

THE CHARLOTTE NEWS

THOMAS L. ROBINSON... Publisher
J. E. DOWD... General Manager
B. S. GRIFITH... Executive Editor
C. A. MCKENNEY... Editor

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1952

BARKING UP THE WRONG TREE

IN HIS COLUMN on this page today, Robert C. Ruark goes to the heart of the Universal Military Training debate. Maybe UMT isn't good, he says, but it's better than what we now have. Furthermore, the agreement that UMT will make us "militaristic" is silly because we already are militaristic. Many persons bemoan President Truman's "welfare state." We don't buy their reasoning, not when "defense" (a more respectable synonym for "military") expenditures account for well over half the Federal budget, and health, social security and welfare account for three per cent. It's time to face the plain fact that we are now a military state.

The churches, farm and labor groups and plain citizens who are now using all sorts of irrelevant arguments against UMT could usefully sink their fire at this increasing militarism. Present huge military expenditures are justified by our leaders on the grounds that USSR (a few months ago it was USA) will be strong enough to withstand Russia, and can taper off. Such reasoning is naive because Russia will meanwhile increase her military strength. So in a couple years there will be calls for more "defense" expenditures to meet Russia's expanded production and to replace today's guns and planes, which will be obsolete.

Thus we spend ourselves into bankruptcy preparing for war, when we use some of that money at getting at the cause of war, which in most cases is "stomach communism," the unrest of hungry men.

SYMPATHY VS. THE LAW

HAD Judge Sule Star the wisdom and compassion of Solomon, the adoption case before her yesterday would still have been a tough one.

On the one hand was the claim of the foster parents, who had cared for a four-year-old boy for 36 months and who wanted to adopt him for their own child.

On the other hand was the specific authority granted to the Welfare Department by State law to place children for adoption. And in this case, because of factors too involved to discuss here, welfare officers thought young Robert, his older brother and sister, should be united in another family.

We suspect that public sympathy is with Mr. and Mrs. Walter Mullis, who as foster parents had learned to love the youngster.

THERE'S A BETTER ANSWER

Chairman McCarran and other members of the Senate Internal Security subcommittee, including North Carolina's Willis Smith, often state their desire to maintain what Senator Smith has called "a high standard of evidence" in their hearings.

At a banquet Monday night, Carter Vincent's testimony, as reported by Neal Stanford in *The Christian Science Monitor*, makes us wonder about the height of these standards.

"Asked if he had been praised by any Communist official, Mr. Vincent unguardedly replied in the negative. He did not qualify his reply as so many have learned to do in these hearings, with 'so far as I know' or 'to the best of my knowledge' or 'as far as I can remember,' etc. Instead he answered flatly and unequivocally: 'No.' It was immediately obvious that he had slipped into a trap, for the question was repeated in several other ways to make sure the witness did not want to change his story."

"When he stood firm on his original reply, it was then read into the record that at a banquet Mr. Vincent had attended (presumably in Moscow, where he had accompanied Secretary of State James F. Byrnes to a foreign ministers' meeting) a Communist official had praised him as 'one of the best and truest Americans' so far as I can remember," etc. Instead he answered flatly and unequivocally: 'No.' It was immediately obvious that he had slipped into a trap, for the question was repeated in several other ways to make sure the witness did not want to change his story."

"We have the impression, after reading the report, that the subcommittee was encouraging what it might consider perjury. Vincent was naive in not hedging his answer, but his denial can hardly be countered with an account of a commonplace diplomatic dinner toast."

The subcommittee's investigation of Vincent hinges, though, on a charge that may not be pursued. Last August ex-Communist Louis Budenz told the subcommittee that

You fight stomach communism by sending American farmers, businessmen and teachers—the kind who aren't afraid to sleep on the ground, wear dirty clothes and drink good and primitive, common-sense like Indonesia, India, Iran and Italy. They teach people how to help themselves, with some of the revolutionary fervor of the Communists, of Tom Paine and Tom Jefferson, of the pitiful few. For men we now have in such countries. They create markets for American goods, tools and books. They make these foreigners realize, as soldiers and tanks and airplanes alone do not, that they and we are friends.

If we only prepare for war we shall have war. Thus this peace program should be enlarged, at the expense, if necessary, of some of our war expenditures. The burden of war preparation should be spread, wisely and justly, over the manpower of this country. That's what the UMT proposal will do. UMT would train young men for war. By their being trained, likelihood of war would be less. UMT would train the men who make the best soldiers, at a time when we need best effort to leave civilian life. The present system uses many, older, poorer soldiers, who have already fought, and who have to leave established homes and businesses. UMT makes sense in these times, and we hope we can get it passed. If it is passed, opponents will turn their attention to the nonsensical absence of a program of peace. There's a cause which really needs pushing.

SYMPATHY VS. THE LAW

But the N. C. adoption law is not based on sympathy so much as it is on the careful professional case work that seeks, insofar as is humanly possible, to match the adopted child and his new parents in the most harmonious and promising relationship.

That is not to say that welfare officers, here or elsewhere, are infallible in their judgment. Certainly the leaving of the child with the foster parents for so long made the welfare officers' decision a very real one.

