



# THE CHARLOTTE NEWS

1888-Sixty-Fifth Anniversary Year—1953

THOMAS L. ROBINSON — Publisher  
J. E. DOWD — General Manager  
B. S. GRIFFITH — Executive Editor  
C. A. MCKNIGHT — Editor

MONDAY, AUGUST 10, 1953

## THE NATURE OF THE ENEMY

**T**HAT was that delightful story of the G. I. prisoner, bored with Red allegations about U. S. germ warfare, who confounded his captors by nonchalantly swallowing one of the supposedly deadly bugs. And there was the heart-breaking story of the young American who said the first thing he wants to do when he gets back home is to take his mom to Florida. He didn't know then that both his parents died during his captivity.

Some of the returns are wildly joyful. Others are grim, and many don't have strength enough to show any emotion. If they'd been returned when sick and wounded prisoners were to have been exchanged, during Operation Little Switch, they might be well today. But now the doctors think the infections have gone so far, and some may never make it home alive.

There are more details now, from the lips of men who survived it, of the long death march three weeks ago, when thousands died in their "tents" or were pushed over cliffs and Red officers grinded from their helmets. Some of the men were tortured by experts seeking military information. Others were on burial details day after day, scratching the frozen Korean earth and covering their fallen comrades with dirt and stones.

For every American who is returning, three remain among the missing. The missing may be long dead. Or some of them may as General Clark has suggested, be alive and somewhere in Asia. They may be on a work detail, or in a prison compound.

Not all of the known prisoners are returning. A few have succumbed to "brainwashing." They want to stay with the Communists, or they may be afraid to return with their fellow prisoners who despise and would kill them.

Other prisoners have been sentenced by Red authorities for "investigating against peace" and on similar charges.

The story of the prisoners continues but begins to fade. In days as more prisoners are released and processed and begin to feel like talking, the total picture is emerging. It is a picture that can never be impressed upon non-participants in this war as it was on the men who fought it. Sufficient is the image, though to those who read and listen to the stories, to create a new understanding of the enemy.

Life has always been cheap in the Orient. Communism reduces further the value of the individual. War, wherever fought, debases man.

Reduced to elemental values the prisoner story now being told portrays, in perhaps the starker terms this generation has witnessed, the fundamental difference between the two systems that clashed on the Korean peninsula. Life is cheap among totalitarians. It is precious among free men. But it is never so precious that it cannot be endangered and lost in the eternal battle to preserve against tyranny the great value of life, which is freedom.

Life has always been cheap in the Orient. Communism reduces further the value of the individual. War, wherever fought, debases man.

Democrats Gave Ike Big Boost

## MECKLENBURG'S VOICE WILL BE UNIMPAIRED

**T**HAT Mecklenburg County Board of Elections showed good judgment when it decided to wait until after the October state bond election to discard the old registration lists.

The board had first said that the new registration period beginning August 3 would determine eligibility for voting in the Oct. 3 bond election. In other words, any previously registered voters who failed to register would lose their franchises.

It was brought to the attention of the board that Mecklenburg County is the most populous and wealthiest of the N. C. counties and that it thus has the biggest interest in the proposal to borrow \$1 million for schools and mental institutions. It was also pointed out that just a fraction of the 69,000 voters currently registered would sign up again in the next four weeks,

## INSURING TAR HEELS' RIGHT TO VOTE

**I**F YOU ASPIRE to become a member of the Mecklenburg delegation to the next General Assembly (and we hope a dozen good men and at least a couple of women are already thinking about it) here's an issue whose endorsement will bring applause, accolades and nods of approval from many voters.

Under North Carolina law, if you leave the state permanently shortly before election and haven't had time to establish residence in your new home state, you can't vote. Not even for President and Vice-President.

And if you move from one county to another in the state and haven't lived in your new home four months when election time rolls around, you're out of luck. You can't even vote for state officials until you've established residence in the new precinct.

The last General Assembly endorsed a proposed amendment to the state constitution which would reduce from four months to 30 the time a person must live in a precinct to be eligible to vote. This proposition, which will be submitted to voters, is an improvement over the present requirement, but it doesn't go far enough. Tar Heel voters will be deprived of their right to vote.

The Connecticut plan for absentee voting in Presidential elections would be admirably adaptable to North Carolina if one minor change were made—if the period of time during which an absentee ballot could be cast were extended from 15 months to two years. Five states, including four in the South, require two years of residency to establish voting rights, thus this change would mean that no drawlable Tar Heel would be deprived of his right to vote.

