

Thomas L. Robinson... President and Publisher
Brodie S. Griffith... General Manager
Robert H. Lampee... Advertising Director
Ozell Prince... Editor
Perry Morgan... Associate Editor
R. L. Young Jr... Managing Editor
Huey Stinson... Circulation Manager

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1957

Educational Crisis Justifies Limited Federal Aid - But No Federal Control

NORTH CAROLINA is clamped securely in the jaws of an educational emergency. At this point, nothing less than emergency measures will extract us.

\$14,615,000 a year and our matching requirement would be only \$7,307,500.

North Carolina need its \$14,615,000 desperately. On paper, the state seems to be making progress in improving the physical facilities of its schools.

We firmly believe in the American tradition that holds states and communities primarily responsible for building and operating public schools.

Local control must never be surrendered. Under the carefully spelled-out terms of the program the President has submitted to Congress, it will not have to be surrendered.

It is a four-year program, devised simply to get the nation over the hump of its worst educational crisis since the beginning of the century.

The direct aid would amount to \$325 million a year but the money would be divided among the states under a formula that recognizes economic need as well as numbers of children.

The federal-state matching formula would be based on relative state income per school-age child.

The President's program represents an emergency answer to an emergency situation. Provided proper safeguards are maintained to prevent federal tampering, the measure merits wide support.

Local Solons Must Shape The Future

MUCH of Mecklenburg's future is hidden.

It will be shaped by unseen forces in the roily mists of time and circumstance.

But a large part of itself the future offers to the present, with handholds, to be seized and designed now.

Mecklenburg's five representatives to the General Assembly, off to Raleigh this week, will have to grab the handholds firmly.

Mecklenburg's five representatives to the General Assembly, off to Raleigh this week, will have to grab the handholds firmly.

Mecklenburg's five representatives to the General Assembly, off to Raleigh this week, will have to grab the handholds firmly.

Mecklenburg's five representatives to the General Assembly, off to Raleigh this week, will have to grab the handholds firmly.

Mecklenburg's five representatives to the General Assembly, off to Raleigh this week, will have to grab the handholds firmly.

Mecklenburg's five representatives to the General Assembly, off to Raleigh this week, will have to grab the handholds firmly.

STOP HOUNDING THEM!

THE American Guild of Animal Artists, New York City, has a pet peeve.

It doesn't like the use of names of animals in a derogatory manner.

Dedicated to bettering the welfare of animal performers, the guild, made up of animal owners and friends, it is presumed, says such expressions as these are offensive:

She is a cat. He's a wolf. He's a snake. She's a goat. He's a shark. She looks like a hippopotamus. He has a horse face. He is hen-pecked. She's foxy. He's a mouse. He's a rat. He's a mule. He's a skunk. She looks like a crow. She has the skin of an elephant. She's a big cow.

The Boys' Bore Voters With The Same Old State

Editors, The News: THIS is the month of the year when everyone begins to think of spring. But at this time we busy citizens think that about the spring election when we are again asked to vote for the representatives of our city government for the next four years.

This is an important and vital exhibit of every citizen, but it has become so monotonous and discouraging to go to the polls to vote, only to find the same candidates seeking re-election. And it now appears that this year's election will be no different.

My first question is: Why are the same candidates so eager for this onerous job? And secondly, are there no qualified, public-spirited citizens who, like Mr. Smith, have the nerve to apply for the job of councilman without the approval of The Boys?

Anyone For Mayor? Candidates Needed

Editors, The News: THE political machinery has begun to click for selection of mayor and City Council. We hope that the mayoral race will carry more than one, for if it does not give the people a choice at the ballot box, the City of Charlotte should be able to find more than one who would like to be mayor.

Then comes the City Council. We do not overlook the idea that we need seven good men for this job. There may be vacancies, so let's try and get some about now.

Let's elect or fill in a council that will take some action on this outgoing may.

A Note Of Thanks From Clear Creek

Editors, The News: THE officers and members of the Clear Creek Community Development Group join me in thanking The Charlotte News for the publicity given our community during the past year.

Where Do You Suppose They Get Ideas Like That?

Editors, The News: I HAVE read the discussion concerning the annexation of the perimeter area and I think that the toll is waiving the dog.

Tail Wagging Dog On Annexation Issue

Editors, The News: I HAVE read the discussion concerning the annexation of the perimeter area and I think that the toll is waiving the dog.

