

By ROBERT C. RUARK

THOMAS L. ROBINSON

J. E. DOWD

R. D. GRIFFITH

C. A. MCKINIGHT

THURSDAY, APRIL 10, 1952

POINT FIVE

WILLIAM DOUGLAS, associate justice of the Supreme Court, this week put his finger on one of the weakest spots in our country's global thinking.

He suggested that we promote revolutions—peasant revolutions—in countries now receiving economic aid under Point Four technical assistance programs.

Without some means of establishing democracy in these areas, he said, we are "only underwriting the status quo" and perpetuating the conditions on which communism grew.

But would that would otherwise fall. But we don't always stop to the right people. Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

Land reform—land—a modest plot owned by the farmer, not rented at outrageous prices from an absentee landlord in Miami or on the Riviera—there's the key to this big problem of winning markets, influencing cities and stopping communism in these countries that never had a Homestead Act, nation-wide possible defects can be righted.

forms elsewhere—no, that seems to be promoting socialism, and therefore bad, to many Congressmen, despite the wondrous effects of the program in Japan.

It would certainly seem more economical to push a co-ordinated reform program more than to spend vastly more in the same area, for military purposes, later. The times require revolutions—men and women from foreign countries trained here in the principles of the greatest revolution of all, the revolution of freedom—who will apply abroad these principles, except in the manner that would revolutionize this country. The times require American revolutionaries who will preach and write and talk this philosophy until it takes hold.

The Soviets would fear such a program more than they fear our bombs, for it would cut the heart out of the Reds' appeal. There is your long-range program for economy. There lies the road to peace.

WE'RE SICK TOO

ONE WOULD THINK some of the tax specialists who witness Congressmen, the way these "dick" sliders howl at the King subcommittee.

"The Dutchman" Grunwald has refused to return to the subcommittee on the basis of a medical report that a further appearance would be "unwise."

Carroll E. Mealey, a former Deputy Commissioner of Internal Revenue, who resigned because of "ill health," still hasn't been called before the subcommittee. His doctors say he is unable to testify.

Daniel A. Bolch, former Assistant Commissioner of Internal Revenue, says his doctors advise that he could safely submit only to "limited questioning."

And Monday the subcommittee put off for two weeks public interrogation of Joseph D. Numan Jr., former Commissioner of Internal Revenue, after being advised that Mr. Numan had entered a hospital.

We're sick, too. We're sick and tired of a subcommittee that lets itself be bamboozled.

Mr. M. B. Knoblock, Local 1700, United Steelworkers Union, says "Truman is the greatest President this side of Franklin Roosevelt." That's the way, Mr. Knoblock—no use to get out on a limb.

A VICTORY FOR TAFT

SENATOR TAFT won a substantial victory in the Illinois primary Tuesday. He won apparent control over 46 of the 50 GOP delegates, although primary results are not binding upon them. The fact that eleven-fourths of the total Republican vote went to him, although Stassen, if he can still be so-called, was the only prominent Republican sharing the ballot with him.

Indicates considerably more sentiment for "Taft in Illinois than in Minnesota and Nebraska. In those likewise conservative Midwestern states the "internationalist" candidates won substantially more votes than Taft and like-minded candidates.

However, the fact that General Eisenhower received about 35,000 votes as a written candidate, with no organized write-in campaign backing his candidacy, indicates that the General has considerable support, even under handicaps, in the heart of the territory. It is difficult to visualize Senator Taft receiving any appreciable number of votes if the tables were turned—if the General was on the ballot and campaigning, with Taft not on the ballot, not in the country, without an organization actively encouraging his candidacy.

Reflection on this comparison points up the tremendous voting appeal of General Eisenhower.

MORE POWER TO 'EM

THERE'S nothing we like better than to sit at the ringside and watch two others square off.

For some months now, the long-standing feud between Dave Clark on the right and Jonathan Daniels on the left has been smoldering. No bursts of fire. Just smoldering embers.

What stirred it up again, we dunno. Perhaps Cousin Dave, who doesn't like the Democrats, latched onto an advance copy of Alexander's new book (A Two-Fourty-Six, out April 5) and noted the footnote on Page 269 which notes one of Jonathan's descriptions of Dave:

"... the reactionary editor of a little textile journal who is not only opposed to civil rights but who was also quite violently opposed to the abolition of child labor."