And if the Connecticut plan were modified to North Carolina if one minor change were made—if the period of time during which an absentee ballot could be cast were extended from 15 months to two years. Five states, including four in the South, require two years of residency to establish voting rights, thus this change would mean that no drawlable Tar Heel would be deprived of his right to vote.

**JIMMY OUGHT TO LEAD THE WAY**

**G**OV. JIMMY BYRNES says more and more Southerners are prepared "to discard the Democratic label of their grandfathers."

This is probably true, yet despite the preparations they haven't yet had themselves of Grandpa's label. A vote for the Republican Presidential candidate every four years and support of Democrats the rest of the time doesn't make a man a Republican. What are the dissident Southerners waiting for?

For one thing, they're waiting for men like Jimmy Byrnes.

If old Jimmy would make the break, the

From the Kansas City Star

## LOOKING AT FOREIGNERS

**A**LTHOUGH it certainly is time worn, the old cliché that travel broadens the mind still occasionally rings true. The latest case in point is that of the six young contestants visiting the United States for the Miss Universe competition.

These young women express surprise that all American girls do not resemble either Queen Elizabeth or Montgomery Clift. do not drive flashy cars and thrill at the chance to help out with the supper dishes. This seems ridiculous to most of us, American movies notwithstanding.

But what about the average American's

sight of the world? How many of us think of the Russians as black bearded fellows, a sport a sinister leviathan, of Italians who eat nothing but garlic and hot sauce, of Spanish people who spend most of their days either sleeping or riding a donkey?

They, undoubtedly, are depressed by him.

And goodness knows, if any Republican organization needs some respectable and competent leadership, it's South Carolina's.

Lead the way, Jimmy — to heck with Grandpa.

view of the world? How many of us think of the Russians as black bearded fellows, a sport a sinister leviathan, of Italians who eat nothing but garlic and hot sauce, of Spanish people who spend most of their days either sleeping or riding a donkey?

We get too upset about what foreigners think of us, we might review our impressions of them. In that way we might come out with something to the good.

A backward area is one where teen-age drinking is not a problem—Greensboro (Ga.) Herald-Journal.

## 'Talk About 3-D! I Could Almost Feel It'



## H-Bomb Announcement Boosts Premier Malenkov's Prestige

By JAMES MARLOW

**WASHINGTON** JUST suggesting Russia to the hydrogen bomb, not—gave Malenkov a chance to try to fry a few eggs for himself:

Maybe smoke out a little information about this country's H-bomb, not—gave Malenkov a chance to try to fry a few eggs for himself:

The United States government has never said it had made an H-bomb or mastered production of it. The only information it has spoken only of tests "contributing" to H-bomb research.

But yesterday two members of the Joint Congressional Atomic Energy Committee got more information in this field than any other committee in Congress, flatly saying this country had the H-bomb.

Rep. Hinshaw, a California Democrat, said: "We mastered production of the hydrogen bomb and all other aspects of it a year ago so it is now up to us to decide that the Russians claim to have developed it now."

And Rep. Van Zandt, Pennsylvania Republican, said: "Why, we didn't know we had one (as H-bomb) ourselves until last October when we exploded a hydrogen bomb."

A boost in his own prestige was the least Malenkov could gain by claiming that Russia under his leadership had learned how to make an H-bomb. But it was a boost he may have needed.

Internal difficulties have piled up on him in the few months since he became premier. At times he has looked like a leader with an uncertain future:

Uprisings among the captive people in East Germany and Czechoslovakia; purges in the

Communist party; and Malenkov's startling impeachment of his co-partner and secret police chief, Lavrenty Beria.

But Malenkov's H-bomb announcement, he said, is helping him personally, fitted in handily with the carrot and stick technique of trying to keep up with the United States in science and its West European friends.

This country's stockpile of A-bombs may now be smaller than ours, but the explosion four years ago, may have A-bombs in the bins.

This would be a disadvantage to the Communists in a war where this country and Russia simply dropped A-bombs on each other.

The Russians could not let it be known that a sudden nuclear attack, and this country's West European allies, being closer to Russia, could indicate the first taste of Russian power.

This was a prospect bound to make U. S. allies in Western Europe anxious for peace, if they could get it, even perhaps to the point of appealing Russia in a crisis.

That was all it good for Russia, while the United States could not afford to be beaten. But Russia's ability to frighten Western Europe with the threat of A-bombs would diminish if this country had the H-bomb.

So Malenkov couldn't lose by claiming that Russia had the H-bomb, even if it didn't. While the H-bomb remains the stick end of the stick, Malenkov's claim to the Supreme Soviet pushed the carrot under West Europe's nose.

He did it by talking peace again, and by making it clear that without it the Atlantic Pact would collapse.

