

J. THOMAS L. ROBINSON Publisher
H. E. DOWD General Manager
B. S. GRIFFITH Executive Editor
C. A. McKNIGHT Editor

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 1953

HOW THE PRESS HANDLED THE KINSEY REPORT

WHATEVER ELSE may be true about the American press, there was certainly no "one-party press" where the Kinsey report was concerned.
This week's Associated Press Log, an analysis of the week's news and how it was played, says that press reaction to Dr. Kinsey's survey of female behavior ranged from the Birmingham News' "a highly important science story about vital human relationships" to the Jersey City Journal's "arrogant bunk."

readers informed." The Canton Repository said newspapers "have a responsibility to present Dr. Kinsey's findings in a straightforward, factual and understandable way so that their impact on human relations will not be misdirected." The Los Angeles Herald-Express noted that "on every side will be heard discussions. Therefore, it is news, and the Birmingham News' "a highly important science story about vital human relationships" to the Jersey City Journal's "arrogant bunk."

As did most newspapers that printed the story, The News gave the matter long and mature thought. We concluded that despite some glaring inadequacies in the Kinsey survey, it nonetheless was a most significant contribution in its field, and that readers of this newspaper deserved to have Alton Haskins' temperate, intelligent and objective summary made available to them.

JUSTICE

JUDGE IRBY GILL of Zebulon, who declared a Raleigh doctor not guilty of depicting without even hearing the evidence, ought to get acquainted with Robeson County veteran solicitor, John W. Campbell.

Solicitor Campbell could teach Judge Gill a few things about fair, impartial justice.

SPIES, GOVERNMENT AND HEADLINES

THE SENATE Internal Security subcommittee has gathered testimony and case histories of Communists in the United States. It points up how, during the '30's and '40's, subversive elements infiltrated many branches of the Federal Government.

The subcommittee does conclude that Soviet "penetration has not been fully exposed." However, the testimony regarding undisclosed spy rings still inside the government is a source of irritation, why not blame it on a Yankee? After all, we in the South have made a pretty good thing of blaming Yankees for our troubles for a long time, and there's no reason to quit now.

A REBEL EDITOR AIMS AT A YANKEE

THIS BEING the dull season, editorial writers all over the South have been doing their best to make the most of trivia—what state cures the juiciest hams, where the mint julep was born, which is tastier, the blackberry or the blueberry, how to squeeze ice cubes into a vacuum bottle, etcetera.

Well, we beg to disagree. Mr. Duke was a fine figure of a man, and we don't care to fill the world with the lonely, unfortunate New Swink. But I resent from day to day the reporting. All along I felt the wrong would be clearly vindicated. When your writers were doing a vulgar, Pegeerish sort of job over the grave of a woman that couldn't be buried because of the immunities and police teachers to lack something on the victim.

ZOUNDS!

SOME of our editorial brethren are eating crow these days. It seems that they received a letter from a man in New York City who writes editorially. Next day we extended our story to Dicky, a little boy who carries the paper and consists mainly of an arrangement of blue jeans, dirt and chigger bites. How did he feel about the approach of the happy season of North Carolina and Virginia?

We're disappointed with our colleagues. The profession is really going to the dogs when North Carolina editors can't spot a whiff of burbon even when it's disguised as a publicity stunt.

FROM THE SANFORD HERALD HAS ALL PARENTHOOD FAILED?

GETTING a child ready to start school, involves much more than buying clothes and laying aside money for book rent, activity fees and lunches. With that, it recommends to parents the pamphlet Happy Journey: Preparing Your Child for School, which has the blessing also of the National Education Association and the National Foundation of Parents and Teachers, and continues:
"In all the discussion about who pupils drop out of school, practically nothing has been said about the influence of inadequate or improper preparation of the child for school. So far as this newspaper knows, no stress has been made on the influence of inadequate or improper preparation for school or back into it has a lasting influence upon the child's attitude to school."