At any rate, Cousin Dave lets go in the From The Raleigh News & Observer

SENATOR CLYDE R. HOYE, presiding at a Washington committee hearing, was told down the hall that a copy of a shipping operator, Mrs. Olga Kohn, who he mentioned to Senator Joe McCarthy, a bachelor, and said, "Some members of the committee with you'd also include the telephone numbers."

Senator Hoyt, of course, was merely being gallant. For it is obvious that a fellow like Senator McCarthy, who can pull numbers

PEOPLE'S PLATFORM

Letters should be brief. The writers name and address must be given, but may be withheld from publication in the discretion of the Editor. The News reserves the right to condense.

Let's Abolish Segregation

THE present United States Supreme Court has an appreciation of ethical values in judicial decisions. The Court has the historic prerogative to reverse the decisions of the lower courts. The recent, unfortunate decisions approving segregation in the public schools of an adjoining state.

The Federal Constitution is not a static instrument of enslavement. It is a flexible document to be applied by each generation in a broad, liberal, latitudinarian manner, so as to promote the general welfare of the times.

The Constitution need not be amended before the courts can eliminate racial discrimination. We want judicial opinion in tune with the trend of history untainted by the malice of ancient magnolia blossoms. Segregation in its totality is as gigantic an evil now as human slavery was in 1860.

Although some of us still live in the dead past of prejudice, most people have a reasonably good attitude toward fellow human creatures. Those who would perpetuate a reactionary caste system can either advance with the times or be repudiated. A political party that approves segregation is undemocratic. Any church that tolerates segregation is pagan in relation to the precepts of Jesus who taught that all men are brothers.

The view of Judge Waring is correct. The constitutional question of racial segregation is also a moral issue to be adjusted now with forthright vigor. Nothing but complete democratic equality will satisfy the economic, social, political, religious urgencies of this generation. There should be no segregation in the public schools. Neither should there be discrimination in regard to hotel accommodations, in eating places, on public conveyances nor in any area of human relations.

All laws prohibiting interracial marriage should be abolished. As I say frankly, earnestly, with some freedom of expression I demand for other people, that I hope for amalgamation of the races. If there are those who consider a traitor to my native South, I have only one defense. I am an American.

—REV. ORA WILBERT EADS.

Taft's Talking Record

Editors, The News: PERMIT me to answer your March 31 editorial, "Senator Taft's Voting Record," which you reprinted from The Chapel Hill Weekly.

Taft had sound reasons for his opposition to the various bills. Let me deal with the deal, the seizure of Axis ships when we were not at war, the 1940 Selective Service Act and its extension—no law or order to American and international law or to all established American principles. Undoubtedly he recognized them as subterfuges against

current issues of his Textile Bill:

"There are many so-called Democrats who would not hesitate to sell the South down the river if it would benefit them either politically or financially."

"There are newspapers such as the Raleigh News & Observer who would not hesitate to do the same if it would add to their political prestige."

Then again, maybe Dave hasn't read Hearst's book at all. (Its title, for it's not very kind to the Disraelis.) Maybe he's just sure at the News & Observer for its left-footed stand against putting any States Rights on county election boards.

But whatever the cause, more power to 'em. Pour it on, boys. Nothing like a Dave Clark-Jonathan Daniels scrap to add zest to an election year.

The steelmen feel that Mr. Truman and Mr. Steelman have not lived up to their names.

GALLANTRY

like "207 Communists in the State Department" out of this air at any time, would not be troubled guessing at a simple thing like a telephone number.

Time is inexorable. Maurice Chevalier is quitting show-business at 64 to enter a monastery and Hans Wagner is hanging up his baseball uniform at 78 to enjoy a well-earned pension—Jepin (No) Globe.



People's Platform

Letters should be brief. The writers name and address must be given, but may be withheld from publication in the discretion of the Editor. The News reserves the right to condense.

Let's Abolish Segregation

Editors, The News:

THE present United States Supreme Court has an appreciation of ethical values in judicial decisions. The Court has the historic prerogative to reverse the decisions of the lower courts. The recent, unfortunate decisions approving segregation in the public schools of an adjoining state.

The Federal Constitution is not a static instrument of enslavement. It is a flexible document to be applied by each generation in a broad, liberal, latitudinarian manner, so as to promote the general welfare of the times.