But somewhere the law has to draw a line, and place final responsibility. That responsibility has been put on the Welfare Department. Judge Sharp, having once before been overruled by the State Supreme Court on this question, had no choice but to order the child surrendered.

But the N. C. adoption law is not based on sympathy so much as it is on the careful professional case work that seeks, insofar as is humanly possible, to match the adopted child and his new parents in the most harmonious and promising relationship.

VA Bills Progress

From official reports I received" Vincent was a Communist.

But in 1950 Budenz told another subcommittee that he knew of no connection Vincent had with the Communist Party. The conflict between Budenz' statements seems more important than a banquet bouquet.

We do not know whether or not Mr. Vincent is innocent of all the charges which have been hurled at him. But we are assured by the technique used by the McCarran Committee, and the reaction of accused diplomats, Ambassador Jessup, when accused last year, stressed his American First activity, as if to show he was ultra-conservative. Now Mr. Vincent has a similar line testifying that he is a "Jeffersonian Democrat," a Lincoln Republican and an admirer since youth of Woodrow Wilson, and never "joined any political organization, front or otherwise."

We would like to see one of the witnesses told what Pat McCarran in the eye and say something like this:

"Sure, many Communists probably have praised me. Their praise, as you know, does not mean that I am sympathetic with them. I have thought before of some events you are recalling took place. We praised them, and they praised and drank to us. And I have read Marx and Lenin, and heard to Communist, but as I have read Adam Smith, John Keynes and various American writers. I have talked with many persons of diverse ideology as I could. I have an inquiring mind, and I believe all persons, particularly Foreign Service officers, should continue to inquire, debate and evaluate, because we will be better informed and more capable citizens if we keep our eyes, ears and minds open."

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

JUDGE BOBBITT FILLS THE BILL

THIS newspaper welcomes Judge Ethelias H. Bobbitt as candidate for the N. C. Supreme Court.

Although there are already three candidates, the principle is that at least one of whom has outstanding qualifications there is still plenty of room for a man with the legal mind and judicial temperament of Judge Bobbitt.

The Charlotte Judge has not yet announced, but his supporters believe he will become a candidate if other bar associations in the vicinity support him as warmly as his fellow Mecklenburg lawyers did in their called meeting yesterday.

We hope that he does announce. Our enthusiasm for his candidacy is in no way diminished by his residency in this county. Rather

Letters should be brief, written on one side of the paper. The writer's name must be signed, but may be withheld from publication in the discretion of the Editor. The News reserves the right to condense.

Weigh Welfare State

Editor, The News: AN OLD Kinston friend of mine writes me that, while he approves what I say, he is aware of the futility of my saying it, that I can't stop the other bill.

No, I do not subscribe to any such defeatism. However, I fully recognize the force of appearances. But we are favored with the possession of the power to think. What we have experienced for almost the past two decades is the result of some sort of artificial stimulation, pump-priming or war economy, not the result of normal, natural economic forces. This is obvious to anyone who thinks even only casually. He knows too that it can't go on indefinitely.

Politics entirely aside, if I believed in aid, and especially if I relied upon, this modern welfare state, I would most assuredly insist that everything be done that could be done to preserve the soundness of our economy and the solvency of the nation, for the co-existence of the two depends the fulfillment of the Government's commitments to you. Every bit of deficit financing and every foreign loan commitment lessens your security. Governments carry out and default their obligations not alone as a result of private enterprise variety. There is no bloated, waste, extravagance, corruption as well.

Furthermore, I would insist that we stop burning our candle at both ends. We are currently taxing the very heart out of our economy and then running a deficit. We are also projecting the Government into debt on recent manufacturing in favor of hearings before a deficit. We are also projecting the Government into debt on recent manufacturing in favor of hearings before a deficit.

Do not believe in the welfare state; but you who do can kill me. However, you will likewise kill yourself in doing so. I beg of you to weigh well what you are doing, and the time to begin so doing is now. Tomorrow it may be too late.

—JOHN W. HESTER.

VA Bills Progress

WASHINGTON, D. C.

Editor, The News: I AM writing to say that just as I stated in my letter appearing in *The Charlotte News* on Friday, Jan. 25, I have thought before of some events you are recalling took place. We praised them, and they praised and drank to us. And I have read Marx and Lenin, and heard to Communist, but as I have read Adam Smith, John Keynes and various American writers. I have talked with many persons of diverse ideology as I could. I have an inquiring mind, and I believe all persons, particularly Foreign Service officers, should continue to inquire, debate and evaluate, because we will be better informed and more capable citizens if we keep our eyes, ears and minds open."

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

'Lie Down! Roll Over!'

Maybe It Isn't Good, But It Beats What We've Got Now

NEW YORK

I SEE THEY are not a dictator, the same Universal Military Training act, after some several years of rumination, and have now written a military bill for over a decade, and don't figure to lose the look in your time and mine.