"School," declared our daughter, "is good."
But what, we consoled ourselves, was the standard? How did we stack up on a basis of "relativity"? Next day we extended our story to Dicky, a little boy who carries the paper and consists mainly of an arrangement of blue jeans, dirt and chigger bites. How did he feel about the approach of the happy season of North Carolina and Virginia?
"Listen," said the lad, running a comb through his hair where it dips into his collar, "with Godfrey and Love gone and Rockingham stronger than ever, a school ain't going to be worth the effort."

Awful Lot Of Nationalism Abroad



Letters should be brief. The writer's name and address must be given, but may be withheld from publication in the discretion of the Editors. The News reserves the right to censor.

Objects To Kinsey Report

I WAS glad to read in the People's Platform that someone else had written about your disappointment in the space you had given your paper about the Kinsey Report.
The evening I got home, and glanced at my paper and saw the headlines and the space on the front of the paper, plus the extra space on the inside of the paper, that you were using, I said to myself, "Isn't that awful!"
People are inherently evil from the days of Adam, and we know enough about the evil side of life without having it put before us in our newspapers. From what I can understand, the newspapers are full of such filth.
Why can't you keep your paper cleaner? There are so many more worthwhile and wonderful things to write about.
The Charlotte News has been coming to my home, and the home in which I was reared, all these years, but as of this week I do not wish to take it any longer and shall so tell my carrier.
I shall miss Mrs. Herbert Spang's column and Mr. Dulles gave the best reason yet for the Bricker Amendment. And it would be the sensible thing, if all good Americans to come to the aid of Senator Bricker and the Bricker Amendment. The amendment be put into the Constitution as soon as possible.

People's Platform

Amendment is because he is one of that old line of internationalists that wants to give everything to these foreigners. And they have been given too much, as it is, and much of this give away is through secret executive agreements over which the Senate and the American people have no choice and no say.
It might be true that not a single thing has come to pass that the Bricker Amendment seeks to prevent, but in the last 20 years has come dangerously close to those things. We must always be on guard against anything that will weaken our constitutional system, and if things go as now and in the past 20 years we will need the Bricker Amendment, and more too, to keep us from being gobbled up by executive treaties that have no regard for the rights for the American people.
A few more executive agreements as some in the past and we will not have a constitutional government at all but a dictatorship by executive fiat. And this dictatorship is just what the Bricker Amendment seeks to prevent.

Reporting Called 'Pegeerish'

NOT one thing in the world did I know about the lonely, unfortunate New Swink. But I resent from day to day the reporting. All along I felt the wrong would be clearly vindicated. When your writers were doing a vulgar, Pegeerish sort of job over the grave of a woman that couldn't be buried because of the immunities and police teachers to lack something on the victim.

He Dislikes Lawyers

YOUR recent editorial expressing sympathy toward lawyers under criticism for defending subversives was very interesting at the time, especially when:
1—A judge criticized a witness for failing to identify her assailant on the witness stand.
2—A former president of the Bar Association publicly criticized the lawyers for lack of appreciation of their duty as public servants.
3—Speaking as a citizen, I think it would have been more appropriate if Judge Sotterby had criticized the lawyers in general, instead of an isolated case of an intimidated witness. Also, I have been waiting to see evidence of improvement in the ethics of the legal profession as a result of J. Edgar Hoover's more and more to remind me of a story Bob Burns told. A young reporter cub was chasing Grandpa Sazzy around in mind that he would give credit and a newspaper column to tell her younger: "Junior, quit playing with your food." (Bob didn't tell me whether or not Grandpa was a lawyer.)
After this "blast" at the lawyers for unnecessary roughness toward their clients and other lawyers clients, and announcement of new rules which would give credits and victims more of sporting chance, the whole thing was forgotten, and lawyers are still at the old game of anything is ethical, win it.

