crone, recalled that he had lost his bus boy, Thomas Jordan, on the same day the waitress left.

Back at headquarters, detectives found Mrs. Dodsworth willing to talk—up to a certain point. At least she talked enough to send Barrett speeding to Atlantic City, N. J., where, on the boardwalk, he confronted a certain young man who happened to have black hair. "Jordan," said the sleuth, "we've got Edith Dodsworth, and I'm taking you back to Washington with me."

The suspect made no protest, and he told his story freely as the

The suspect made no protest, and he told his story freely as the train bore him back to the capital.

HE said he was the ne'er-do-well son of a respectable Columbus, Ohio, family whose name wasn't Jordan. He had attended college, but, unable to stand the discipline, had become a wanderer, holding a succession of poorly paid menial tasks available to itinerant workers in the depression vears.

in the depression years.

In 1929, he had been arrested for forging his employer's name to a \$10 check, and had gotten two Then, early in May, 1935, U. S.

house and arrested five young men they described hopefully as suspected members of a holdup gang. The five turned out to be Georgetown University law school students, and they were released with a pologies.

It wasn't until late in August that the harassed detective bureau saw its long-awaited "break in the case."

It came, they said, from a taxicab driver who had been involved in an argument with a pugnacious worm. Suggested

Woman Suggested Robbery, He Said.

"Why rob a bank?" she retorted, according to him. "I know a setup better than that. At the Garden T Shoppe, where I used to work, the owner makes the collections from the other branches at night, and when he reaches Columbia Road he sometimes has as much as \$3,000 with him. You could knock him off there."

him off there."

But had he followed her suggestion? He said he hadn't. He had decided, he said, that it wouldn't be worth the risk.

According to the police, Mrs. Dodsworth had told them that a friend, Ralph Aiken, a middle-aged letter carrier, had known of her conversation with Jordan. But when Aiken was queestioned as to his possible connection with the case, he denied all knowledge.

During the next several weeks,

case, he denied all knowledge.

During the next several weeks, Barrett and his associates tried repeatedly to crack their prisoners' denials of participation in the actual crime. No luck. Finally all three were charged with conspiracy to commit robbery, no mention being made of the murder of Elizabeth Jaynes.

Jordan, when the time came, entered a plea of guilty to this charge, yet the Grand Jury failed to indict any of the trio, so all were released—Aiken to resume his since uninterrupted residence in Washington, Jordan and the woman to vanish from the city. Thereafter the police showed little interest, if any, in keeping track of them, and the murder went back into the Homicide Squad's bulging "unsolved" file.

Followed now four years of silence.

Then, early in May, 1935, U, S.

Woman in the Case

Mrs. Eith Dodsworth Lane, whose arrest in Berkeler, Calif., early in year, revived 12-year-old Washington, D. C., murder case.



Killed by a Robber

The late Elizabeth Jaynes, restaurant manager, struck by bullet during robbery at Garden T Shoppe, Washington, D. C., April 3, 1931.

Back At Scene

Mrs. Edith Dodsworth Lane (left) as she arrived in Washington in custody of police matron following her arrest at Berkeley, Calif. She insisted she hadn't known of her indictment until she saw picture in a detective story magazine.



Attorney Leslie C. Garnett found in his morning mail a letter from Thomas Jordan, postmarked Mt. Vernon, N. Y.

He had fallen in love with a Mt. Vernon girl, he said, and wanted to marry her. He had told of his past, and wanted to know if there was any possibility of his being involved in another investigation of the Jaynes case.

"I am confident it would not hurt me," he wrote, "but in fairness to the girl I would like to know definitely."

as my share and let me out of the car downtown. I've never seen the man or the car since."
He said he couldn't sleep nights after that. He kept seeing Mrs.

to marry her. He had told of his past, and wanted to know if there was any possibility of his being involved in another investigation of the Jaynes case.

"I am confident it would not hurter," he wrote, "but in fairness to the girl I would like to know definitely."

DETECTIVE BARRETT heard about the letter, pondered the matter for a while, then packed his bags and journeyed to Mt. Vernon.
"Sure," they told him at headquarters there, "That's the fellow that works down at Bickford's restaurant."

At Bickford's the manager said that Jordan had been working as a cook, but was off duty at the moment.

"If you see him," said Barrett, "tell him I want to talk to him about an authority to him a point of the liller as a man with light hair.) Result, the Grand Jury indicted Jordan and Mrs. Dickworth for first degree murder.

PICHARD BOWMAN, a young but promising attorney, was appointed defense counsel, and applied to make him the promising attorney, was appointed defense counsel, and applied to make him the said he couldn't sleep nights after that. He kept seeing Mrs. Jaynes.

En route to Washington, the kept seeing Mrs. Joanes and the couldn't sleep nights after that. He kept seeing Mrs. Jaynes.