For one who will not vote for annexation unless I see that it will reduce the tax rate for the perimeter area, I am now in a bind.

New sewers are extremely expensive to install and so is road maintenance and it looks to me as if we in the city will be voting for a tax increase if we vote for annexation.

The last time we extended the city limits we had to resort to bonds for water and sewage systems and new schools and it looks to me as if we will get stuck again footing the bill for the people in the perimeter.

The areas to be annexed are filled with young people who have



Without Competition, Monotony Reigns At The Polling Places

children of school age and we will have to buy schools for them after we have already bought and paid for our own schools. Let the young folks pay for their own schools. We old folks have paid for the schools of our generation.

Wagner Told Truth About Arabian King

Editors, The News: SHAHR Hussein Dahir writes in People's Platform that New York's Mayor Robert F. Wagner's statement concerning King Saud of Saudi Arabia is impudent and Dahir also states that Mayor Wagner's statement is devoid of all elements of truth.

Mayor Wagner is reported in an AP release as stating that the king is "not the kind of person we want to officially recognize in New York City."

It isn't strange to hear Mr. Pulis boast of satisfactory accomplishments after conferences held with heads of foreign governments, and we have yet to witness one satisfactory accomplishment beneficial to the United States or the world in general.

Drew Pearson's Merry-Go-Round

THE Boston Globe dumped last week on the skids that had been greased for its competitor, the Boston Herald and Traveler, to win a television license worth \$200 million.

Prior to this the FCC, under pressure from GOP National Chairman Len Hall and Secretary of Commerce Sinclair Weeks, ordered its staff to prepare the necessary papers for awarding the valuable TV permit to the Herald and Traveler.

Startling Charges

The last minute before formal action, however, the rival Boston Globe made a vigorous protest. In a series of startling affidavits the Globe charged that Herald-Traveler publisher Robert Choate had threatened to use the new TV station to drive the Globe out of business.

Merger Sought

The affidavits claimed that Choate had tried for two years to force a merger between the Globe and Herald-Traveler. On legal advice that such a merger would violate the antitrust laws, the Globe refused to negotiate.

Choate then angrily threatened "to do his best to put the Globe out of business" and, if he won his TV license, "to use his newspaper, radio station, and television station to injure the Globe if he could," charged Globe president Davis Taylor in a sworn affidavit.

In another affidavit, Globe treasurer

It seems to me that this administration has taken over the methods of appeasement so well practiced by the British for many years. Do we want to reap the same results in standing as a world power as England is now?

If we continue our actions of appeasement to small or large countries as this administration has been practicing for the last four years, it will not take us as long as it took England to be in her shoes.

England has always been selfish or egotistic when it was a question between principle and monetary considerations. Although we were her most dependable ally, she never cooperated with us when it was a question of not doing business with countries that we were engaged in wars with, or refused to recognize those countries that either indirectly helped our enemies or were in sympathy with them.

U.S. Mimics British Appeasement Policy

Editors, The News: THE conferences held between King Saud of Saudi Arabia and the President and the secretary of state have ended temporarily, but is expected to continue within a week.

She is now reaping the results of her past egotism and selfishness. The sad part of it is that we have taken over the methods and practices, exactly the same way as the English did, and if we don't change our policies quickly enough, we will wind up in the same position England did and

Oil cannot be substituted for principle and we better recognize it soon enough, before it becomes too late. We pretend that we are concerned about high ideals and principles, whereas actually we aren't that way at all. It is oil and other monetary considerations that we are truly concerned about.

Our dealings in the Middle East have proved this without the slightest doubt, but it wouldn't be at all to our benefit, nor will it benefit humanity, and we better make up our mind: before it is too late.

The only thing I can see the Eisenhower Doctrine amounting to is appeasement and more appeasement, but nothing constructive.

We are copying exactly the way Chamberlain used to do.

The only way we may expect good results that will actually benefit peace is to take a firm but at the same time a just stand and to be concerned with no consideration of appeasing anybody and also take into account that permanent peace depends on a definite plan to bring about a satisfactory settlement between the Arabs and Israel, before it is too late.

Rock Hill's Christian Ministers Answered

Editors, The News: I WOULD like to give my opinion or answer to the question of race supremacy.

In the first place, God made all things good in the beginning; the clean and the unclean of beasts and fowl and all creeping things. The Bible says: "That all that God made was good," though God made a difference between clean and unclean, nevertheless all was good for God's purpose.