Drew Pearson's Merry-Go-Round

NO public announcement has been made in the Army is taking a critical view of sagging. Wasn't that? The problem is so pronounced that the Army has ordered a special program of "formal, physical, exercise periods" and "instruction in wholesome dietary habits for healthy soldiers who bulge in the wrong places."
Under the Army's new glamor standards, a man must keep his weight "well distributed" and "within the limits established by AR 40-100 as acceptable for height and age." She must also be "free from obvious defects of appearance, recommended by physical exercise and good health practices." Of course, she is also expected to keep a watch on her "posture and physical bearing."
Those who do not measure up will be given a chance to wear off surplus pounds by "participation in individual or team sports or physical activities." If this fails, they will be referred to a dietitian. However, they will be obliged to take part in the program, and they will be expected to meet the differing needs of the women participating; this is especially de-

Army 'ants Wacs' Waists Slenдерized

Not Good For GM
THE car that destroyed the giant General Motors plant at Livonia, Mich., certainly was not General Motors, but it may have been good as a warning to the U. S. In fact, it may have been a detour from God.
Burned in that multimillion-dollar fire was not only the machinery for making the Cadillac hydro-matic, but also hydro-matic for Army tanks. This, of course, was a loss. But the destruction emphasized the danger of the Army's "single source of supply"—the strategy of concentrating production in one or two big plants instead of spreading the orders out among several smaller plants.
Fortunately for the Army, it is making hydro-matic for the Army tank production. But if the Wilson policy had already been put into effect, the Army tank program would have been out of luck.
Thus the Livonia fire has caused defense production planners to think twice as to what would happen in case of a bomb raid; and the single source of supply idea propounded by Charley Wilson may be scrapped.

European Defense inadequate In Time Of Greatest Danger

WASHINGTON
ALSO IS upon us. American military power has certainly been increased since the days of Louis A. Johnson. No one claims that solid defense of Western Europe has been created, but at least there exists a fairly powerful deterrent. The military planners believe that the tactical air command plus the resistance at least partly close the gap between what we have and what we hoped for. It is not only true that the Red army cannot now take Europe without a sustained mobilization and heavy sacrifice.
Yet there is no use blinking the fact that there is a vast gap and what we have done and what we hoped to do, and believe it essential to do, three years ago. These questions cannot be postponed for the American policy-makers to have a new look at the situation in the time of greatest danger, and at least to ask themselves some questions.
Whatever the outcome of the German-Germany, as long as Germany is split in two the overriding interest of all Germans will be a unified Germany. Germany will be clear for three years now, the French see their overriding interest, not in terms of the Franco-French alliance, but in terms of preventing the effective rearmament of Germany.

What will PLAN WORK?
In these circumstances, is Franco-German military and political integration really a practical proposition? Will it work, even supposing that the French Parliament is prodded into rallying the European army project? Is there any possible view, and suppose that a functioning European army is created, will it really be able to defend Western Europe, while the Red army is on the Elbe?
As long as the Red army controls half of Europe, indeed, is any kind of solid defense of the Continent really possible? If the answer to this question is "no," what kind of risks are we prepared to take, and what kind of sacrifices are we prepared to offer, in order to get the Red army out of the heart of Europe? Finally, if the Soviet Union withdraws the Red army to the Soviet borders—which is entirely probable—is it not time to make a great effort to show the world that this is so?
These questions cannot be lightly answered. But they are at least questions which now ought to be asked, and the answers to them committed to policies which were originated by very different circumstances than those that now exist.

Dulles Tosses On U. N. Changes May Have Been Trial Balloon

By THOMAS M. BROWN
By throwing out a trial balloon, Dulles could get some discussion going on possible changes in the constitution of the United Nations. It is not clear whether the State Department would have any knowledge of how the country felt about it.
But because Dulles was so indefinite in his speech to the lawyers, he will probably have to repeat his remarks in some form, or at least, wants discussion to continue—without suggestions more exact than he has given.
One of the sorest spots in the history of the U. N.—from the American viewpoint—is the way the veto was set up. Instead of power in the Security Council to block United Nations action, the veto was given to the five big powers. It was critical of this overall the veto. But he can hardly have meant this country would consider the veto as it since the veto would mean this country to join the U. N., to block it. It is not clear whether Russia any time it thought necessary. In fact, without the veto as protection, it is pretty certain the Soviet would never have permitted this country to join the U. N.,

Wilson Vs. Small Business

WILSON'S production thinking was not so much motivated by any idea of increasing General Motors' output as it was by the fact that the Livonia fire has caused defense production planners to think twice as to what would happen in case of a bomb raid; and the single source of supply idea propounded by Charley Wilson may be scrapped.