The Constitution need not be amended before the courts can eliminate racial discrimination. We want judicial opinion in tune with the trend of history untainted by the malice of ancient magnolia blossoms. Segregation in its totality is as gigantic an evil now as human slavery was in 1860.

Although some of us still live in the dead past of prejudice, most people have a reasonably good attitude toward fellow human creatures. Those who would perpetuate a reactionary caste system can either advance with the times or be repudiated. A political party that approves segregation is undemocratic. Any church that tolerates segregation is pagan in relation to the precepts of Jesus who taught that all men are brothers.

The view of Judge Waring is correct. The constitutional question of racial segregation is also a moral issue to be adjusted now with forthright vigor. Nothing but complete democratic equality will satisfy the economic, social, political, religious urgencies of this generation. There should be no segregation in the public schools. Neither should there be discrimination in regard to hotel accommodations, in eating places, on public conveyances nor in any area of human relations.

All laws prohibiting interracial marriage should be abolished. As I say frankly, earnestly, with some freedom of expression I demand for other people, that I hope for amalgamation of the races. If there are those who consider a traitor to my native South, I have only one defense. I am an American.

—REV. ORA WILBERT EADS.

Taft's Talking Record

Editors, The News: PERMIT me to answer your March 31 editorial, "Senator Taft's Voting Record," which you reprinted from The Chapel Hill Weekly.

Taft had sound reasons for his opposition to the various bills. Let me deal with the deal, the seizure of Axis ships when we were not at war, the 1940 Selective Service Act and its extension—no law or order to American and international law or to all established American principles. Undoubtedly he recognized them as subterfuges against

current issues of his Textile Bill:

"There are many so-called Democrats who would not hesitate to sell the South down the river if it would benefit them either politically or financially."

"There are newspapers such as the Raleigh News & Observer who would not hesitate to do the same if it would add to their political prestige."

Then again, maybe Dave hasn't read Hearst's book at all. (Its title, for it's not very kind to the Disraelis.) Maybe he's just sure at the News & Observer for its left-footed stand against putting any States Rights on county election boards.

But whatever the cause, more power to 'em. Pour it on, boys. Nothing like a Dave Clark-Jonathan Daniels scrap to add zest to an election year.

The steelmen feel that Mr. Truman and Mr. Steelman have not lived up to their names.

GALLANTRY

like "207 Communists in the State Department" out of this air at any time, would not be troubled guessing at a simple thing like a telephone number.

Time is inexorable. Maurice Chevalier is quitting show-business at 64 to enter a monastery and Hans Wagner is hanging up his baseball uniform at 78 to enjoy a well-earned pension—Jepin (No) Globe.

People's Platform

Letters should be brief. The writers name and address must be given, but may be withheld from publication in the discretion of the Editor. The News reserves the right to condense.

Let's Abolish Segregation

Editors, The News:

THE present United States Supreme Court has an appreciation of ethical values in judicial decisions. The Court has the historic prerogative to reverse the decisions of the lower courts. The recent, unfortunate decisions approving segregation in the public schools of an adjoining state.

The Federal Constitution is not a static instrument of enslavement. It is a flexible document to be applied by each generation in a broad, liberal, latitudinarian manner, so as to promote the general welfare of the times.

The Constitution need not be amended before the courts can eliminate racial discrimination. We want judicial opinion in tune with the trend of history untainted by the malice of ancient magnolia blossoms. Segregation in its totality is as gigantic an evil now as human slavery was in 1860.

Although some of us still live in the dead past of prejudice, most people have a reasonably good attitude toward fellow human creatures. Those who would perpetuate a reactionary caste system can either advance with the times or be repudiated. A political party that approves segregation is undemocratic. Any church that tolerates segregation is pagan in relation to the precepts of Jesus who taught that all men are brothers.

The view of Judge Waring is correct. The constitutional question of racial segregation is also a moral issue to be adjusted now with forthright vigor. Nothing but complete democratic equality will satisfy the economic, social, political, religious urgencies of this generation. There should be no segregation in the public schools. Neither should there be discrimination in regard to hotel accommodations, in eating places, on public conveyances nor in any area of human relations.

All laws prohibiting interracial marriage should be abolished. As I say frankly, earnestly, with some freedom of expression I demand for other people, that I hope for amalgamation of the races. If there are those who consider a traitor to my native South, I have only one defense. I am an American.