We ask why did God do this? He gave no account of any of his matters. As for one nation of people being superior to another, that is answered in the story of Pentecost and had the gospel preached to them by Peter, Acts 2:8.

Let us look at Gen. 25:23: "And the Lord said unto her, 'Two nations are in thy womb and two manner of people shall be separated from thy bowels, and the one people shall be stronger than the other people; and the elder shall serve the younger.'" (These were Jacob and Esau.)

Esau was the father of the Edomites, and Jacob was the father of the Israelites. So we see that the nation of Israel was made superior over the nation of Edomites, though Christ died for the Edomites the same as he died for the Israelites, and all other people.

Salvation is for all people and the gospel is to be preached to all people. But that hasn't anything to do with teaching that all people are equal in society.

That which is said in Acts 11:14 to 18 is concerning preaching the gospel to other nations or races, and hasn't any connection with social equality.

We all know that under certain circumstances we cannot avoid, we might find ourselves in the company of other races, but not in a social way. These things are to be considered only by other races, and not desire to mix racially.

These 30 ministers of Rock Hill surely have heard, and know, that the Negro's purpose is to intermarry with the white people if they are permitted to do so, for this, they have said, is their goal.

I Thess. 5:22 says: "Abstain from the appearance of evil." All people know that mixing in schools and otherwise has "the appearance of evil" and will bring about even more and more.

It seems to me that this administration has taken over the methods of appeasement so well practiced by the British for many years. Do we want to reap the same results in standing as a world power as England is now?

If we continue our actions of appeasement to small or large countries as this administration has been practicing for the last four years, it will not take us as long as it took England to be in her shoes.

England has always been selfish or egotistic when it was a question between principle and monetary considerations. Although we were her most dependable ally, she never cooperated with us when it was a question of not doing business with countries that we were engaged in wars with, or refused to recognize those countries that either indirectly helped our enemies or were in sympathy with them.

U.S. Mimics British Appeasement Policy

Editors, The News: THE conferences held between King Saud of Saudi Arabia and the President and the secretary of state have ended temporarily, but is expected to continue within a week.

She is now reaping the results of her past egotism and selfishness. The sad part of it is that we have taken over the methods and practices, exactly the same way as the English did, and if we don't change our policies quickly enough, we will wind up in the same position England did and

Oil cannot be substituted for principle and we better recognize it soon enough, before it becomes too late. We pretend that we are concerned about high ideals and principles, whereas actually we aren't that way at all. It is oil and other monetary considerations that we are truly concerned about.

Our dealings in the Middle East have proved this without the slightest doubt, but it wouldn't be at all to our benefit, nor will it benefit humanity, and we better make up our mind: before it is too late.

The only thing I can see the Eisenhower Doctrine amounting to is appeasement and more appeasement, but nothing constructive.

We are copying exactly the way Chamberlain used to do.

The only way we may expect good results that will actually benefit peace is to take a firm but at the same time a just stand and to be concerned with no consideration of appeasing anybody and also take into account that permanent peace depends on a definite plan to bring about a satisfactory settlement between the Arabs and Israel, before it is too late.

Rock Hill's Christian Ministers Answered

Editors, The News: I WOULD like to give my opinion or answer to the question of race supremacy.

In the first place, God made all things good in the beginning; the clean and the unclean of beasts and fowl and all creeping things. The Bible says: "That all that God made was good," though God made a difference between clean and unclean, nevertheless all was good for God's purpose.

We ask why did God do this? He gave no account of any of his matters. As for one nation of people being superior to another, that is answered in the story of Pentecost and had the gospel preached to them by Peter, Acts 2:8.

Let us look at Gen. 25:23: "And the Lord said unto her, 'Two nations are in thy womb and two manner of people shall be separated from thy bowels, and the one people shall be stronger than the other people; and the elder shall serve the younger.'" (These were Jacob and Esau.)

Esau was the father of the Edomites, and Jacob was the father of the Israelites. So we see that the nation of Israel was made superior over the nation of Edomites, though Christ died for the Edomites the same as he died for the Israelites, and all other people.

Salvation is for all people and the gospel is to be preached to all people. But that hasn't anything to do with teaching that all people are equal in society.

That which is said in Acts 11:14 to 18 is concerning preaching the gospel to other nations or races, and hasn't any connection with social equality.

We all know that under certain circumstances we cannot avoid, we might find ourselves in the company of other races, but not in a social way. These things are to be considered only by other races, and not desire to mix racially.