Uprisings among the captive people in East Germany and Czechoslovakia; purges in the

## Dulles Is Banking On Allies'

## 'Unprovoked Aggression' Pact

By MARQUIS CHILDS

**WASHINGTON** IN TRYING to find a peaceful way out of the Korean tangle, Secretary of State John Foster Dulles is like a man walking on tiptoe. He has one foot on the ground, the other suspended in mid-air. At times he looks like a leader with an uncertain future:

Democrats Gave Ike Big Boost

## A Coalition Of Moderate Men

By JOSEPH ALSOP

WASHINGTON

**A** CONGRESS heads off home and everyone is talking about the meaning of the past session—the things done and undone, the trends that developed, the signs that the President will have a staggering job on his hands when Congress meets again. All in all, it was a bad session for the Senate, but a good one for the House. The Senate was the group of the session that was the growth of a novel and useful relationship between the White House and the Democratic majority.

Sen. George Humphrey, quietly

Democratic support.

This phase passed, however, as the President learned his lesson of the job, he began to demand of himself to consult Johnson and Rayburn and particularly Rayburn, whom he knows and likes of old. Rayburn, quiet and unassuming, was the key to secure Democratic help without riling Democratic tempers. By stages, the collaboration between the White House and the Senate majority was regularized with the more astute members of the Cabinet, like Secretary of the Treasury George Humphrey, quietly

A REPUBLICAN FOR IKE?

It is hard to know whether the results will be more remarkable in the House or in the Senate. The Senate, it is agreed, was the middle of the reciprocal race fight, to insure scathing, to inquire scathingly, to expose scathingly. Yet on the whole the new development has been more important in the Senate, where divisions are deeper, and the task of getting a bill through is harder for the Administration.

Senate Minority Leader Lyndon Johnson has made his best mark of any Senator of his age in a good many years, partly because he has been a good spokesman for the administration.

Senate Majority Leader William Knowland of California, jointly invited McCarran to a chat in Johnson's office. There, they found they would fight him until hell froze over unless he agreed to a bill admitting at least 200,000 refugees from Korea. Both leaders were too much for him. The angry old man backed down, and the President was jubilant.

"We've fought Eisenhower when we thought we ought to," is Johnson's way of summing up. "But we haven't been very popular, either. We've been overruled, and we've tried to show what we think an opposition party ought to be."

This does not mean that the Democrats are not going to be tough on the Korean issue. They will take their stand on the matter, and they will do so much of it as possible.

McCarran, too, has been fighting on the support of a coalition of moderate men. The coalition excludes the extremists of both parties, mainly upholders of the middle-of-the-road theory.

State Walter Robertson put considerable stress on the falling away of the will to meet, and he has been a good leader in his state, both for the game in Korea, and the larger contest in the U. N. General Assembly. Later this month, McCarran, too, will be in New York, and he has great confidence. It is one of his important talking points in bringing President Syngman Rhee and the more resentful Korean leaders close to U.S. acceptance to a realistic acceptance of the truce.

This does not mean that the Republicans are not going to be tough on the Korean issue. They will take their stand on the matter, and they will do so much of it as possible.

They will take their stand on the support of a coalition of moderate men. The coalition excludes the extremists of both parties, mainly upholders of the middle-of-the-road theory.

State Walter Robertson put considerable stress on the falling away of the will to meet, and he has been a good leader in his state, both for the game in Korea, and the larger contest in the U. N. General Assembly. Later this month, McCarran, too, will be in New York, and he has great confidence. It is one of his important talking points in bringing President Syngman Rhee and the more resentful Korean leaders close to U.S. acceptance to a realistic acceptance of the truce.

This is the agreement signed by the United States and the other members of the Korean coalition for Korea asserting that in the event of a repetition of unprovoked aggression against the South Korean Republic the world would again be at war. This agreement has an interesting history.

A SKED whether he had ever tried any cases for the Communists while a member of the firm, he said that he had handled one major case.

Condon said he had represented the alleged spy from a 1948 speech about the "mighty Red Army being the bulwark of the colored races." He said he might have said something to him, though not so rabid, during the week he had handled orientation in 1943 at his Charlotte, S. C., Army post. He pointed out that many people were making progress in that regard.

Questioned about the charge that he had identified various Red fronts, Condon said he had contributed to the defense of the United States in 1948. He said he had got into a scrap with a Communist party member in late 1948 at a meeting of the Charlotte Labor Review.

Told that he had been seen at a meeting at Marquette University in late 1948 at Marquette, Calif., Condon said he had attended frequent meetings at the university, and he was at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

He was asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

The Congressmen also asked him if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.

Asked if he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948. He said he had been at a meeting of the Communist party in San Francisco in 1948.