—REV. ORA WILBERT EADS.

Taft's Talking Record

Editors, The News: PERMIT me to answer your March 31 editorial, "Senator Taft's Voting Record," which you reprinted from The Chapel Hill Weekly.

Taft had sound reasons for his opposition to the various bills. Let me deal with the deal, the seizure of Axis ships when we were not at war, the 1940 Selective Service Act and its extension—no law or order to American and international law or to all established American principles. Undoubtedly he recognized them as subterfuges against

current issues of his Textile Bill:

"There are many so-called Democrats who would not hesitate to sell the South down the river if it would benefit them either politically or financially."

"There are newspapers such as the Raleigh News & Observer who would not hesitate to do the same if it would add to their political prestige."

Then again, maybe Dave hasn't read Hearst's book at all. (Its title, for it's not very kind to the Disraelis.) Maybe he's just sure at the News & Observer for its left-footed stand against putting any States Rights on county election boards.

But whatever the cause, more power to 'em. Pour it on, boys. Nothing like a Dave Clark-Jonathan Daniels scrap to add zest to an election year.

The steelmen feel that Mr. Truman and Mr. Steelman have not lived up to their names.

GALLANTRY

like "207 Communists in the State Department" out of this air at any time, would not be troubled guessing at a simple thing like a telephone number.

Time is inexorable. Maurice Chevalier is quitting show-business at 64 to enter a monastery and Hans Wagner is hanging up his baseball uniform at 78 to enjoy a well-earned pension—Jepin (No) Globe.

Some Folks Get Killed, But That's The Way Of Progress

By ROBERT C. RUARK

WE HAD another fatal airplane accident in our neighborhood, with some more innocent bystanders killed by a plummeting plane, and the to-be-expected now goes up. This is entirely understandable, because a fellow figure to be safe in his home, of all places, and the airplane has now successfully landed in the bedroom. The way I see it, there is no actual solution to safety for the airplane passenger or the person who lives in the vicinity of an airport. There is actually no solution to safety anywhere except death itself. If you want to be real technical about it.

Death has a weird way of choosing its victims. This is especially true when death is administered by airplane. I mean, somebody got killed on a golf course the other day by an out-of-control service plane, and, of course, it didn't even realize he had struck anybody. Somebody else got killed by a sports plane in a landing on the flat top of a Mexican pyramid.

Before the days of the bicycle and the motorcar, death due to being kicked by a horse was not uncommon. People will never break their necks in bathtubs. I have heard of people being killed by falling out of bed.

Mostly it is the innocent bystander who gets killed. Automobile accidents are the most common cause of death. I am old, too, that the agitation which closed Newark was rather more skillful steering than panic from panic citizens.

It is tragic when a plane gets out of hand and plows into a home, but it is only one of many tragedies a man incurs by living in the modern world. He cannot help from the whims of fate. Even making illegal the use of all airplanes everywhere, and having them from being killed by someone as has happened been shown by the Newark accident, the Newark trip from hysteria, delusion and stupidity, but people are actually killed. And always will.

I believe that in the course of progress certain things must be accepted as inevitable, and one of them is that in an air age some people figure to die. It is a result of association with airplanes. We have accepted the ship and the automobile, and we should accept the airplane. We have accepted the car as a quiet killer as we once did the horse as a constant kicker of people.

Long Island Rail Road a couple of

by an administration which wished to lead step by step into a war while at the same time protesting that our involvement in such a war was unlikely. No doubt the opposition to the Atlantic Pact stems from the same source, namely that it is incompatible with our obligations (under the United Nations Charter) and we should make our minds which pact we intend to honor.

Let us now turn to the positive side. Here is how Taft stood on some mighty important matters.

After being twice rejected for astigmatism in World War I, Taft served with honor in both the European and the Asiatic theaters of war. He was active in the League of Nations. In 1929 he voted for an Air Force of 5,000 planes, few of which were ordered and none delivered when the Germans broke through in France. He voted for the stockpiling bill, which was not passed until the President Roosevelt until it was almost too late. He voted for the bill which increased the size of the Army, Navy and National Guard beyond the figures requested by the President.