These 30 ministers of Rock Hill surely have heard, and know, that the Negro's purpose is to intermarry with the white people if they are permitted to do so, for this, they have said, is their goal.

I Thess. 5:22 says: "Abstain from the appearance of evil." All people know that mixing in schools and otherwise has "the appearance of evil" and will bring about even more and more.



own policy against concentrating news outlets into too few hands.

The last minute before formal action, however, the rival Boston Globe made a vigorous protest. In a series of startling affidavits the Globe charged that Herald-Traveler publisher Robert Choate had threatened to use the new TV station to drive the Globe out of business.

Merger Sought

The affidavits claimed that Choate had tried for two years to force a merger between the Globe and Herald-Traveler. On legal advice that such a merger would violate the antitrust laws, the Globe refused to negotiate.

Choate then angrily threatened "to do his best to put the Globe out of business" and, if he won his TV license, "to use his newspaper, radio station, and television station to injure the Globe if he could," charged Globe president Davis Taylor in a sworn affidavit.

In another affidavit, Globe treasurer

It seems to me that this administration has taken over the methods of appeasement so well practiced by the British for many years. Do we want to reap the same results in standing as a world power as England is now?

If we continue our actions of appeasement to small or large countries as this administration has been practicing for the last four years, it will not take us as long as it took England to be in her shoes.

England has always been selfish or egotistic when it was a question between principle and monetary considerations. Although we were her most dependable ally, she never cooperated with us when it was a question of not doing business with countries that we were engaged in wars with, or refused to recognize those countries that either indirectly helped our enemies or were in sympathy with them.

U.S. Mimics British Appeasement Policy

Editors, The News: THE conferences held between King Saud of Saudi Arabia and the President and the secretary of state have ended temporarily, but is expected to continue within a week.

She is now reaping the results of her past egotism and selfishness. The sad part of it is that we have taken over the methods and practices, exactly the same way as the English did, and if we don't change our policies quickly enough, we will wind up in the same position England did and

Oil cannot be substituted for principle and we better recognize it soon enough, before it becomes too late. We pretend that we are concerned about high ideals and principles, whereas actually we aren't that way at all. It is oil and other monetary considerations that we are truly concerned about.

Our dealings in the Middle East have proved this without the slightest doubt, but it wouldn't be at all to our benefit, nor will it benefit humanity, and we better make up our mind: before it is too late.

The only thing I can see the Eisenhower Doctrine amounting to is appeasement and more appeasement, but nothing constructive.

We are copying exactly the way Chamberlain used to do.

The only way we may expect good results that will actually benefit peace is to take a firm but at the same time a just stand and to be concerned with no consideration of appeasing anybody and also take into account that permanent peace depends on a definite plan to bring about a satisfactory settlement between the Arabs and Israel, before it is too late.

Rock Hill's Christian Ministers Answered

Editors, The News: I WOULD like to give my opinion or answer to the question of race supremacy.

In the first place, God made all things good in the beginning; the clean and the unclean of beasts and fowl and all creeping things. The Bible says: "That all that God made was good," though God made a difference between clean and unclean, nevertheless all was good for God's purpose.

We ask why did God do this? He gave no account of any of his matters. As for one nation of people being superior to another, that is answered in the story of Pentecost and had the gospel preached to them by Peter, Acts 2:8.

Let us look at Gen. 25:23: "And the Lord said unto her, 'Two nations are in thy womb and two manner of people shall be separated from thy bowels, and the one people shall be stronger than the other people; and the elder shall serve the younger.'" (These were Jacob and Esau.)

Esau was the father of the Edomites, and Jacob was the father of the Israelites. So we see that the nation of Israel was made superior over the nation of Edomites, though Christ died for the Edomites the same as he died for the Israelites, and all other people.

Salvation is for all people and the gospel is to be preached to all people. But that hasn't anything to do with teaching that all people are equal in society.

That which is said in Acts 11:14 to 18 is concerning preaching the gospel to other nations or races, and hasn't any connection with social equality.

We all know that under certain circumstances we cannot avoid, we might find ourselves in the company of other races, but not in a social way. These things are to be considered only by other races, and not desire to mix racially.

These 30 ministers of Rock Hill surely have heard, and know, that the Negro's purpose is to intermarry with the white people if they are permitted to do so, for this, they have said, is their goal.

I Thess. 5:22 says: "Abstain from the appearance of evil." All people know that mixing in schools and otherwise has "the appearance of evil" and will bring about even more and more.