It seems to me that only a hypocrite can strongly advocate the "impairment of military" argument against nearly every military measure for the young. There is nothing as eighteen and older, or even older, can learn as handily in a college dormitory—nothing that he probably hasn't picked up already around the local drugstore. And there is an added difference in preparation for military service. Seniors have more time to devote to moral uplift than mothers. Junior and sophomores have more time to devote to investigate the possibilities of booze, babes and bad companions than under Mann's law. Seniors have more time to devote to the young to come by, or so I recall, so matter what the super-vigilance figures be'll need day after tomorrow.

A garrison state is bad, I gather, while preparedness is good when you talk of taxes and guns and ships and A-bombs and the inflation that comes with all the other aspects of preparing for what? Peace? The "arsenal of democracy" only becomes a garrison state when you start putting the arm on Mama's Willie to teach him to be a soldier on the off-chance that you may need him someday.

In the meantime, you draft Willie and his overseas pals in green and gawky, or you reach out and slap the collar on Willie's big brother, who has already been in one war in the last ten years and is getting real sick of providing the manpower for this arsenal of democracy that cannot be a garrison state.

I am told that Universal Military Training will give us a mili-

Service Treated Shabbily By

McCarran's Biased Committee

By JOSEPH & STEWART ALSOP

WASHINGTON

DURING THE LAST week, we have had a taste of the spirit of Congressional justice, as dispensed by partisan judges unconcerned by rules of fair play and other outmoded remnants of democratic procedure.

John Carter Vincent, a high State Department official, has been on trial before the Internal Security Subcommittee of the Senate Judiciary Committee. The committee is a producer of charges of treason by hearsay recollection, the committee is a producer of charges of treason by hearsay recollection, the committee is a producer of charges of treason by hearsay recollection.

Besides adopting this somewhat tangential system of interrogation, the subcommittee displayed an almost incredible ignorance of the broader subject under inquiry—the formulation of American China policy.

Again, there were endless questions about the origin of the directive governing Gen. George C. Marshall's mission to China in 1946. Its true origin, fully revealed in the diaries of James V. Forrestal, was a recommendation of a series of telegrams from General Wedemeyer (which went to the State Department) and the fact that the question was not certainly won. Or they could suppose some Communist policy makers with two hideous alternatives. Either they could leave Chiang Kai-shek to his fate, or they could become involved in "fraternal war" in China and very likely, so General Wedemeyer added, war with the Soviet Union.

The Forrestal diaries show, none other than Secretary of State James V. Forrestal, was the man who, including the horns of this dilemma by "forcing" the Chinese Nationalist Government to join together in political coalition.

But Secretary Byrnes was not called to the stand, and the switched badgered Vincent had responsible for the decision of his chief. The lawyers and the Senators who had the questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition.

Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition. Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition.

Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition. Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition.

Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition. Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition.

Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition. Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition.

Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition. Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition.

Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition. Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition.

Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition. Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition.

Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition. Senator McCarran's questioner, present and the facts of history known, without any irritating opposition.

People's Platform

Letters should be brief, written on one side of the paper. The writer's name must be signed, but may be withheld from publication in the discretion of the Editor. The News reserves the right to condense.

WASHINGTON, D. C.

Editor, The News: I AM writing to say that just as I stated in my letter appearing in *The Charlotte News* on Friday, Jan. 25, I have thought before of some events you are recalling took place. We praised them, and they praised and drank to us. And I have read Marx and Lenin, and heard to Communist, but as I have read Adam Smith, John Keynes and various American writers. I have talked with many persons of diverse ideology as I could. I have an inquiring mind, and I believe all persons, particularly Foreign Service officers, should continue to inquire, debate and evaluate, because we will be better informed and more capable citizens if we keep our eyes, ears and minds open."

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

In the Washington Post of Jan. 31, telling that at the Wednesday session of our Committee two bills were voted down which would have required considerable expenditure of money and is a saving to the government. The first bill was voted down by a motion to table. The second bill was by roll call vote and on the roll call vote was defeated. I might add that I voted for the motion to table and against the other bill. As I was of the opinion that both are rather large expenditures of Government funds and not necessary to the general welfare program.

In all frankness, the defeat of these bills shows that a majority of our Committee is economy-minded and is not willing to have the finances of the government embarrassed by reckless and uncalled for expenditure.

HAMILTON C. JONES
Representative, 10th N. C. District

Editor, The News: I AM writing to say that just as I stated in my letter appearing in *The Charlotte News* on Friday, Jan. 25, I have thought before of some events you are recalling took place. We praised them, and they praised and drank to us. And I have read Marx and Lenin, and heard to Communist, but as I have read Adam Smith, John Keynes and various American writers. I have talked with many persons of diverse ideology as I could. I have an inquiring mind, and I believe all persons, particularly Foreign Service officers, should continue to inquire, debate and evaluate, because we will be better informed and more capable citizens if we keep our eyes, ears and minds open."

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.

The fact that he, no witness, to our knowledge, has spoken in these terms may mean that fear of reporting an unpopular idea or mentioning an unpopular idea has intimidated our Foreign Service officers, and others, even more than we think. But such statements should be made, and repeated, before all of us, especially before those who place a premium on conformity, such as the members of the McCarran subcommittee.