En route to Washington, the kept seeing Mrs. Jaynes.

En route to Washington, the kept seeing Mrs. Jaynes.

En route to Washington, the kept seeing Mrs. Jaynes.

En route to Washington, the kept seeing Mrs. Jaynes.

En route to Washington, the kept seeing Mrs. Jaynes.

En route to Washington, the kept seeing Mrs. Jaynes.

En route to Washington, the kider she washed anxiously if Mrs. Jones anxiously if M

that Jordan had been working as a cook, but was off duty at the moment.

"If you see him," said Barrett. "tell him I want to talk to him about an automobile accident."

Later that day the dark-haired suspect visited headquarters. Barrett told him he wanted to talk to him about that letter he had witten Garnett. "You know—the letter about the Jaynes case."

According to the Washington officer, Jordan them nuttered something to the effect that he would "burn for this yet." He also begged Barrett not to "bring the girl into this." Barrett asked who she was. "Is it Dodsworth."

"No, I swear it's not Mrs. Dodsworth."

"No, I swear it's not Mrs. Dodsworth."

"No, I swear it's not Mrs. Dodsworth."

"Thus it went for several hours, Finally, he put his head in his hands, and said, "All right, I'll tell you all about it."

In a statement taken down by Lieut. Herman Mattes of the Mt. Vernon police, Jordan admitted that the plot born in the basement of the Imperial Cafe had not been merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to execution.

"I met Edith Dodsworth at about."

According to the Washington officer, Jordan then muttered something to the effect that he would "burn for this yet." He also begged to this." Barrett asked who she was "I's it Dodsworth?"
I's it polosworth?"
Thus it went for several hours, Finally, he put his head in his hands, and said, "All right, I'll tell you all about it."
Thus it went for several hours, Finally, he put his head in his hands, and said, "All right, I'll tell you all about it."
In a statement taken down by Lieut. Herman Mattes of the fit. Vernon police, Jordan admitted that the plot born in the basement of the Imperial Cafe had not been merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to exem merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to exem merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to exem merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to exem merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to exem merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to exem merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to exem merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to exem merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to exem merely a subject of idle discussion, but had been carried on to exem his point of the holdup," he related, "She was driving a small sedan. With her was a tall man I had never seen before, and whose name was not mentioned. When we got near the Garden to the fit of the holdup," a small sedan. With her was a tall man I had never seen before, and whose name was not mentioned when we got near the Garden to the fit of the holdup, "I man I had never seen before, and whose name was not mentioned when we got near the Garden to the fit of the discussion of the washington force said that Jordan had mented his original of the car.

"I't was agreed that I should go after the money while the other man's arm struck the fit of the holdup of

he could get Barrett out of town without damage to Miss Biecholm's reputation.

He further charged that he confessed to the crime in 1931 in order to get some sleep and food after a two-day grilling, and that the police found his confession false at that time. As for Mr. Jaynes' statement, he insisted that the husband had been "mistaken" as to what was said at their meeting. The meeting, incidentally, had been arranged by Barrett.

Defense efforits to exclude the confessions were fruitless. Defense pleas for an acquittal were also fruitless. The jury decided that Jordan was guilty as charged. Jordan was guilty as charged. Jordan become an occupant of death row in the District Juil.

But, as so often happens in cases where the evidence is flimsy or the investigation queer, the conviction of the defendant did anything but end the matter.

AT a hearing on a motion for a new trial, one of the jurors, Israel Good, a print shop operator, said he had voted for the first decree verdict after fellow jurors had convinced him that only that verdict or acquittal was permissable under the judge's instructions. He said the foreman had prevented him from returning to the court for additional instruction. However, the motion for a new trial was denied, and only appeals stood between Jordan and the electric chair.

trial was denied, and only appeals stood between Jordan and the electric chair.

Meanwhile Defense Attorney Bowman had died, and the appeal was turned over to his brother-in-law, Harry Whelan, who had been Detective Barrett's lawyer when the latter was tried for assault and battery. Jordan himself professed not to be interested, saying that "the bottom went out of everything when the police got Jean to testify against me."

Then, while in a less depressed mood, he met John M. Holzworth, a former member of the District Attorney's staff in Westchester County, N. Y. A crusader at heart. Holzworth became interested in the case, with the result that Jordan authorized him to act as associate attorney.

case, with the result that Jordan authorized him to act as associate attorney.

The Court of Appeals had affirmed the verdict, and Jordan had been sentenced to die on May 14, 1937. But Philip Love, a local newspaper man, had discovered in old police files the original alarm for two men each 6 feet tall, one of whom had light hair. Holzworth seized upon this, pointed out that Jordan was 5 feet 6 and had black hair, and demanded another trial on the basis of new evidence.