Taft said: "I am strongly in favor of extending aid to the nations of Western Europe... but we should adopt a practical and hard-boiled plan... and give away the money of the American taxpayer only for those specific projects of which we can see real value... I only insist that we apply to Asia the same basic policy which we apply to Europe."

Taft agrees that we should station some troops in Europe to show that we are not evading the toughest part of our defense program. But he says, "such a program, however, never ought to be the basis of our strictly American strategy. The initiative should be theirs (the Europeans) and not ours. The defense of Western Europe is beyond our capacity unless the Europeans provide not only the bulk of the troops, but also the bulk of the initiative and interest, and finally take over the responsibility of defense in the event of a crisis."

Also, he says: "I believe that an alliance with Britain and a defense of the British Isles are far more important than an alliance with any continental nation and offer a more certain hope of peace. I would like to see for an extension of the Monroe Doctrine which we would want Russia that if it attacks Western Europe, we will be in the war."

"I believe there can be established an international force backed by public opinion and the armed forces of individual states, which will punish any violations of a rule of law to which they have agreed. It will take time, but it can be done."

I call to see any isolationism in this program. It does place more emphasis on our own national welfare, and that's for me. It does not use the New Deal formula of "Gimme a billion quids" (since raised to 7½ billion) and it does not attempt to build up a crisis in order to better a failing domestic economy. More power to him.

—E. J. PRESSER

Queen Juliana and Charles President Truman

Mrs. Barkley is for it. So is Mrs. Max Tullit, the daughter who formerly served as his official hostess, and whose husband made a small fortune handling legal business for three of the world's top dictators. How much Dutch money she has, we don't know. It is proposed, feels the strain would wear out her 78-year-old father... Mrs. MacArthur is married to a State Dept. official.

ED SULLIVAN COMES FIRST—Marian Anderson, famed Negro soprano, got her biggest triumph when she sang at the Lincoln Memorial on Easter Sunday, 1955. She had been barred from Constitution Hall by the DAR, so Iches sang at the Lincoln Memorial. The Easter Sunday Mass Anderson was asked to sing, before the Lincoln Memorial again—was a memorial service for the late Harold Ickes in honor of her to sing on the steps of the Lincoln Memorial. She declined. A previous commitment to appear on Ed Sullivan's TV show was the excuse. Secretary of the Interior Oscar Reagon begged, calmed, offered to fly her in a special plane from Washington at 3 P. M. to New York in time for the TV show at 8 P. M. She declined.

... Ed Sullivan, it was explained, wouldn't release her... A possible factor could have been \$2,000 either, for the Lincoln Memorial. The Easter Sunday after Easter, and Marian Anderson has now consented to sing in memory of Harold Ickes—one week late.

KEFAUVER'S GALLUP—Truman's strategy for stopping Kefauver is breaking down. The strategy was to put favorite sons in each state primary and their votes to candidates chosen by the President and

the party bosses at the convention. However, they underestimated Kefauver's vigor, sex appeal and general popularity. He has now bagged so many delegates that he will be hard to stop... Biggest point about Kefauver is that he is a genuine clean-cut, honest, and that the people want a genuine cleanup of corruption, and they know Kefauver started pitching two years before anyone else.

... Kefauver's Gallup—Two men who didn't get to be Supreme Court justices were directly and indirectly involved in the McGrath-Newbold Morris controversy. One was Howard McGrath, who was made Attorney General two years ago, confidently expected to get the next Supreme Court vacancy... McGrath is a Republican, so couldn't make the court under Democratic Presidents. McGrath didn't make the court either, for he was a Republican. McGrath did retire for health, recovered, second, because McGrath did so much marking time waiting for the court job that his health broke down. McGrath is in an anti-trust suit with McGrath's career.

... McGrath's career—McGrath is a Republican, so couldn't make the court under Democratic Presidents. McGrath didn't make the court either, for he was a Republican. McGrath did retire for health, recovered, second, because McGrath did so much marking time waiting for the court job that his health broke down. McGrath is in an anti-trust suit with McGrath's career.

... McGrath's career—McGrath is a Republican, so couldn't make the court under Democratic Presidents. McGrath didn't make the court either, for he was a Republican. McGrath did retire for health, recovered, second, because McGrath did so much marking time waiting for the court job that his health broke down. McGrath is in an anti-trust suit with McGrath's career.

... McGrath's career—McGrath is a Republican,