When this plen failed, Holzworth seized with the D. A.'s office had conspired to suppress the evidence. He also pointed out that Attorney Whelan had used the description of the slayers in Barrett's defense against the assault charges brought by Baber and Milburn. He called this point to the attention of the Justice Department's pardon attorney.

"We have reopened the case for

fessions in evidence. Jordan took "chesterite took his evidence toe the stand to repudiate them.

"I was a complete fool," he told the jury, "I wrote that letter to the District Attorney because Jean was worried. Then when Barrett of the subject of the confessed to keep her name out of it because she was worried about her job with the telephone company."

He charged that Barrett had offered him assurances first of a second degree conviction, and then of manslaughter, if he would confess. He said this convinced him that the police had nothing on him, so he decided that if he confessed he could get Barrett out of town without damage to Miss Bierbolm's reputation.

He further charged that he confessed to the crime in 1931 in order to get some sleep and food after a two-day grilling, and that the police found his confession false at that time. As for Mr. Jaynes' statement, he insisted that the husband had been "mistaken" as to what was said at their meeting. The weaking the world had been arranged by Barrett.

Defense efforits to exclude the confessions were fruitless. Defense pleus for an acquittal were also fruitless. The jury decided that Jordan was guilty as charged, Jordan became an occupant of death yow in the District Jail.

But, as so often happens in cases where the evidence is flimsy or the investigation gueece, the conviction

BUT the controversy over the ca of the diminutive bus boy did not end when he was lodged in a cell in the federal prison at Lorton, Va.

not call wine the way to the cell in the federal prison at Lorton, Va...

In October, 1939, the District Court issued a writ of habeas corpus to grant Jordan a hearing on another plea for a new trial. In a five-day hearing, all the evidence and arguments were aired anew, Representative George Bates of Massachusetts, one of the House probers, was called to testify as to that group's findings, but the District Attorney's office succeeded in preventing hir from speaking.

Juror Good appeared again, this time to declare that a deputy marshal had brought a law book into the jury room in violation of court rules. The marshal, John Upperman, who was serving a jail term for jury tampering in another case, denied the charge, as did members of the jury panel.

New Trial

New Trial Pleas Denied

The Court finally ruled that all the evidence presented had been available at the time of the trial,

available at the time of the trial, and denied the plea.

Jordan then sought special Congressional legislation to permit the courts to try his case again. He declared he was quite willing to risk a possible death penalty.

Here again he failed. Washington authorities began to feel that they had heard the last of the Jordan case.

But the wire from the West Coast quickly disabused then.

There were hurried conferences between the police and U. S. Attorney Edward M. Curran. Jordan was brought from Lorton. "Edith Dodsworth has been picked up in California," they told him. "Will you testify against her?"

He said he couldn't say that he wouldn't. "I'll think it over," he added. Later, he sent a message: "What I said at my trial still goes."

Detective Barrett brought her

Detective Barrett brought her ba

Detective Barrett brought her back.

In the ensuing weeks, she revealed nothing new except to admit that she had been with Jordan on the night of the crime. She said she had been in California for two years and had married again, to Andrew Lane, 55-year-old shipyard worker.

Eventually, U. S. Attorney Curran threw up his hands and admitted defeat. On June 21, the indictment was dropped and the woman was freed. She immediately issued a statement describing her incarceration as a violation of her-Constitutional rights.

"Why did they bring me here if they didn't have anything on me?" she demanded.

In his cell at Lorton, Jordan re-



Sleuth

Robert J. Barrett, Washington detective who plays leading role in investigation of Jaynes case.

ned his letters to the Justice Department and his friends, re-newing his demand for freedom on



The Mechanics of Sex

The Mechanics of Sex
This back is by the midlimental M. D.
This back is by the midlimental and policy or,
withche states, the with how Winness crimital,
we will be a second or the midlimental and the second or
our time, whose works are remainized convolutes to
the first which he made his sea.
It is not the much its say that his writings have
taking of ship-idean and mychaelogists. De distribution of the midlimental and the remaining of the midlimental and the problems of correcting these and evaluation
them that he made you there were the midlimental than the midlimental the midlimental and the problems of correcting these and evaluation
them to a health meanalty.

Sex and You

B. D. P. M. Lovell

Sex and the Love Life

An hunes, straight forward exposition of the Sexual Question, thoroughly constructive in tone, written in a popular wale, and at the same time scentifically sered. Many illuminating hot little-inter-strong excellence relating to actual photonoma are

incition. Thereigney Construence is now constituted in a possible would not be came then constituted in a possible with most fine the came the formatty in a construence of the construe

physicians.

Book hm. 18 own informative illustration

HEALTH PUBLICATIONS. Dept. 207

27 WEST BROADWAY, N. Y.

----SEND NO MONEY

HEALTH PUBLICATIONS. Dept. 207

WEST BROADWAY, B. W.

the Broadway of the Public PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY